

Plane Geometries Associated with Certain 2-Transitive Groups*

WILLIAM M. KANTOR

Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403

Received January 4, 1974; revised March 13, 1975

1. Introduction

Let G be a permutation group 2-transitive on a finite set. Assume that the stabilizer of two points fixes exactly $k \geq 3$ points, but not all points. Let L denote this set of k points, and call the distinct sets L^g , $g \in G$, *lines*. Then all lines have k points, and any two different points are in a unique line. This means that we have a design \mathcal{D} (with $\lambda = 1$). The only known possibilities for \mathcal{D} are affine spaces, the projective spaces $PG(d, 2)$, and one example with 28 points when $k = 4$.

A *subspace* of \mathcal{D} is a set Δ of points and at least two lines such that any two different points of Δ are on a line of Δ , and all points on a line of Δ are in Δ . In [11], Hall considered the case $k = 3$. His main result was that \mathcal{D} contains as a subspace a seven point projective plane or a nine point affine plane; this means, intuitively, that these classical planes are the building blocks of \mathcal{D} . Our purpose is to generalize this result.

THEOREM 1. *There is a subspace Δ and a subgroup H of G fixing Δ , such that one of the following holds for Δ and the group \bar{H} induced by H on Δ .*

(i) $|\Delta| = k^i$ for some $i \geq 2$; \bar{H} is 2-transitive on Δ , has a regular normal subgroup, and has no involution fixing more than one point. (In this case, Δ can be taken to be the set of fixed points of a Sylow 2-subgroup of the stabilizer in G of two points.)

(ii) Δ is an affine translation plane of order k , \bar{H} contains the translation group, and each line in Δ is fixed pointwise by an involution in \bar{H} .

(iii) Δ is projective plane of order 2, $k = 3$, and \bar{H} is $PSL(3, 2)$ or the stabilizer of a line in $PSL(3, 2)$.

(iv) Δ is isomorphic to a certain design $\mathcal{D}(k)$ with k a power of 2, $|\Delta| = k(2k - 1)$, and $\bar{H} \approx PSL(2, 2k)$.

For each $k = 2^e$, there exists a unique design $\mathcal{D}(k)$ mentioned in (iv).

* This research was supported in part by NSF Grant GP-37982X.

In fact, $\mathscr{D}(k)$ is the design determined by the dual of the complement of a completed conic in $PG(2, 2k)$ (see (6.3) for a group-theoretic description). The design $\mathscr{D}(4)$ is the one with 28 points mentioned earlier. Any affine plane of odd order coordinatized by a semifield behaves as in (ii).

Note that, when k is prime, each translation plane of order k is desarguesian; here, in situations (i) and (ii), $AG(2, k)$ is a subplane of Δ . Also, the possible groups \bar{H} in (i) have been explicitly determined by Hering [13] and Huppert [15].

It appears to be very difficult to determine \mathscr{D} starting from the subspaces Δ guaranteed by Theorem 1. In view of the 2-transitivity of G , there will be many images of such a Δ under G . What is now needed is a method of tying all these subspaces together. Moreover, even when \mathscr{D} is known to be $AG(d, k)$ or $PG(d, 2)$, only very meager results are known concerning the possible groups (see, e.g., [17, Section 4]).

From our proof it is not difficult to deduce the following consequence of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY. *Let G be a group 3-transitive on a finite set, in which the stabilizer of three points fixes exactly $k + 1 \geq 4$ points, but not all points. Then there is a set Δ of points such that the stabilizer H of Δ induces a group \bar{H} on Δ having the following properties.*

(i) *If three points are in Δ , so are all $k + 1$ points fixed by the stabilizer in G of the three points.*

(ii) *Either (a) $k = 4$, $|\Delta| = 8$, and \bar{H} is a subgroup of the holomorph of $E = Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_2$ containing the normalizer of a Klein group in E ; or (b) $|\Delta| = k^i + 1$, and $\bar{H} \geq PSL(2, k^i)$ for some $i \geq 2$.*

(iii) *If a Sylow 2-subgroup of the stabilizer in G of three points fixes exactly $k + 1$ points, then either (iia) holds, or (iib) holds with $|\Delta| = k^2 + 1$ and $\bar{H} \geq PGL(2, k^2)\langle a \rangle$, where a is an involution induced by the involutory field automorphism of $GF(k^2)$.*

In view of a beautiful result of Nagao [18], there is no point to examining the 4-transitive generalization of this situation. We remark that Nagao's argument is very elementary, except when the stabilizer of four points fixes exactly five points. Here, he uses the Feit-Thompson theorem. However, we note that this case can be easily handled by means of the reduction argument used in Hall [11], Theorem 2.2, or (3.1) together with the case $k = 3$ of Theorem 2.

The proof of Theorem 1 involves a blend of geometric, combinatorial, and group-theoretic methods. Most of the time, only the Feit-Thompson theorem is needed, not any more recent deep group-theoretic classification

theorems. The glaring exception here is at the end of Section 5, where [1] seems to be needed. Nevertheless, we have tried to avoid recent classification theorems when possible.

In the proof, we first reduce to a suitable subspace of \mathcal{D} and section of G . The cases k odd and k even must then be dealt with separately. When k is odd, Theorem 1 is a consequence of the next result.

THEOREM 2. *Let G be an automorphism group of a design \mathcal{D} with $\lambda = 1$ and k odd, satisfying the following conditions: for any two different points x and y , the stabilizer of x and y fixes the line L through them pointwise and has even order; the group induced on L by the set stabilizer of L has at most one involution fixing x ; and no nontrivial element fixes three noncollinear points. Then \mathcal{D} is $PG(2, 2)$ and G contains the stabilizer of a line, \mathcal{D} is $PG(3, 2)$ and $G \approx A_7$, or \mathcal{D} is an affine translation plane and G contains the translation group.*

At a very early stage of the proof of Theorem 2, it is known that G has no elementary abelian subgroup of order 8. However, the geometry is necessary (and sufficient) in order to handle the case where $Z^*(G) \neq 1$. On the other hand, when $Z^*(G) = 1$ it seems necessary to apply a classification theorem [1]. Throughout the main part of the proof, essential use is made of a result of Harada [12] (see (2.1)).

At several parts of the proof of Theorem 2, it is shown that $k = 3$. It also turned out that the case $k = 3$ could be handled by our methods using relatively elementary group theory. We have therefore given a new proof of Hall's result (see Section 4); however, while this proof is fairly elementary, it certainly requires much more background than Hall's original proof.

The following result implies Theorem 1 when k is even.

THEOREM 3. *Let G be an automorphism group of a design \mathcal{D} with $\lambda = 1$ and $k = 2^e$, satisfying the following conditions: for any two different point x and y , the stabilizer of x and y fixes the line L through x and y pointwise, has even order, and has no involution fixing a point off of L ; and the group induced on L by the set stabilizer of L has no element of order 4. Then either \mathcal{D} is an affine translation plane and G contains the translation group, or \mathcal{D} is $\mathcal{D}(k)$ and $G \supseteq PSL(2, 2k)$.*

The proof of Theorem 3 is perhaps more elementary than that of Theorem 2. In the case of Theorem 3, a great-deal of attention is given to the structure of the stabilizer of a point. A crucial idea in the proof is borrowed from Bender [3, Lemma 4.2].

Theorem 3 provides a proof of Theorem 4 of Harada [12] quite different

from his proof. On the other hand, if, in Theorem 2, G is 2-transitive on points, that theorem is weaker than [12], Theorem 1. We note that Theorems 1, 2, and 3 contain all the results of [16]. However, the proofs use ideas from [16].

The remainder of the paper is divided into two chapters, dealing with the cases k odd and even, respectively. Each chapter begins with background material. Then general reductions and combinatorial arguments are presented. Finally, Theorems 2 and 3 are proved.

We have also included an appendix concerning Harada's theorem [12] on 2-transitive groups.

I. k ODD

2. Background

We will use the geometric terminology of Dembowski [7] and the group-theoretic terminology of Gorenstein [10].

As usual, $Z^*(G)$ is defined by: $Z^*(G) \geq O(G)$ and $Z^*(G)/O(G) = Z(G/O(G))$.

If G is a permutation group on a set Ω , and $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$, the groups $G(\Delta)$, G_Δ , and G_{Δ^Δ} are defined as follows:

$G(\Delta)$ is the pointwise stabilizer of Δ ;

G_Δ is the set stabilizer of Δ ; and

$G_{\Delta^\Delta} = G_\Delta/G(\Delta)$ is the permutation group induced by G_Δ on Δ .

In addition, if Δ' is another set (or a point), $G_{\Delta\Delta'} = G_\Delta \cap G_{\Delta'}$.

THEOREM 2.1 (Harada [12]). *Let G be a group and H a proper subgroup. Assume: $|H \cap H^g|$ is odd whenever $g \in G - N_G(H)$; $N_G(H)/H$ has cyclic or generalized quaternion Sylow 2-subgroups, and $N_G(H) - H$ contains an involution conjugate in G to an involution of H . If $Z^*(G) = O(G)$, then either (i) a Sylow 2-subgroup of G must be dihedral, quasidihedral, $Z_{2^m} \times Z_{2^m}$, or $Z_{2^m} \wr Z_2$ for some m ; or (ii) G has a normal subgroup N of index 2 such that $|H \cap N|$ is odd.*

LEMMA 2.2 (Wielandt [21], p. 27). *Let G be a transitive permutation group having a regular normal subgroup N . If X is any subset of G fixing at least one point, then $C_G(X)$ is transitive on the fixed points of X .*

LEMMA 2.3 (Brauer–Wielandt; see Wielandt [20]). *Let $\langle t, u \rangle$ be a Klein*

group acting on a group H of odd order. If $C_H(\langle t, u \rangle) = 1$, then $|H| = |C_H(t)| |C_H(u)| |C_H(tu)|$.

LEMMA 2.4 (Thompson's transfer lemma; see Gorenstein [10], p. 265, Ex. 3(i)). Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G , $S = \langle t \rangle S_0$ with t an involution and $t \notin S_0 \triangleleft S$, and suppose that no element of S_0 is conjugate in G to t . Then $G = \langle t \rangle H$ with $t \notin H$.

LEMMA 2.5. Let S be a set, and $n \geq 3$ an integer. Let $\theta: S \rightarrow 2^S$ be a mapping such that

- (i) $|x^\theta| = n + 1$ for all x ,
- (ii) $y \in x^\theta$ implies $x \in y^\theta$ and $x, y \in z^\theta$ for some z ,
- (iii) $x \notin x^\theta$ for all x , and
- (iv) $|x^\theta \cap y^\theta| \leq 1$ whenever $x \neq y$.

Then $|S| > n^2 + n + 1$.

Proof. Assume $|S| \leq n^2 + n + 1$. Call the subsets x^θ , $x \in S$, lines. As on pp. 138–139 of [7], this produces a projective plane of order n . Then θ is a polarity, and (iii) contradicts a theorem of Baer [2].

LEMMA 2.6. Let \mathcal{D} be a design with $k = 3$ and $\lambda = 1$. Let K be a Klein group of automorphisms fixing some line L pointwise and semiregular off of L . Let $x \notin L$ and semiregular off of L . Then $L \cup x^K$ is a subspace $PG(2, 2)$ of \mathcal{D} .

Proof. Let $L = \{a, b, c\}$ and $K = \{1, t, u, tu\}$. Clearly, K is regular on x^K . Then u must move xx^t , as otherwise tu would fix x . Also, t fixes xx^t , so we may assume $\{a, x, x^t\}$ is a line. Thus, $\{a, x^u, x^{tu}\}$ is also a line. Since u fixes xx^u and $a \notin xx^u$, we may assume $\{b, x, x^u\}$ is a line. Apply t , and find that $\{b, x^t, x^{tu}\}$ is a line. Finally, tu fixes xx^{tu} , and $a, b \notin xx^{tu}$. Hence, $c \in xx^{tu}$, so $c \in x^u x^t$ also. This proves the lemma.

The preceding proof is a simple special case of the arguments used by Hall in [11].

3. Reduction and Preliminary Results

We will use the following notation throughout this paper. \mathcal{D} is a design with $\lambda = 1$. \mathcal{D} has v points, and $r = (v - 1)/(k - 1)$ lines per point. G is an automorphism group of \mathcal{D} such that the stabilizer G_{xy} of two points x, y fixes the line through them pointwise.

Points will be denoted x, y ; lines L, M ; and involutions s, t, u .

$G(L)$, G_L , and G_L^L were defined in Section 2.

By abuse of language, each subspace of \mathcal{D} will be identified with its set of points.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Theorem 1 follows from Theorems 2 and 3.*

Proof. Let S be a 2-group maximal with respect to fixing three non-collinear points; possibly $S = 1$. The set Δ of fixed points of S is a subspace of the design \mathcal{D} defined at the start of Section 1.

Suppose first that S is Sylow in $G(L)$ for one—and hence all— $L \subset \Delta$. Then $N_G(S)^\Delta$ is 2-transitive and has no involution fixing more than one point. By results of Bender [3, 4], it follows that Theorem 1(i) holds or $N_G(S)^\Delta \supseteq PSL(2, q)$ with $q > 3$, $Sz(q)$, or $PSU(3, q)$ with $q > 2$. But in each of the latter cases, the stabilizer of two points fixes only two points.

We may thus suppose that S is not Sylow in $G(L)$ for any $L \subset \Delta$. Then $S \triangleleft S_1 \leq G(L)$ with $|S_1 : S| = 2$. By the maximality of S , S_1^Δ is an involution whose set of fixed points is L . For the same reason, $N_G(S)^\Delta$ has no involution fixing more than k points.

It is clear that G_L^L is a sharply 2-transitive group. Consequently, if k is even the hypotheses of Theorem 3 hold. Thus, Theorem 3 implies Theorem 1 in this case.

Suppose k is odd. Then the hypotheses of Theorem 2 hold, except for the statement concerning subspaces. Let Δ' be a minimal subspace of Δ , and set $H = G_{\Delta'}$. We will show that Theorem 2 applies to Δ' and $H^{\Delta'}$.

Let $L \subset \Delta'$, and let $t \in N_G(S)^\Delta(L)$ be an involution. Take any point $y \in \Delta' - L$, and let L_1 be the line of Δ through y and y^t . Then t interchanges y and y^t , so it fixes L_1 . Since k is odd, t fixes some point x of L_1 . Then $x \in L$. Thus, $y^t \in \Delta'$ since $x, y \in \Delta'$. This means that $\Delta'^t = \Delta'$.

Consequently, Theorem 2 applies, so Theorem 1 holds.

We now begin the proof of Theorem 2.

Throughout the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3, L will denote any line and x any point of L .

An involution t fixing exactly k points will be called *axial*. Its line of fixed points is called its *axis*, and is denoted A_t .

Throughout the remainder of Chapter II, k will be odd. For the sake of convenience, we will assume that \mathcal{D} and G provide a counterexample to Theorem 2. In particular, $v > 7$.

We may assume that G is generated by its axial involutions.

The properties of G in the next lemma will be used frequently and usually without reference.

LEMMA 3.2.

- (i) $G(L)$ is semiregular off of L .
- (ii) If G_L^L moves every point, then G_L^L has one Frobenius orbit, and is regular on each remaining orbit.
- (iii) If t is an involution in $G_{xL} - G(L)$, then $t^L \in Z(G_{xL}^L)$.
- (iv) If two different involutions fix the same two lines, one of the involutions must act trivially on one of the lines.

Proof.

- (i) and (iii) are hypotheses of Theorem 2.
- (ii) If $g \in G_L$ fixes two points of L , then $g \in G(L)$.
- (iv) Let t and u be the involutions, and L and M the lines. Then $L \cap M$ is a point x since k is odd. By (i), we cannot have $t^L = u^L$ and $t^M = u^M$, so the assertion follows from (iii).

LEMMA 3.3. Let $t \in G(L)$ be an involution.

- (i) t fixes exactly $r - 1$ lines $\neq L$, each of which meets L .
- (ii) t centralizes exactly $r - 1$ axial involutions not in $G(L)$.
- (iii) $C_G(t)$ is transitive on $M(t) = \{x \in L \mid x^s = x \text{ for an involution } s \in C_G(t), s \notin G(L)\}$, and induces a semiregular group on $L - M(t)$.
- (iv) $|M(t)| = m(t)$ divides k .
- (v) If $x \in M(t)$, t fixes exactly $(r - 1)/m(t)$ lines $\neq L$ on x .
- (vi) $|C_G(t) \cap G(L)| \geq (r - 1)/m(t) \geq (r - 1)/k$.

Proof.

- (i) If $y^t \neq y$, then t fixes the line through y and y^t .
- (ii) By (i), there are at least $r - 1$ such involutions. If t centralizes $u, u' \in G(L')$, then u and u' agree on L , so $uu' \in G(L) \cap G(L') = 1$.
- (iii), (iv) These follow from (3.2(ii),(iii)).
- (v) This follows from the transitivity of $C_G(t)$ on $M(t)$.
- (vi) Let $x \in M(t)$. There are $(r - 1)/m(t)$ involutions in $C_G(t)_x$ not in $G(L)$. Fix one of these involutions s , and consider the $(r - 1)/m(t)$ elements ss' , where s' runs through all these involutions. Clearly, $ss' \in C_G(t) \cap G(L)$.

LEMMA 3.4. $r - 1 \neq k$.

Proof. Suppose $r - 1 = k$. Then our design \mathcal{D} is an affine plane, and each axial involution is a homology. By (3.3), we can find a Klein group $\langle t, u \rangle$ with t and u axial and $A_t \neq A_u$. It is then easy to check that tu is a dilatation of the plane (compare Dembowski [7, p. 120]). Also, since t is a homology, it fixes exactly one line $\neq A_t$ per point of A_t . By (3.3(v)), $m(t) = k$. Since t can be any axial involution in G , it follows that G is transitive. Thus, each point is the center of an involutory dilatation. The pointwise stabilizer H of the line at infinity now acts on the affine points as a Frobenius group, and the Frobenius kernel of H consists of translations. Hence, \mathcal{D} is a translation plane.

LEMMA 3.5. *Each involution in G fixes exactly k points.*

Proof. Let s be an involution fixing fewer than k points. Then s fixes just one point x . If $y \neq x$, then s fixes the line through y and y^s , and hence fixes one of its k points. Consequently, s fixes each line L through x . Since G_{xL}^L has a unique involution for each such L , we must have $s \in Z(G_x)$.

Now let $t \in G_x$ be an involution fixing k points. Since $s \in C_G(t)$, t fixes $(r - 1)/m(t)$ lines $\neq A_t$ on x (by (3.3)). However, s and t fix at most 2 common lines (by (3.2iv)). Thus, $(r - 1)/m(t) + 1 \leq 2$, so $r - 1 \leq m(t) \leq k$ where $k \leq r$. In fact, if $m(t) < k$ then $m(t) \leq k/3$, so $r - 1 \leq k/3 \leq r/3$. Thus, $m(t) = k$ divides $r - 1$, so $r - 1 = k$. This contradicts (3.4).

LEMMA 3.6. *Suppose there is a point x such that G_x fixes some line L on x . Then $r - 1 = 2k$ and $C_G(t)$ is transitive on A_t for each involution $t \in G_x - G(L)$.*

Proof. Let $t \in G_x$ be an involution with $A_t \neq L$. By (3.3) and (3.5), there are exactly $(r - 1)/m(t)$ involutions in $C_G(t)_x$ not in $G(A_t)$. If u and u' are two of these not in $G(L)$, then $uu' \in G(A_t)$ and $uu' \in G(L)$. Thus, $u = u'$, so $(r - 1)/m(t) \leq 2$.

Now $m(t) = k$ (as otherwise $k - 1 \leq r - 1 \leq 2m(t) \leq 2(k/3)$ since $m(t) \mid k$, so $k = 3$, $r \leq 3$, and \mathcal{D} is $PG(2, 2)$, which we are assuming is not the case). That is, $C_G(t)$ is transitive on A_t for each involution $t \in G_x - G(L)$. Moreover, $(r - 1)/k$ is an integer, so $r - 1 = 2k$ by (3.4).

LEMMA 3.7. *If G_x moves each line on x for each x , then $m(t) > 1$ for each involution t .*

Proof. If $m(t) = 1$ for some involution t , then t must fix each line on some $x \in A_t$. Let $g \in G_x$ move A_t . Then $t^g \neq t$ fixes all lines on x . Consequently, there is at most one line $\neq A_t$, $(A_t)^g$ on x . Then $k \leq r \leq 3$, and \mathcal{D} is the seven point projective plane.

4. Hall's Theorem

We now digress from the main part of the proof of Theorem 2 to give a proof of Hall's special case of it: $k = 3$.

By (3.5), all involutions are axial.

LEMMA 4.1. *Assume that, for some involution t , $m(t) = 1$. Let x be the point of $M = A_t$ on each fixed line of t . Then the following hold.*

- (i) $v = 15$.
- (ii) *If $x \in L \neq M$, and $u \in G(L)_M$ is an involution, then u fixes exactly 3 lines on x .*
- (iii) G_x fixes M .
- (iv) G is transitive on points.
- (v) *For each line L on x , G_L^L is transitive.*

Proof. By (3.3), t fixes L , and u fixes $(v-3)/6$ or $(v-3)/2$ lines $\neq L$ on x . By (3.2iv), t and u fix at most one common line other than L and M . Since $(v-3)/2 \leq 2$ is excluded, $(v-3)/6 \leq 2$. This proves (i) and (ii). Moreover, by (ii), M is the only line on x fixed pointwise by a conjugate of t , so (iii) holds. By (3.6), x^G contains all points outside of M . Let $x' \in M - \{x\}$, and $x' \in L' \neq M$. Then an involution in $G(L')$ moves the third point of M outside of M or to x . This proves (iv).

Finally, if $L \neq M$ then (v) holds by (3.3). Consider G_M^M . Let $x' \in M - \{x\}$, and let $x' = x^g$ with $g \in G$. Then t^g fixes all lines on x' . If $(t^g)^M \neq 1$, then G_M^M is transitive by (3.3). If $t^g \in G(M)$ then $G_{x'M}^M \neq$ by (3.3), and again G_M^M is transitive.

LEMMA 4.2. $v = 15$.

Proof. By (3.3) and (4.1), we may assume that $m(t) = 3$ for every involution t . Fix x , and count the triples (L, M, t) such $L \cap M = \{x\}$ and t is an involution fixing L and M , but fixing neither pointwise. By (3.2iv), there are at most $r(r-1) \cdot 1$ triples. Also, each $t \in G_x$ fixes $(r-1)/m(t)$ lines $L \neq A_t$, so there are at least $r \cdot \frac{1}{3}(r-1)(\frac{1}{3}(r-1) - 1)$ triples. Thus, $r \leq 13$.

By (3.3), each t centralizes exactly $(r-1)/3$ axial involutions $s \notin G(L)$. But st is also axial. Hence, $(r-1)/3$ is even. It follows that $r = 7$ or 13 .

The possibility $r = 13$ is eliminated as follows. In this case, the two counts of the triples (L, M, t) yield the same result. Hence, each line is the axis of a unique involution, and any two lines on x are fixed by an involution having neither as axis. For each L on x , let L^θ denote the set of fixed lines $\neq L$ on x of the involution in $G(L)$. Then $|L^\theta| = (r-1)/3 = 4$ and

$L \notin L^\theta$. If $M \in L^\theta$ then the unique involution t in $G(L)$ centralizes the unique involution u in $G(M)$ and $L, M \in A_{tu}^\theta$. Finally, if $L \neq M$ then $|L^\theta \cap M^\theta| \leq 1$ by (3.2iv). By (2.5), necessarily $r > 3^2 + 3 + 1$. This contradiction proves (4.2).

The remainder of the case $k = 3$ thus involves a detailed examination of the possibility $v = 15$.

LEMMA 4.3. G_x moves all lines on x .

Proof. Let G_x fix a line M on x , and set $\mathcal{M} = M^G$.

By (3.3) and (4.1iv,v), G is transitive on points and G_M^M is transitive. Thus, \mathcal{M} is a complete system of imprimitivity for G . In particular, $|\mathcal{M}| = v/3 = 5$. Now G^M is a transitive subgroup of S_5 . If t is an involution with $A_t \notin \mathcal{M}$, then (by (3.3)) t fixes $(r-1)/k = 3$ lines in \mathcal{M} . Thus, $G^M \approx S_5$.

Let K be the kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{M} . Then K is an elementary abelian 3-group of order ≤ 9 (as a 3'-element of K must fix at least 5 points, and hence is trivial). Since A_5 acts on K , G has a normal subgroup H of index 2 with $K \leq Z(H)$ and $H/K \approx A_5$. Thus, $H = A \times K$ with $A \approx A_5$.

Clearly, A has 3 orbits of length 5 permuted transitively by G . Let x and y be distinct points in one of these orbits. Then A has 3 involutions interchanging x and y . Thus, each of these involutions must fix the third point z on the line L through x and y . But $L \notin \mathcal{M}$, so each of these involutions fixes a different line in \mathcal{M} . This is a contradiction.

COROLLARY 4.4. For each involution t , $C_G(t)$ is transitive on A_t and t fixes exactly 3 lines through each point of A_t .

Proof. (4.3) and (4.1(iii)) imply the first assertion. The second follows from (3.3) and (4.2).

LEMMA 4.5. For some line L , $G(L)$ contains a normal Klein group.

Proof. Suppose first that, for each line L on x , $G(L)$ contains a unique involution t . Let t^θ consist of the 3 lines on x fixed by t (see (4.4)). If $s \in C_G(t) - G(A_t)$ then $t^\theta = \{A_t, A_s, A_{st}\} = s^\theta$. It follows that the sets t^θ partition the 7 lines on x into sets of 3, which is absurd.

Thus, some $G(L)$ has at least 2 involutions. Suppose $G(L)$ has no normal Klein group. Note that $|G(L)|$ divides $v-3 = 12$. Thus, $G(L)$ must have a normal Sylow 3-subgroup P of order 3. Then $P \triangleleft G_L$. Let $t \in G(L)$ and $u \in C_G(t) - G(L)$ be involutions. Then $\langle t, u \rangle$ normalizes P . Here, u cannot centralize P (as otherwise P would fix $L \cup A_u$ pointwise). Similarly, tu cannot centralize P . Thus, t centralizes P . However, this is true for each involution $t \in G(L)$, and hence $G(L)$ has a normal Klein group.

Completion of the Proof When $k = 3$.

By (2.6), it suffices to show that every line behaves as in (4.5).

Let L be as in (4.5). Then $|G(L)|$ divides $v - 3 = 12$. The proof of (3.3vi) shows that $|G(L)| \geq r - 1 = 6$. Thus, $|G(L)| = 12$ and $G(L)$ is regular on the points not in L . In particular, if $x \in L$ then $G(L)$ is transitive on the lines $\neq L$ through x . Since G_x moves L , it follows that G_x is transitive on the lines through x . This completes the proof.

5. The General Case of Theorem 2

LEMMA 5.1. *If $Z^*(G) = O(G)$, then one of the following holds.*

- (i) *A Sylow 2-subgroup of G is dihedral, quasidihedral, wreathed $Z_{2^m} \wr Z_2$, or $Z_{2^m} \times Z_{2^m}$ for some m .*
- (ii) *G has a proper normal subgroup K having a strongly embedded subgroup K_L for some line L .*

Proof. Let K be the subgroup $O^{2'}(G)$ generated by all elements of odd order. Let $z \in K$ be an involution, and set $H = G(A_z)$.

Suppose z has a K -conjugate z' such that $zz' = z'z \neq 1$ and $A_z \neq A_{z'}$. Apply (2.1) to G and H (see (3.2)). If (2.1(i)) holds, we are finished. If (2.1(ii)) holds, then G has a normal subgroup N of index 2 with $z \notin N$; however, by definition $K \leq N$, so this cannot occur.

Thus, we may assume that, for each involution $z \in K$ and each $z' \in (z^K - \{z\}) \cap C_K(z)$, we have $A_z = A_{z'}$. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of K , choose $z \in Z(S)$, and set $L = A_z$. Then z fixes only $L \in L^K$; for if z fixed $L' \neq L$ in L^K , then z would centralize an element of $z^K \cap K(L')$, contrary to our assumption. If all involutions in K are conjugate, this completes the proof of (ii).

Suppose there is a second class t^K of involutions in K . Assume that t commutes with some $t' \in t^K - \{t\}$. We may assume $\langle t, t' \rangle \leq S$. Since $A_t = A_{t'}$ by the second paragraph of this proof, necessarily $A_t = A_{tt'}$, and since $\langle t, t' \rangle$ acts on L , necessarily $\langle t, t' \rangle \leq K(L)$. Now let $z' \in z^K \cap K(L')$ for $L' \in L^K - \{L\}$. Then z' and t are not conjugate in $\langle z', t \rangle$, so $\langle z', t \rangle$ has central involution u . Clearly u fixes $A_t = L$. Then $\langle t, u \rangle$ centralizes some $z'' \in z^K \cap K(L)$, and $\langle t, z'' \rangle$ acts on A_u , so $A_u = L$. But $z' \in z^K$ now fixes $L = A_z$, which is not possible.

Consequently, t commutes with none of its K -conjugates. Then $t \in Z^*(K) \cap S \leq Z(S)$ (Glauberman [9]). Interchanging t and z , we see that all involutions in K are in $Z^*(K)$. However, $G = KS_1$ with $S_1 > S$ Sylow in G . Then S_1 centralizes some involution $u \in Z(S)$, and hence $u \in Z^*(G)$ by [9].

LEMMA 5.2. *For each line L , G_L is transitive on L .*

Proof. Deny! Call a line L "good" if G_L is transitive on L , and "bad" otherwise. Call a point x "bad" if, for some line L on x , $G_{xL} = G(L)$ has no involution. Let \mathcal{B} denote the set of bad points; bad points will be indicated by the letter b , and bad lines by B .

Note that $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. For, let B be any bad line. Then by (3.2), G_B^B has a semiregular orbit on B , and each point b of this orbit is bad. Moreover, $G_{bB} = G(B)$.

G_b is transitive on the lines on b . For, there is a bad line B on b such that $G_{bB} = G(B)$. Let $s \in G(B)$ be an involution. Here, s fixes just the one line B on b . Then G_B contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_x , which may be assumed to have s in its center. Take any involution $t \in G_x$. By using a suitable conjugate of t , we may assume that t centralizes s . The choice of B now implies that $A_t = B$. This means that each line on x can be moved to B using an element of G_x .

It follows that G is transitive on the set \mathcal{L} of bad lines. Thus, $|B \cap \mathcal{B}|$ is independent of the bad line B , so the bad points and lines form a design with $v^* = |\mathcal{B}|$, $k^* = |B \cap \mathcal{B}|$, $r^* = r$, and $\lambda^* = 1$. Then transitivity on bad lines implies transitivity on \mathcal{B} [7, p. 78].

G_b acts faithfully as a Frobenius group on the r lines B on b . For, a non-trivial element of $G_{bB} = G(B)$ cannot fix a second line on b . It follows that $G_{bB} = G(B)$ has a unique involution.

Since G_b is transitive on the lines on b , G is transitive on the pairs (b, B) with $b \in B \cap \mathcal{B}$, so G_B is transitive on $B \cap \mathcal{B}$. Thus, $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is primitive [14; 7, p. 79]. Then $O(G^{\mathcal{B}}) = 1$ (as otherwise, $O(G^{\mathcal{B}})$ would be transitive on the $v^* = 1 + r(k^* - 1) \equiv 1 + 1 \pmod{2}$ bad points). Since the pointwise stabilizer of \mathcal{B} fixes each line meeting \mathcal{B} , it is trivial. Hence, $O(G) = 1$, so also $Z^*(G) = 1$.

The number of bad lines is $v^*r/k^* = r\{1 + r(k^* - 1)\}/k^*$, so good lines exist. Hence, G has at least two classes of involutions. Since $Z^*(G) = 1$, (5.1) applies. If (5.1(i)) holds, then by (2.4) G must have dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, so there is an involution u such that $\langle u \rangle$ is Sylow in $G(A_u)$. If (5.1(ii)) holds, then there is again such a u (cf. (2.1)).

Let u be as above. By (3.2ii) and (3.3(ii)), a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(u)$ has just 3 involutions. Hence, $C_G(u)$ has a single class of Klein groups, and thus just two classes of involutions $\neq u$, both of the same size. By (3.3), u fixes $(v^* - k^*)/k^*$ or v^*/k^* bad lines $\neq A_u$, and hence exactly that number of involutions having bad axes. Thus, $C_G(u) - \{u\}$ contains exactly $(v^* - k^*)/k^*$ or v^*/k^* involutions having good axes. By (3.3), $r - 1 = 2v^*/k^*$ or $2(v^* - k^*)/k^*$. An easy calculation shows that neither equation can hold.

LEMMA 5.3. *For each point x , G_x moves all lines on x .*

Proof. Deny! By (3.6), $r - 1 = 2k$. Let G_x fix M , and set $\mathcal{M} = M^G$. G is transitive on points. For, x^G contains the complement of M by (3.6). If L_1 is any line meeting $M - \{x\}$, then either $G(L_1)$ moves M —and the transitivity of G is clear—or $G(L_1)$ always fixes M , in which case G_M^M is transitive.

\mathcal{M} is a complete system of imprimitivity for G . To see this, it suffices to prove that G_M^M is transitive. Let $x' \in M - \{x\}$. Then $x' = x^g$ for some $g \in G$. If g can always be chosen in G_M , our assertion follows. So suppose $M^g \neq M$. Then $G_{x'}$ fixes M^g . In particular, $G(M)$ fixes M^g . Then an involution in $G(M)$ centralizes an involution in $G(M^g)$, so that $|G_{x'M}^M|$ is even. By (3.2(ii)), x' and x are in the same G_M -orbit, so g can indeed be chosen inside G_M , as desired.

In particular, $|\mathcal{M}| = v/k = 2k - 1$.

If $x \in L \neq M$, $G(L)$ acts on M , so $G(L)$ has a unique involution t . Clearly, G_M contains a Sylow 2-subgroup S of G , so $G(M)$ contains an involution $s \in Z(S)$. We may assume $t \in S$.

Suppose first that $Z^*(G) = O(G)$, so that (5.1) applies. We know G has at least two classes of involutions. Hence, if (5.1(i)) holds then G has a normal subgroup not containing t . Since t was arbitrary, (5.1(ii)) holds here. Thus, in any case, we can apply Bender's theorem [4] to the group K of (5.1(ii)). Since $Z^*(G) = O(G)$, it follows that $Z(S \cap K)$ is elementary abelian of order ≥ 4 . Moreover, it is easy to see that, if $t \in S$, then $|C_{Z(S \cap K)}(t)|^2 \geq |Z(S \cap K)|$. The only possibility is $K^\mathcal{M} \approx A_5$, so $2k - 1 = 5$. Now (4.3) yields a contradiction.

Thus, $Z^*(G) > O(G)$. If $t \in Z^*(G)$, then S has no elementary abelian subgroup of order 8 (since t is the unique involution in $G(A_i)$). Thus, $\langle s, t \rangle = \Omega_1(S)$, and hence $s \in Z^*(G)$.

We may now assume $s \in Z^*(G)$. Then $O(G)$ is transitive on \mathcal{M} . Let $K \leq O(G)$ be the kernel of the action of G on \mathcal{M} . Since $O(G)$ is solvable (by [8]), G has a normal subgroup $H > K$ with H/K a q -group for some $q \mid |\mathcal{M}| = 2k - 1$. Since $|K|$ clearly divides k^{2k-1} , $G = KN_G(Q)$ for a Sylow q -subgroup Q of H . We may assume $S \leq N_G(Q)$.

Let $u \in S$ be an involution. Then $C_Q(u)$ acts on A_u . Since $(|C_Q(u)|, k(k-1)(v-k)) = 1$, necessarily $C_Q(u) = 1$. Thus, $\langle s, t \rangle$ is fixed-point-free on Q , which is absurd.

Let π be the set of prime divisors of k .

LEMMA 5.4. *Suppose G has a nontrivial normal π -subgroup N . Then*

- (i) *N is semiregular;*

- (ii) N is intransitive; and
- (iii) For each line L , $G(L)$ has a unique involution.

Proof. By the Feit-Thompson theorem [8], N is solvable. We first consider the case where N is semiregular. Let L be any line, and $x \in L$. By (5.3), G_x must move L , and hence so must N . By (2.2), $N_L = C_N(G(L))$. Thus, $G(L)$ acts on the nontrivial π -group $N/C_N(G(L))$. A nontrivial π' -element of $G(L)$ cannot centralize any element of $N - C_N(G(L))$ since $G(L) \cap G(L)^g = 1$ for $g \notin G_L$. In particular, if $t \in G(L)$ is an involution then t inverts $N/C_N(G(L))$. Since the kernel of the action of $G(L)$ on $N/C_N(G(L))$ is a π -group, $G(L) = C_{G(L)}(t) O_\pi(G(L))$.

Take any involution $u \in G_L - G(L)$ centralizing t . Then $\langle t, u \rangle$ acts on $X = O_\pi(G(L))$. Also, $A_t \cap A_u$ is a point x . Since $C_X(u)$ acts on $A_u - \{x\}$ and $(|X|, k-1) = 1$, we must have $C_X(u) = 1$. Similarly, $C_X(tu) = 1$. Thus, t centralizes X . Since $G(L)/X$ has a unique involution, so does $G(L)$.

Thus, if N is semiregular, then (iii) holds. To see that (ii) also holds in the case, assume N is transitive. Let $\langle t, u \rangle \leq G_x$ be a Klein group. By (2.2), $|C_N(t)| = |C_N(u)| = |C_N(tu)| = k$. Hence, by (2.3), $|N| = k^3$. Now $v = k^3$ and $r = (v-1)/(k-1) = k^2 + k + 1$. Let S be the set of lines on x , and define $\theta: S \rightarrow 2^S$ by: A_t^θ is the set of fixed lines $\neq A_t$ of t on x . Since (iii) is known to hold, θ is well-defined. By (3.3i), $|A_t^\theta| = (r-1)/k = k+1$. Also, for any distinct involutions $s, t \in G_x$, $|A_s^\theta \cap A_t^\theta| \leq 1$ (cf. (3.2iv)); and if s fixes A^t then $st = ts$, so t fixes A_s and $A_s, A_t \in A_{st}^\theta$. Thus, (2.5) applies, and yields a contradiction.

Consequently, if (i) holds, then so do (ii) and (iii). Suppose now that N is not semiregular, and choose such an N with $|N|$ minimal. Let $M \triangleleft N$ be a normal subgroup of G maximal with respect to being semiregular. Then N/M is a p -group for some $p \in \pi$.

We are assuming that $N_x \neq 1$ for some point x . Here, N_x is a p -group. If N_x fixes a point $\neq x$, its set of fixed points is a line L on x , and then G_x fixes L . Consequently, by (5.3), N_x fixes only x , so $p \nmid v-1$. On the other hand, M is semiregular, so $|M| \mid v$. Consequently, N_x is Sylow in N , so $G = N_G(N_x)N = G_x M$. By (5.2), M is transitive. Since M is a semiregular normal π -subgroup of G , this contradicts the first part of the proof of (5.4). Hence, (i) must hold.

LEMMA 5.5. $Z^*(G) = O(G)$.

Proof. Suppose $Z^*(G) > O(G)$. By the Feit-Thompson theorem [8], $Z^*(G)$ is solvable. By (5.4), $O_\pi(G)$ is semiregular. Let H be a normal subgroup of G such that $H > O_\pi(G)$ and $H/O_\pi(G)$ is an elementary abelian q -group

for some prime $q \notin \pi$. If Q is a Sylow q -subgroup of H , then $G = HN_G(Q) = O_\pi(G)N_G(Q)$.

Q is not semiregular. For, there is a Klein group $\langle t, u \rangle$ acting on Q , so we may assume $C_Q(t) \neq 1$. Suppose Q is semiregular. Then $C_Q(t)$ is semiregular on A_t , and this contradicts $q \notin \pi$.

Q must fix a point. For, if not, certainly $q \mid v$. There is a point x such that $Q_x \neq 1$. Then $q \nmid v - 1$ implies that Q_x fixes exactly k points, and hence is semiregular on $v - k$ points. Consequently, $q \mid v - k$, and hence $q \mid k$, whereas $q \notin \pi$.

Suppose Q fixes just one point x . Then $N_G(Q) \leq G_x$, so $G = O_\pi(G)N_G(Q) = O_\pi(G)G_x$. Thus, $O_\pi(G)$ is transitive, and this contradicts (5.4).

We may thus assume Q fixes a line L pointwise, so $G = O_\pi(G)G_L$. By (5.4iii), $G = O_\pi(G)C_G(s)$ for some involution $s \in Z^*(G)$.

Since G is transitive, the number conjugates of s fixing a given point x is $k \mid G: C_G(s)/v = k \mid O_\pi(G): C_{O_\pi(G)}(s)/v$. By (5.4), $O_\pi(G)$ is intransitive. It follows that s has fewer than k conjugates fixing x .

Take any involution t not conjugate to s . Then, for each $x \in A_t$, G_x has a Klein subgroup $\langle s', t \rangle$ with $s' \in s^G$. By (5.4)(iii), $A_{s'} \neq A_t$. Now (5.2) implies that $\langle s' \cap C_G(t) \rangle \leq \langle s' \rangle$. $O_\pi(G)$ is transitive on A_t .

Take any point $y \in A_t$, and any point $x \neq y$. We will show that $O_\pi(G)$ has an element moving x to y . Since there are fewer than k conjugates of s fixing x , some involution t' not conjugate to s fixes x and a point of A_t . Now $O_\pi(G) \cap C_G(t')$ and $O_\pi(G) \cap C_G(t)$ allow us to move x to y .

Consequently, $O_\pi(G)$ is transitive. This contradicts (5.4).

LEMMA 5.6. $Z^*(G) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose $O(G) \neq 1$, and let H be a nontrivial normal subgroup of G of prime power order. (H exists by the Feit-Thompson theorem [8].) Since G is transitive, $|H|$ divides v . Then H_x fixes a line pointwise, so $H_x = 1$ (as otherwise G_x fixes a line, contradicting (5.3)). G contains a Klein group, so $C_H(t) \neq 1$ for some involution t . Then $C_H(t)$ is semiregular on A_t , and hence H is a π -group.

By (5.4)(iii), each $G(L)$ has a unique involution. Hence, G has 2-rank 2 by (3.2(ii)). By (5.1), (2.4), and [9], G has a Klein group $\langle t, u \rangle$ with t, u , and tu conjugate, such that $C_G(t)$ contains a Sylow 2-group of G . Write $|C_H(t)| = |C_H(u)| = |C_H(tu)| = \mu$, so $\mu \neq 1$.

If s is any involution, then s commutes with some conjugate s' of itself. We may assume that $\langle s, s' \rangle \leq C_G(t)$, and then that $ss' = t$. Also, $C_H(\langle t, u \rangle) = 1 = C_H(\langle s, t \rangle)$ by (5.4)(iii). Thus, by (2.3), $|H| = \mu^3 = \mu |C_H(s)|^2$.

It follows that each line meets each orbit of H in 0 or μ points. We can

now use a counting argument of Higman and McLaughlin [14, Theorem 7] (see also [7, p. 79]), to show that H is transitive. This contradicts (5.4).

Conclusion of the Proof of Theorem 2.

By (5.6), we may apply (5.1). Suppose (5.1(ii)) holds, and that $t \notin K$. Then $|K(A_t)|$ is odd (cf. (2.1)). Now t centralizes at most two elements in the center of a Sylow 2-subgroup of K . By Bender [4], $K \approx A_5$, so $G \approx S_5$. This is easy to eliminate.

Thus, a Sylow 2-subgroup S of G is as in (5.1(i)). Also, G has no normal Klein group since G is transitive. Hence, by Brauer [5] and Alperin–Brauer–Gorenstein [1], since G is generated by its involutions, $G \approx PSL(2, q)$, $PGL(2, q)$, $PSL(3, q)$, $PSU(3, q)$, A_7 , or M_{11} (for some odd prime power q).

Let s be an involution in $Z(S)$, and $L = A_s$. Then $C_G(s) \leq G_L$. In almost every case, $C_G(s) \leq H < G$ implies that $s \in Z^*(H)$. The only exceptions are: A_7 , $PSL(2, 5)$, $PSL(2, 9)$. Each of these is easily eliminated. (Recall that \mathcal{D} is assumed not to be $PG(2, 2)$ or $PG(3, 2)$.)

Thus, $s \in Z^*(G_L)$, so $G_L = G(L)C_G(s)$ by the Frattini argument. Then $C_G(s)$ has G_L^L as a homomorphic image, where (by (5.2)) G_L^L is a Frobenius group of even order whose kernel has order k . Hence, if $G \not\approx PSL(2, q)$, $PGL(2, q)$, we must have $k = 3$, so Section 4 applies.

We may thus assume that $G \approx PSL(2, q)$ or $PGL(2, q)$, so $C_G(s) = G_L$. Let $q \equiv \epsilon \pmod{4}$, where $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Then $k \mid q - \epsilon$, so $q > 9$. Now $G(A_s) \triangleleft C_G(s)$ implies that s is the only involution in $G(A_s)$. If t is an involution not conjugate to s , $C_G(t)$ is again a maximal subgroup, and as above $k \mid q + \epsilon$, which is absurd. Thus, $G \approx PSL(2, q)$.

Moreover, there are exactly $vr/k = \frac{1}{2}q(q + \epsilon)$ lines. By (3.3(ii)), $r - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(q - \epsilon)$. Since $v = 1 + r(k - 1)$ and $k \mid r - 1$ (by (3.3v) and (5.2)), we obtain $\frac{1}{2}q(q + \epsilon) = vr/k = (1 + \frac{1}{2}(q - \epsilon + 2)(k - 1))\frac{1}{2}(q - \epsilon + 2)/k$, so $q - \epsilon + 2 \mid \frac{1}{2}q(q + \epsilon)$. Then $\epsilon = 1$, so $qk = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(q + 1)(k - 1)$. Hence, $(q - 1)(k + 1) = 0$, which is ridiculous.

II. k EVEN

6. Background

The following key lemma is a slight extension of Lemma 2.7 of Bender [4].

LEMMA 6.1. *Let Y be a group having an elementary abelian Sylow 2-subgroup S , and write $X = O(Y)$. Let p be an odd prime, and $A \leq Y$ an abelian p -group normalized by X but not contained in X . Then $S \leq C_Y(X)$ if either*

- (i) $N_Y(S)$ is irreducible on S and $S \leq \langle A^Y \rangle$, or
- (ii) $SX \triangleleft Y$ and $C_{SX/X}(A) = 1$.

Proof. Let Y be a minimal counterexample. We first show that (i) holds if (ii) does. Thus, let $1 < S_1 < S$ be such that A normalizes S_1X . Write $Y_1 = AS_1X$. Then $O(Y_1) \geq X$, but $A \not\leq O(Y_1)$ (as otherwise $[S_1, A] \leq S_1X \cap O(Y_1) = X$). Also, $O(Y_1) = (A \cap O(Y_1))X$ normalizes A . If SX/X is not A -irreducible, it is completely reducible under A , while the minimality of Y yields $S_1 \leq C_G(X)$; thus, $S \leq C_G(X)$ in this case. Consequently, SX/X is A -irreducible. Since $Y = N_Y(X)$, this proves that (i) holds.

Now assume (i). We must show that $C_S(X) \neq 1$.

By the Feit-Thompson theorem [8], X is solvable. Suppose $M = O_{p'}(X)$ is nontrivial. Then $[A, M] \leq A \cap M = 1$. Also, Y/M satisfies the conditions of the lemma, so S centralizes X/M by the minimality of Y . By hypothesis, $C_Y(M) \geq \langle A^Y \rangle \geq S$. Thus, S centralizes X/M , M , and hence also X .

Consequently, $O_{p'}(X) = 1$. Then $O_{p'}(Y) \not\leq X$ would imply that $O_{p'}(Y) = O_2(Y)$ centralizes X . Thus, $O_{p'}(Y) = 1$.

Let $F = O_p(X)$. Then $\bar{Y} = Y/F$ and $\bar{A} = AF/F$ act on $\bar{F} = F/\Phi(F)$. Here $O_p(\bar{Y}) = 1$ (as $O_p(Y) \leq X$). By hypothesis, $[\bar{F}, \bar{A}, \bar{A}] = 1$.

However, \bar{Y} is p -stable [10, p. 234], so $\bar{A} = 1$. Then $A \leq F \leq X$, which is not the case.

THEOREM 6.2 (Buekenhout [6]). *Let \mathcal{D} be a design with $\lambda = 1$ and $k \geq 4$. Assume that each triangle is contained in a subspace which is an affine plane of order k . Then \mathcal{D} is an affine space.*

LEMMA 6.3. *For each $e \geq 2$, there is a unique design $\mathcal{D}(2^e)$ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (i) $\lambda = 1$, $k = 2^e$, $r = 2^{e-1} + 1$.
- (ii) *There is an automorphism group G of $\mathcal{D}(2^e)$ isomorphic to $PSL(2, 2^{e+1})$.*
- (iii) *G is transitive on incident point-line pairs.*
- (iv) *G_L is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G .*
- (v) $|G(L)| = 2$.

Proof. Write $q = 2^{e+1}$. Assume $\mathcal{D}(2^e)$ exists. Lines correspond to involutions, so there are $(q^2 - 1)k/r = \frac{1}{2}q(q - 1)$ points. Thus, G_x is dihedral of order $2(q + 1)$. Clearly, the line fixed pointwise by an involution t is on x if and only if $t \in G_x$.

It remains to prove existence. Start with $G = PSL(2, q)$. Let points be subgroups of order $2(q+1)$, let lines be involutions, and let incidence correspond to containment. There are then $q^2 - 1$ lines, $v = \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)$ points, $q+1$ lines per point, and hence $\frac{1}{2}q$ points per line. G_x is transitive on the lines on the point x .

Suppose x and y are distinct points. Since $O(G_x)$ is a T.I. group, $|G_x \cap G_y| \leq 2$. That is, x and y are on at most one line.

Fix a point x . There are $q+1$ lines on x , each having $\frac{1}{2}q - 1$ points $\neq x$. No two of these lines meet except at x . Thus, these lines cover $1 + (q+1)(\frac{1}{2}q - 1) = v$ points.

This proves (i)–(iii). Since $G_L \leq C_G(t)$ if the line L corresponds to t , (iv) holds, and then (v) is clear.

LEMMA 6.4.

- (i) $\mathcal{D}(2^e)$ has no proper subspace.
- (ii) $H = P\Gamma L(2, 2^{e+1})$ is an automorphism group of $\mathcal{D}(2^e)$.
- (iii) For each point x , H_x is not 2-transitive on the lines through x .
- (iv) $|H(L)| = 2$ for each line L .

Proof.

(i) Let Δ be such a subspace. Let $y \notin \Delta$. Each of the lines xy , $x \in \Delta$, meets Δ just once. Thus, $2k+1 \geq |\Delta|$. On the other hand, since Δ has at least k lines per point, $|\Delta| \geq 1 + k(k-1)$. This is impossible.

(ii) This follows immediately from the proof of existence in (6.3).

(iii) Suppose H_x is 2-transitive. Then $2^{e+1} = r - 1 \mid |H_x|$. Also, $v = k(2k-1)$, so $2^e \mid |H: H_x|$. Hence, $2^{2e+1} \mid |H|$. Since $2^{2e+1} \nmid 2^{e+1}(e+1)$, this is impossible.

(iv) This also follows from the construction.

LEMMA 6.5. Let \mathcal{D} and G be as in Theorem 3, where \mathcal{D} is a translation plane, G contains the translation group, and $G(L)$ has no Klein group. Then

- (i) G has a normal subgroup H of index 2 such that $|H(L)|$ is odd; and
- (ii) $O(G(L))$ fixes a line $L' \neq L$ and acts faithfully on L' , so $|O(G(L))|$ divides $k-1$.

Proof.

(i) By Dembowski [7, p. 188], a Sylow 2-subgroup Q of $G(L)$ has order 2 and is normal in $G(L)$. Now (i) follows from (2.4).

(ii) By Dembowski [7, p. 172], $O(G(L))$ consists of homologies, so (ii) holds.

7. Preliminary Results

By (3.1), when k is even Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 3.

Let \mathcal{D} and G provide a counterexample to Theorem 3 with minimal $|G|$. Then G is generated by its axial involutions. Recall that $k = 2^e$.

As in Chapter I, L is any line and $x \in L$. We will use the following additional notation:

t is an involution in $G(L)$,

S is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(t)$,

$Q = S \cap G(L)$, and

F is the Fitting subgroup of G_x .

We note that one of the hypotheses of Theorem 3 implies that if u is an involution in $C_G(t)$ with axis $L' \neq L$, then t is not a square in $C_G(u)$.

LEMMA 7.1. *Each involution $t \in G(L)$ fixes exactly $(v - k)/k$ lines $\neq L$.*

Proof. If $z \notin L$, then t fixes the line through z and z^t . Also, no two fixed lines of t can meet.

LEMMA 7.2.

(i) G_x is transitive on the lines on x .

(ii) If G_x contains a Klein group, then $\langle t^{G_x} \rangle$ acts on the lines on x as $PSL(2, 2^f)$, $Sz(2^f)$, or $PSU(3, 2^f)$, in its usual 2-transitive representation.

(iii) G is transitive on the ordered pairs (x, L) with $x \in L$.

(iv) All involutions fixing k points are conjugate.

Proof. For each line L on x and involution $t \in G(L)$, t cannot fix another line on x . Sylow's theorem implies (i), and Bender's theorem [4] implies (ii). Moreover, G is transitive on lines, and hence on points [7, p. 78]. Thus, (iii) holds, and hence so does (iv).

LEMMA 7.3. $k \mid r - 1$ and $k < r - 1$.

Proof. Since $v = 1 + r(k - 1)$, (7.1) implies that $k \mid r - 1$. Suppose

$r - 1 = k$. By (7.2(iii)) and Ostrom and Wagner [19], Theorem 7, or Dembowski [7, pp. 214–215], \mathcal{D} is an affine translation plane and G contains the translation group. This contradicts the assumption made at the start of this section.

LEMMA 7.4. *There exist two intersecting lines generating a subspace which is not an affine plane.*

Proof. Deny! By (6.2), \mathcal{D} is an affine space over $GF(k)$. t acts on the translation group V , where V is an elementary abelian 2-group. By (2.2), $k \geq (|V|)^{1/2}$, so $k^2 \geq v = 1 + r(k - 1)$. This contradicts (7.3).

LEMMA 7.5. *$G(L)$ has no Klein group.*

Proof. Deny! Then G_x has a normal subgroup $H = \langle t^{G_x} \rangle$ acting on the lines on x as stated in (7.2ii). In particular, $r - 1 = 2^f$, 2^{2f} , or 2^{3f} , where $f > 1$. Let K be the kernel of the action of G_x on the lines on x . Q is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $H(L)$.

We claim that $X = O(G(L))$ is trivial. For, $XK/K \leq O(G_{xL}/K) = 1$, so $X \leq K$. It follows that X fixes each line meeting L . Thus, $X = 1$.

In particular, $K \approx K^L$ is a Frobenius complement of odd order. Since $\bar{H} = H/K \cap H$ is simple, it follows that $K \cap H \leq Z(H)$. Thus, $Q \triangleleft G_{xL}$.

We claim that $G(L)$ is semiregular off of L . For, suppose that $1 \neq g \in G(L)$ and that the set Δ of fixed points of g is a subspace properly containing L . By (2.2), $C_G(g)$ is transitive on the lines $\neq L$ of Δ through x . In particular, it follows that $C_G(g)^\Delta$ and Δ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3. If $|\Delta| = k^2$, the 2-transitivity of G_x implies that any two intersecting lines of \mathcal{D} are contained in an affine plane, and this contradicts (7.4). Thus, Δ is $\mathcal{D}(k)$, and this contradicts (6.4iii).

Let $h \in H_L$ be such that its image $\bar{h} \in \bar{H}$ has order $2^f - 1$. Then h^i fixes exactly one other line $L' \neq L$ on x , whenever $h^i \notin K$. We know that h^i cannot fix pointwise a subspace of more than k points. Choose h^i with $|h^i|$ a prime power, and find that $\langle h^i \rangle$ is semiregular on $L - \{x\}$ or $L' - \{x\}$. Thus, $2^f - 1 \mid k - 1 = 2^e - 1$, so $f \mid e$.

By (7.3), $2^e \mid r - 1$ and $2^e < r - 1$. Thus, $\bar{H} \approx Sz(2^f)$ and $e = f$, or $\bar{H} \approx PSU(3, 2^f)$ and $e = f$ or $e = 2f$. Moreover, if $e = f$ then $|G_{xL}^L|$ is divisible by each prime power divisor of $k - 1$, so that G_L^L is 2-transitive. By (7.4) and [16], Theorem 3.4, this is impossible.

Thus, $\bar{H} \approx PSU(3, 2^f)$ and $e = 2f$. Replace h above by an element of order dividing $(2^f + 1)/(2^f + 1, 3)$. Then h^i fixes exactly $2^f + 1$ lines on x whenever $h^i \notin K$. We know that h^i fixes at most k points. Hence, as above, we find that $(2^f + 1)/(2^f + 1, 3)$ divides $|G_{LL'}^L|$.

It follows that $(2^{2f} - 1)/3 = (k - 1)/3$ divides the length of each orbit

of $G_{LL'}$ on $L' - \{x\}$. The 2-transitivity of G_x implies that each orbit of G_{xL} of points off L has length divisible by $(r-1)(k-1)/3 = (v-k)/3$. For any point $z \notin L$,

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{zL} : G_{zzL}| &= |G_L : G_{xL}| |G_{xL} : G_{zzL}| / |G_L : G_{zL}| \\ &= k \cdot (v-k)\alpha / (v-k)\beta = k\alpha/\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \{1/3, 2/3, 1\}$. Hence, each orbit of G_{zL}^L has length divisible by $k/2$.

Let R be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{zL} . Then R induces an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of G_L^L , and hence is elementary abelian. Thus, $|R| \geq k/2 = 2^{2f-1}$ where $f > 1$. On the other hand, R is isomorphic to a subgroup of $PGU(3, 2^f)$. Since an involution in $PGU(3, 2^f) - PSU(3, 2^f)$ fixes more than one line in the usual permutation representation, $|R| \leq 2^f$. This contradiction proves the lemma.

LEMMA 7.6. *If S is elementary abelian, then $N_G(S)$ is transitive on $S \cap t^G$.*

Proof. If $t^g \in S$, then $S^{g^{-1}}$ is Sylow in $C_G(t)$, so $S^{g^{-1}} = S^c$ with $c \in C_G(t)$. Thus, $cg \in N_G(S)$ and $t^g = t^{cg}$.

LEMMA 7.7. *Assume that $t \in Z(G_L)$, and $C_{G(L)}(u) = \langle t \rangle$ whenever $t \neq u \in t^G \cap C_G(t)$. Assume further that $|G(L)|$ divides $r-1$. Label the involutions in G_L^L in any way, and let α_i denote the number of elements of $t^G \cap C_G(t)$ inducing the i -th involution. Let δ be the number of nonzero α_i 's. Then the following hold.*

- (i) $\alpha_i = \beta_i |G(L)|/2$ with $\beta_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.
- (ii) $2(k-1) \geq 2\delta \geq \sum \beta_i = (k-1) \cdot 2(r-1)/k |G(L)|$, where $2(r-1)/k |G(L)|$ is an integer.
- (iii) If some $\beta_i = 2$, then $G(L)$ is abelian. Moreover, if $u_i \in t^G \cap C_G(t)$ induces the i -th involution, then u inverts $G(L)$.

Proof.

(i) Clearly, u has $\frac{1}{2} |G(L)|$ conjugates under $\langle u \rangle G(L)$. This proves that $uG(L)$ contains $\frac{1}{2} |G(L)|$ or $|G(L)|$ conjugates of t .

(ii) By (7.1) and (7.5), $\sum \alpha_i = (v-k)/k$. Hence,

$$\sum \beta_i = (k-1) \cdot 2(r-1)/k |G(L)|.$$

Since $k-1$ is odd and $|G(L)| \mid r-1$, $2(r-1)/k \mid |G(L)|$ is an integer. This proves (ii).

(iii) Here, $u_i G(L)$ consists entirely of involutions.

LEMMA 7.8. *Suppose $F_L = 1$. Then*

- (i) t inverts F ;
- (ii) $G_x = FC_G(t)_x$;
- (iii) F is abelian, and is regular on the lines on x ; and
- (iv) t is the unique involution in $G(L)$.

Proof. By (7.2i) and (7.5), $G_x \supseteq \langle t \rangle O(G_x) = X$. Here, X is solvable (by [8]), and F is its Fitting subgroup. $C_F(t) \leq F \cap G_L = 1$, so t inverts F . If $t' \in X$ is another involution, then $tt' \in C_X(F)$, where $C_X(F) = F$ (by [10], p. 218). Thus, $\langle t^X \rangle = \langle t'^X \rangle = \langle t \rangle F$ is transitive and $G_x = FC_G(t)_x$. Also, if $t' \in G(L)$ then $tt' \in F \cap G(L) = 1$.

8. Elementary Abelian S

In this section, we will prove:

PROPOSITION 8.0. *S is not elementary abelian.*

Thus, assume that S is elementary abelian. Clearly, $S \cap G(L) = \langle t \rangle$. By the Feit-Thompson theorem [8], G_x is solvable.

LEMMA 8.1. *G_L has a normal subgroup of index 2 not containing t .*

Proof. $G_L/O(G(L)) \supseteq S \cdot O(G(L))/O(G(L))$, and $tO(G(L)) \in Z(G_L/O(G(L)))$. Now apply Maschke's theorem (or transfer).

LEMMA 8.2. *If $S \trianglelefteq G_L$, then not all involutions in S are conjugate.*

Proof. Deny! Then S is Sylow in G , and distinct conjugates of S intersect trivially. Thus, since G is generated by its involutions, $G \approx \text{PSL}(2, 2k)$.

Hence, \mathcal{D} is $\mathcal{D}(k)$ by (6.3). However, we have assumed this is not the case.

LEMMA 8.3. *If $N \triangleleft G_x$ and $N \cap G(L) = 1$ then $N \cap G_L = 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $N \cap G_L \neq 1$. Since $[N, G(L)] = 1$, $C_G(G(L))$ is transitive on L . Also, $S \cap G(L) = \langle t \rangle$. Consequently, there is a normal subgroup $R \times G(L)$ of G_L , with R elementary abelian of order k .

Let ϵ be the number of involutions in $G(L)$. Each coset $rG(L) \neq G(L)$, $r \in R$, contains exactly $\epsilon + 1$ involutions. Count in two ways the ordered triples (u, x, y) with $u \in t^G$ and $x^u = y \neq x$. Since there are vr/k lines, there are $(vr/k)\epsilon \cdot (v - k)$ triples. On the other hand, if $x, y \in L$ are given, then u must be in $RG(L)$, so $u = rg$ with $1 \neq r \in R$ and $g \in G(L)$ an involution or 1; thus, there are at most $v(v - 1)(\epsilon + 1)$ triples.

Hence, $(vr/k)\epsilon(v - k) \leq v(v - 1)(\epsilon + 1)$. Here, $v - k = (r - 1)(k - 1)$, so $r - 1 \leq (1 + 1/\epsilon)k \leq 2k$. By (7.3), $k \mid r - 1$ and $k < r - 1$. Thus, $r - 1 = 2k$, $\epsilon = 1$, and all involutions in $RG(L)$ are conjugate to t . Since $\epsilon = 1$, $G_L \supseteq S$. This contradicts (8.2).

LEMMA 8.4. *Let p be a prime and A a nontrivial normal abelian p -subgroup of G_x . Assume that a Sylow p -subgroup P of $G(L)$ is nontrivial, and let Δ be its set of fixed points. Then the following hold.*

- (i) $|\Delta| = k, k^2$, or $k(2k - 1)$.
- (ii) If $|\Delta| = k(2k - 1)$, then $G_{\Delta}^A \supseteq \text{PSL}(2, 2k)$.
- (iii) If $|\Delta| = k^2$, then Δ is a translation plane.
- (iv) $C_G(P)_L$ is transitive on L .

Proof. If $P \leq G(L')$, then $G(L')$ has an involution normalizing P since $4 \nmid |G(L)|$. Thus, if $|\Delta| > k$ then $N_G(P)^A$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3. This proves (i)–(iii).

Since $A \not\leq P$ and P is Sylow in $G(L)$, necessarily $N_A(P) \not\leq G(\Delta)$ and $N_A(P)^A$ is semiregular on $\Delta - \{x\}$. Clearly, $N_G(P) \cap G(\Delta)$ normalizes $N_A(P)$, and $N_A(P)$ is abelian.

Suppose (ii) holds, and let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(P)$. Then $S \cap G(\Delta) = 1$, and $(N_G(P) \cap N_G(S))^A$ is irreducible on S^A . Thus, $N_G(P) \cap N_G(S)$ is irreducible on S . By (6.1i) with $Y = N_G(P)$ and $X = N_G(P) \cap G(\Delta)$, $C_G(P) \geq S$. Since $C_G(P)^A \trianglelefteq N_G(P)^A$, it follows that $C_G(P)^A \geq \text{PSL}(2, 2k)$. In particular, (iv) holds in this case.

If $|\Delta| = k^2$, then (by (6.5)) $N_G(P)$ has a subgroup Y of index 2 such that $Y \cap G(L)$ has odd order. Similarly, if $|\Delta| = k$ then (by (8.1)) $N_G(P)$ also has such a subgroup Y .

Set $X = Y \cap G(\Delta)$. Then X has odd order. Clearly, $N_A(P)$ is an abelian p -group contained in Y , not contained in X , and normalized by X .

Let R be a Sylow 2-subgroup of Y . Then R^A is a regular normal subgroup of $N_G(P)^A$. Since $N_A(P)^A$ is semiregular on $\Delta - \{x\}$, it is fixed-point-free on R^A . $O(N_G(P)^A) = 1$, so $X = O(Y)$.

Hence, (6.1(ii)) applies, so $R \leq C_Y(X) \leq C_G(P)$. Since $(R^A)_L$ is transitive on L , this proves (iv).

LEMMA 8.5. *If A is a normal abelian p -subgroup of G_x , then $A \cap G(L) = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $B = A(L) \neq 1$. If $B \leq G(L')$ with $x \in L'$, then $L' = L^g$ with $g \in G_x$ (see (7.2(iii))). Thus, $B \leq G(L)^g \cap A^g = B^g$. Consequently, $N_G(B)_x$ is transitive on the lines on x fixed pointwise by B .

By (8.4iv), $C_G(B)_L$ is transitive on L . Consequently, $N_G(B)$ is transitive on the set Γ of fixed points of B . Moreover, B is weakly closed in $G(L)$ with respect to G .

Any two points x_1, y_1 of \mathcal{D} are in exactly one set Γ^g , $g \in G$. (For, by (7.2(iii)), we may assume that $x_1 = x$ and $y_1 \in L$.) Thus, these sets form a new design with $\lambda = 1$. Since A is abelian, it fixes Γ and hence each Γ -line on x ; hence so does B . But $B = (A^h)_x$, where $x \neq x^h \in L$ and $h \in G$, so B also fixes each Γ -line on x^h . Since each point not in Γ is the intersection of Γ -lines on x and x^h , it follows that $B = 1$.

LEMMA 8.6. $F_L = 1$.

Proof. Deny! By (8.3), $F(L) \neq 1$. Let p be a prime such that $U_y \neq 1$, where $U = O_p(F)$. Let $A = Z(U)$. By (8.3) and (8.5), A moves L . Thus, if Γ is the set of fixed points of U_y , then $|\Gamma| > k$.

By (8.4(iv)), $C_G(U_y)_L$ is transitive on L . If $x \in L' \subseteq \Gamma$, then $U_y = U(L')$ is normalized by each involution in $G(L')$. The minimality of G thus implies that $|\Gamma| = k^2$ or $k(2k - 1)$; moreover, $N_G(U_y)$ has an elementary abelian subgroup R such that R^F is regular if $|\Gamma| = k^2$, while $|R| = 2k$ if $|\Gamma| = k(2k - 1)$.

Let L' be one of the $(v/k) - (|\Gamma|/k)$ lines fixed by t not in Γ , and let $t' \in G(L')$ centralize t . Since $|\Gamma|$ is even, $\Gamma \cap L' = \emptyset$, so $|\Gamma| = k^2$. We may assume that t' normalizes R , and $t'^F \in R^F$. Then $t' \in R$, so $R \leq C_G(t')$ and $|R| = k^2$, whereas a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(t')$ is assumed in this section to have order $2k$.

LEMMA 8.7.

- (i) F is regular on the lines on x .
- (ii) t is the unique involution in $G(L)$.
- (iii) $G_{xL}^L = 1$.

Proof. (8.6) and (7.8) imply (i) and (ii).

Suppose $G_{xL}^L \neq 1$. Write $X = (G_{xL})' G(L)F$, so $X \triangleleft G_x$.

Let $w \in G_{xL}$. We claim that $w^\theta \equiv w \pmod{X}$ whenever $w^\theta \in G_x$ and $g \in G$. This is clear if $g \in G_x$ (since $(G_x)' \leq X$) so we may assume that $g \notin G_x$. Then w fixes $x^{\theta^{-1}} \neq x$. Let L' be the line through x and $x^{\theta^{-1}}$. Then $w \in G(L')$. Since G_x is transitive on the lines through x , it follows that $w \in X$. Similarly, $w^\theta \in G(L'^\theta)$ and $x = (x^{\theta^{-1}})^\theta \in L'^\theta$, so $w^\theta \in X$. This proves our claim.

Since $|G_x/X| \mid k-1$ and $k-1 \mid v-1$, $(|G:G_x|, |G_x/X|) = 1$. Transferring into G_x/X , we find that G has a proper normal subgroup H of odd index such that $H \cap G_x = X$. Since G is generated by its involutions, this is impossible.

LEMMA 8.8. $G(L)$ is semiregular off of L .

Proof. Deny! Let $W \leq G(L)$ be a nontrivial q -group (for some prime q) fixing a point off L . Let Δ denote the subspace of fixed points of W .

By (8.7(iii)), each fixed line L' of W is pointwise fixed. By (8.7(ii)), the involution in $G(L')$ centralizes W . Hence, $C_G(W)^\Delta$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3, so either $|\Delta| = k^2$ or $|\Delta| = k(2k-1)$. If $|\Delta| = k^2$, then (6.5) and (8.7iii) show that $|N_G(W)^\Delta(L)| = 2$. By (6.4iv) and (8.7iii), the same equality holds when $|\Delta| = k(2k-1)$. Consequently, a Sylow q -subgroup of $G(L)$ fixes Δ pointwise, so we may assume that W is Sylow in $G(L)$.

Let $t_1 \in (C_G(t) - \{t\}) \cap t^G$. Since $G(L) = \langle t \rangle \times O(G(L))$, t_1 normalizes some Sylow q -subgroup W_1 of $C_G(t) = G_L$. Consider first the case $W_1 = W$. Here, t_1 acts on Δ . If $|\Delta| = k^2$ and t_1^Δ is in the translation group of $N(W)^\Delta$, then t_1 centralizes an elementary abelian 2-group of order k^2 , whereas a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(t_1)$ has order $2k$; thus, $A_{t_1} \subset \Delta$. If $|\Delta| = k(2k-1)$, t_1 must fix points of Δ , and again $A_{t_1} \subset \Delta$. Thus, $W \leq G(A_{t_1})$ in either case.

In the case of general W_1 , Sylow's theorem shows that $W_1 \leq G(A_{t_1})$. Since each point $z \notin L$ is fixed by some conjugate of t (cf. (7.1(i))), z and L lie in a subspace having k^2 or $k(2k-1)$ points. The intersection of subspaces of \mathscr{D} is a subspace, so (by (6.4i)) z and L are in a unique subspace having k^2 or $k(2k-1)$ points.

Moreover, Sylow's theorem implies that all these subspaces have k^2 points—which is impossible by (7.4)—or $k(2k-1)$ points. Hence, all involutions in S are conjugate.

Let U be any Sylow subgroup of $O(G(L))$. We may assume S normalizes U , and then $|S| \geq 8$ implies that some involution t' in $S - \langle t \rangle$ centralizes a nontrivial subgroup U_0 of U . Then U_0 fixes a point of the axis of t' . Hence, the first part of this proof shows that U fixes exactly $k(2k-1)$ points. By (6.4i), Δ^g is the set of fixed points of U , for some $g \in G_L$.

We now see that $G(\Delta)$ contains a Sylow q -subgroup for each prime $q \mid |O(G(L))|$. Consequently, $O(G(L))^\Delta = 1$, so $\Delta^g = \Delta$ for all $g \in G(L)$. Since we already know that each point of \mathscr{D} is in Δ^g for some $g \in G(L)$, this is absurd.

LEMMA 8.9.

- (i) $G(L)$ is fixed-point-free on F , so $|G(L)|$ divides $|F| - 1 = v - 1$.

- (ii) Each element of $t^G \cap (C_G(t) - \{t\})$ inverts $G(L)$.
- (iii) $G(L)$ is cyclic.

Proof.

- (i) By (8.7i) and (8.8), if $1 \neq g \in G(L)$ then $C_F(g) \leq F_L = 1$.
- (ii) Let $u \in G(L')$ be such an element. Then $C_{G(L)}(u)$ acts on L' . By (8.7(iii)), $C_{O(G(L))}(u) \leq G(L')$, where $G(L) \cap G(L') = 1$ by (8.8).
- (iii) By (ii), $G(L)$ is abelian, and hence is cyclic by (i).

LEMMA 8.10. $C_G(t)$ contains an involution not conjugate to t .

Proof. Otherwise, S is an elementary abelian group of order $2k \geq 8$, all of whose involutions are conjugate to t . By (8.9(ii)), $O(G(L)) = 1$. This contradicts (8.2).

Conclusion of the Proof of Proposition 8.0.

We will use the notation of (7.7). By (8.10), $2(k-1) > \sum \beta_i = (k-1) \cdot 2(r-1)/k |G(L)|$. Hence, $k-1 = \sum \beta_i \leq 2\delta$, so $\delta \geq k/2$. If $u_1, u_2 \in (C_G(t) - \{t\}) \cap t^G$, then $u_1 u_2$ centralizes $G(L)$ by (8.9ii). Hence, $|C_G(G(L))^L| \geq k/2$. By (8.7iii) and (8.9(iii)), $C_G(G(L)) = R \times G(L)$ with $|R| \geq k/2$ and $|G_L: RG(L)| \leq 2$. Since $k < r-1 = k |O(G(L))|$ by (7.3), while u_1 inverts $O(G(L))$, we must have $|R| = k/2$ and $(R\langle t \rangle) \cap t^G = \{t\}$. In particular, $\delta = k/2$.

Now $\sum \beta_i = k-1 = 2\delta-1$, so $\beta_i = 0$ or 2 with the exception of a single i for which $\beta_i = 1$. Certainly $|S: R\langle t \rangle| = 2$, and hence $S - R\langle t \rangle$ consists of conjugates of t , except for just one element. By (7.6), $N_G(S)$ has an element g such that $(R\langle t \rangle)^g \neq R\langle t \rangle$. Then $(R\langle t \rangle)^g$ has $k/2$ elements not in $R\langle t \rangle$. If $k/2 > 2$, then $(R\langle t \rangle)^g$ contains more than one conjugate of t , whereas $R\langle t \rangle$ does not.

Hence, $k = 4$ and $|S| = 8$. By (7.6) and (8.7(iii)), $N_G(S)/C_G(S)$ is a 2-group regular on $S \cap t^G$. There are three involutions $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in S$ not conjugate to t , two in $R\langle t \rangle$ and one in $S - R\langle t \rangle$. Then $r_1 r_2 \neq r_3$. $N_G(S)$ fixes some pair $\{r_\alpha, r_\beta\}$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, and hence centralizes $r_\alpha r_\beta \in t^G$, which is ridiculous.

9. The Case v/k Odd

Proposition 8.0 has the following easy corollaries.

LEMMA 9.1.

- (i) v/k is odd.
- (ii) S is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G .

Proof.

(i) Suppose v/k is even. S acts on the $(v/k) - 1$ lines $\neq L$ fixed by t (see (7.1)), and hence fixes one of them, say L' . Then S centralizes an involution $u \in G(L')$, so $u \in Z(S)$. Since t is not a square in $C_G(u)$, $|Q| = 2$. Also, S/Q is elementary abelian. If S is abelian, it follows that it is elementary abelian, and then (8.0) applies. If S is nonabelian, then by [10], p. 196, it has an extraspecial subgroup having center $Q = \langle t \rangle$. Then t is a square in $S \leq C_G(u)$, which is not the case.

(ii) There are vr/k lines.

LEMMA 9.2. $G_{xL}^L = 1$.

Proof. Deny! By (7.5), $Q = S \cap G(L)$ is cyclic or quaternion. Also, $G_L = G(L)N_G(Q)$, so $N_G(Q)^L$ is a Frobenius group whose kernel is an elementary abelian 2-group. In particular, no nontrivial homomorphic image of $N_G(Q)^L$ can be S_3 or a 2-group.

On the other hand, $N_G(Q)/QC_G(Q)$ is isomorphic to a group of outer automorphisms of Q , and hence is A_3 , S_3 , or a 2-group. Consequently, $QC_G(Q)$ contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(Q)$.

By (7.1), we can find $u \in t^G \cap (C_G(t) - \{t\})$, and we may assume that $u \in QC_S(Q)$. Then $u = qc$ with $q \in Q$ and $c \in C_S(Q)$. Clearly, u centralizes q and c . But t is not a square in $C_G(u)$, so $q = 1$ or t . Then $u \in C_G(Q)$, so necessarily $|Q| = 2$.

Similarly, if $u \in Z(S)$, then t cannot be a square in S . Then S is elementary abelian, and this contradicts (8.0).

Thus, S is nonabelian, so $S' = \langle t \rangle$. Then $S = EZ(S)$ with E an extraspecial group ([10], p. 196). If $|E| > 8$ then $C_E(u)$ has an element of order 4, which is again a contradiction. Thus, $|E| = 8$.

Since $G_L \supseteq SG(L)$, $G_L^L = N_G(S)^L$ by the Frattini argument. Thus, $N_G(S)_x$ has a subgroup H of odd order inducing a nontrivial fixed-point-free group on $S/\langle t \rangle$. By Maschke's theorem, $S/\langle t \rangle = B/\langle t \rangle \times Z(S)/\langle t \rangle$ with $B > \langle t \rangle$ invariant under H . Here, $|B| = 8$, and H acts nontrivially on B , so B is quaternion. Now $u \in S = BZ(S)$ implies that t is a square in $C_S(u)$, which is not the case.

LEMMA 9.3. \blacktriangleright There is no subspace $\Delta \approx \mathcal{D}(k)$ such that $G_{\Delta}^{\Delta} \geq \text{PSL}(2, 2k)$.

Proof. Assume Δ exists. Then S has an elementary abelian subgroup X of order $2k \geq 8$ all of whose involutions are conjugate to t . If $Q > \langle t \rangle$, it has a subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ of order 4 normal in S . If $Q = \langle t \rangle$, then once again $S = EZ(S)$ with E extraspecial, so S has a normal subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ of order 4.

In either case, $g^2 = t$. For each $u \in X - \langle t \rangle$, we know that $g \notin C_G(u)$, so $g^u = g^{-1}$. Since $|X| \geq 8$, this is absurd.

LEMMA 9.4. $F_L = 1$.

Proof. Deny! By (9.2), $F(L) = F_L \neq 1$. Let p be a prime dividing $|F(L)|$, P a Sylow p -subgroup of $G(L)$, and Δ the set of fixed points of P . Clearly, $N_{PF}(P) > P$, so $N_F(P)$ moves L by (9.2). Thus, $|\Delta| > k$.

Since $t \in Z^*(G(L))$ by (7.5) and [9], $N_{G(L)}(P)$ contains an involution. Clearly, this is also true for any line $L' \subset \Delta$. Thus, the minimality of G forces $|\Delta| = k^2$ or $k(2k-1)$. By (9.3), $|\Delta| = k^2$.

By (9.2) and (6.5), $|N_G(P)^\Delta| = k^2(k+1)2$. Then $N_G(P)$ has a subgroup Y of index 2 such that $t \notin Y$ and Y/X is a Frobenius group of order $k^2(k+1)$ whose kernel is an elementary abelian 2-group; here, $X = Y \cap G(\Delta)$.

Let $A = O_p(Z(F))$. Then $N_{PA}(P) > P$ and $N_A(P)$ moves L (by (9.2)). Thus, $N_A(P) \leq Y$, $N_A(P) \not\leq X$, and X normalizes $N_A(P)$. By (6.1ii), $C_G(X)$ has an elementary abelian 2-subgroup R of order k^2 regular on Δ .

Let $U = O_p(G_x)$. Then $U_y \leq P$, so $R \leq C_G(U_y)$. Let Γ be the set of fixed points of U_y . Then $\Gamma \supseteq \Delta$. Since $U \triangleleft G_x$, (7.2i) implies that $N_G(U_y)_x$ is transitive on the fixed lines of U_y through x . Also, $R_L^L \leq C_G(U_y)_L^L$ is transitive, while t normalizes U_y . It follows that $N_G(U_y)^\Gamma$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3, so $\Gamma = \Delta$ by (9.3).

Let L' be one of the $(v/k) - (|\Gamma|/k)$ lines not in Γ fixed by t . Then t centralizes an involution $u \in G(L')$. Since $C_G(U_y)_L$ is transitive on L , $U_y = O_p(G_y)_x$. Thus, $U_y \triangleleft G_L$, so u normalizes U_y and hence acts on Γ . However, $L' \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$, so we can choose R so that u normalizes R and $u^r \in R^r$. Thus, $u \in R$, so $C_G(u)^{L'}$ has an elementary abelian subgroup $R^{L'}$ of order $\geq k^2/2 > k$, which is absurd.

COROLLARY 9.5.

- (i) F is abelian and is regular on the lines on x .
- (ii) t is the unique involution in $G(L)$.

Proof. (9.4) and (7.8).

LEMMA 9.6.

- (i) $G(L)$ is semiregular off of L .
- (ii) $G(L)$ is fixed-point-free on F so $|G(L)|$ divides $|F| - 1 = r - 1$.
- (iii) If $u \in t^G \cap (C_G(t) - \{t\})$ then $C_{G(L)}(u) = \langle t \rangle$.

Proof. In view of the proof of (8.9), we need only prove (i).

We will imitate the proof of (8.8). Let $1 \neq W \leq G(L)$, and suppose that the set Δ of fixed points of W has more than k points. By the minimality of G , (9.5(ii)), and (9.3), $|\Delta| = k^2$. Also, $|N_G(W)^A(L)| = 2$ by (9.2) and (6.5), while $|C_F(W)| = k + 1$ by (2.2). Consequently, the following condition holds:

(*) If $1 \neq W \leq G(L)$ and $C_F(W) \neq 1$, then $N_{G(L)}(W) = \langle t \rangle O(N_{G(L)}(W))$, and $C_F(W) = C_F(U)$ whenever $1 \neq U \leq O(N_{G(L)}(W))$.

Write $F_0 = C_F(W)$ and $H_0 = C_{G(L)}(F_0) \neq 1$. By (*), $H_0 \geq O(N_{G(L)}(U))$ whenever $H_0 \geq U \neq 1$; choose $1 \neq H \leq H_0$ minimal with respect to this property. Then H is Hall in $G(L)$, and $H \cap H^g = 1$ whenever $g \in G(L) - N_{G(L)}(H)$. Note that, by (*), $N_{G(L)}(H) = \langle t \rangle \times H$.

We may now assume that W is Sylow in H . In view of the proof of (8.8), some $u \in t^G \cap (C_G(t) - \{t\})$ does not normalize any conjugate of W in $G(L)$.

In particular, $|Q| > 2$, so that $G(L) > \langle t \rangle H$. Since $\langle t \rangle H \cap \langle t \rangle H^g = \langle t \rangle$ whenever $g \in G(L) - \langle t \rangle H$, it follows that $G(L)/\langle t \rangle$ is a Frobenius group with complement $\langle t \rangle H / \langle t \rangle$; let $K/\langle t \rangle$ be its kernel. By Thompson's theorem [10, p. 337], K is nilpotent. Here, $O_2(K) = Q$ has order ≥ 4 . Since H is fixed-point-free on $Q/\langle t \rangle$, it follows that Q is quaternion of order 8 and $|H| = |W| = 3$.

Thus, $G(L)$ is solvable. There are an odd number of Hall $\{2, 3\}$ -subgroups of $G(L)$, so u normalizes one of them. Thus, we may assume u normalizes HQ . Then u permutes the 4 Sylow 3-subgroups of HQ , and $C_Q(u) = \langle t \rangle$. Thus, either u centralizes H , or $\langle u \rangle HQ/\langle t \rangle \approx S_3$. In either case, u normalizes some conjugate of H . However, we have already noted that this leads to a contradiction.

LEMMA 9.7. *In the notation of (7.7), the following statements hold after suitably relabeling the β_i 's.*

- (i) $r - 1 = \frac{1}{2}k |G(L)|$.
- (ii) $\delta = k/2$.
- (iii) $\beta_1 = 1, \beta_i = 2$ for $2 \leq i \leq \delta$.
- (iv) $G(L)$ is cyclic.
- (v) u_i inverts $G(L)$ if $2 \leq i \leq \delta$; u_1 inverts $O(G(L))$.
- (vi) $|Q| > 2$.

Proof. By (9.5) and (9.6), we can apply (7.7). By (7.7ii), $r - 1 = k |G(L)|$ or $\frac{1}{2}k |G(L)|$.

Suppose first that $r - 1 = k |G(L)|$, so $\delta = k - 1$ and each $\beta_i = 2$. By (7.7(iii)), each $u \in t^G \cap (C_G(t) - \{t\})$ inverts $G(L)$. Hence, $C_G(G(L))^L$ must be transitive. Thus, either $C_G(G(L))$ has the unique involution t , or $G(L) = \langle t \rangle$.

If $C_G(G(L))$ has just one involution, then so does $T = C_S(G(L))$. But T^L is transitive, so necessarily T is a generalized quaternion group and $k = 4$. Now $S = QT$ and $[Q, T] = 1$. This leads to a contradiction precisely as in the proof of (9.2).

If $G(L) = \langle t \rangle$ then G_L has order $2k$, and (by (7.1)) has $1 + (v - k)/k = 2k - 1$ involutions. This contradicts (8.0).

Thus, we must have $r - 1 = \frac{1}{2}k \mid |G(L)|$ in (7.7), so $2(k - 1) \geq 2\delta \geq \sum \beta_i = k - 1$. Hence, $\delta \geq k/2$. Moreover, since $(v - k)/k = (k - 1)(r - 1)/k$ is even (by (9.1)), so is $\frac{1}{2} \mid |G(L)|$, and hence (vi) holds.

Suppose $\delta = k/2$, so (ii) holds. Then $\sum \beta_i = k - 1$ and $0 \leq \beta_i \leq 2$ imply that we may assume (iii) holds. By (7.7(iii)), u_i inverts $G(L)$ if $2 \leq i \leq \delta$. Hence, (iv) holds by (9.6(ii)). Finally, (v) holds by (9.6(iii)).

Thus, we must show $\delta > k/2$ is impossible. In this case, $\sum \beta_i = k - 1$ implies that some $\beta_j = 2$. By (7.7(iii)) and (9.6(iii)), $G(L)$ is cyclic. In particular, $Q \triangleleft G_L$.

Since $|Q| > 2$, Q has an element g of order 4, and then $\langle g \rangle \triangleleft G_L$. Each u_i inverts g . Thus, $\delta > k/2$ implies that $|C_G(g)^L| > k/2$. Then $C_G(g)$ is transitive on L , so there is a 2-element $h \in C_G(g)$ with $h^L = u_j^L$. However, $u_j G(L)$ consists entirely of involutions conjugate to t (as $\beta_j = 2$), so $h \in t^G$. Since h centralizes g , this is impossible.

COROLLARY 9.8. *With the notion of (9.7), fix $u_1 \in t^G$ such that u_1^L induces the first involution of G_L^L . For $2 \leq i \leq \delta = k/2$, let u_i denote any involution inducing the i -th involution of G_L^L . Then the following hold.*

- (i) $T = \langle Q, u_1 u_i \mid 2 \leq i \leq \delta \rangle$ has index 2 in S .
- (ii) If $g \in T - Q$ then u_i can be found so that $g = u_1 u_i$.
- (iii) If $g \in S - \langle t \rangle$ is an involution such that $g \notin t^G$ and $gt \in t^G$, then $g \in u_1 Q$.

Proof.

(i) In the notation of the last paragraph of the proof of (9.7), $|C_G(g)^L| \leq k/2$. This proves (i).

(ii) Since $(u_1 g)^L \notin T^L$, we have $(u_1 g)^L = u_i^L$ for some u_i , $i \neq 1$. Since $u_i Q \subset t^G$, we can choose u_i as in (ii).

(iii) $u_i Q \subset t^G$ for $i > 1$.

Conclusion of the Proof of Theorem 3

We first show that $C_S(Q)$ is cyclic or generalized quaternion. For, suppose $g \in C_S(Q) - \langle t \rangle$ is an involution. By (9.7(vi)) and (9.8), $g \notin t^G$ and $g \in T$. As in (9.8(ii)) we can write $g = u_1 u_i$ for some u_i . Then $g \in C_G(u_i)$. By

(9.8(iii)), applied to u_1 instead of t , we know that g is in a uniquely determined coset of $G(L_1)$ in G_{L_1} (where $u_1 \in G(L_1)$). But the same argument shows that tg is also in this coset, whereas $t \notin G(L_1)$. This proves our assertion.

By (9.6(iii)), $\langle u_1 \rangle Q$ is dihedral or quasidihedral. Suppose it is dihedral. Then, by (9.7(v)), $T = C_S(Q)$ has index 2 in S . Also, $u_1 Q$ contains an involution not in t^G (since $\beta_1 = 1$). By (2.4), $G = \langle t^G \rangle$ has a normal subgroup of index 2, and this is impossible since t is a square.

In particular, $|Q| \geq 8$.

Next, $k = 4$. For suppose $k > 4$. By (9.8(ii)), $u_2 u_3 = u_1 u_i$ for some i . However, $u_2 u_3$ centralizes Q , while $u_1 u_i$ does not.

By (9.7(ii)) and (2.4) (with $S_0 = \langle u_2 \rangle Q$), t is the only involution in $\langle u_1 u_2 \rangle Q$. If $\langle u_1 u_2 \rangle Q$ is cyclic, then $S = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ is dihedral, whereas $\langle u_1 \rangle Q$ is not.

Thus, $a^{u_1 u_2} = a^{-1}$, where $Q = \langle a \rangle$. But we already know $a^{u_1 u_2} = at$. Thus, $|Q| = 4$.

This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 3.

10. APPENDIX

Harada's results [12] on 2-transitive groups can be formulated more precisely as follows.

THEOREM. *Let G be a finite group 2-transitive on a set S of v points. Suppose that the stabilizer of two points fixes exactly k points, where $2 < k < v$. If all involutions in G fix at most k points, then one of the following holds for the associated design \mathcal{D} .*

- (i) $v = k^2$, \mathcal{D} is $AG(2, k)$, and G contains the translation group.
- (ii) $v = 28$, $k = 4$, \mathcal{D} is $\mathcal{D}(4)$, and $G \approx P\Gamma L(2, 8)$.
- (iii) $k = 3$, \mathcal{D} is $PG(2, 2)$, and $G \approx PSL(3, 2)$.
- (iv) $k = 3$, \mathcal{D} is $PG(3, 2)$, and $G \approx A_7$.
- (v) k is odd, $v = k^3$, \mathcal{D} is $AG(3, k)$, G has a regular normal subgroup R , and the stabilizer of a point has a normal subgroup $SL(3, k)$ acting on R as usual.
- (vi) k is odd, $v = k^2$, \mathcal{D} is an affine translation plane, and G contains the translation group.

Remarks. If k is even in (i), then $G_x \supseteq SL(2, k)$. But if k is odd in (i), this need not hold. Only one nonDesarguesian example of (vi) is known, of order $k = 9$: the so-called exceptional nearfield plane (see [7, p. 229]).

Proof. When k is even, this is just Theorem 4 of Harada [12]. Suppose k is odd. If $O(G) = 1$, the theorem is a straightforward consequence of Harada's theorem and [1]. Thus, assume $O(G) \neq 1$, so G has a regular normal subgroup R . By (2.2), an involution t fixes $|C_R(t)|$ points. As in (3.3ii), there is a Klein group $\langle t, u \rangle$ with t and u axial. By (2.3), $v = k^2 |C_R(tu)|$. If tu fixes only one point, then (vi) holds (see the proof of (3.4)). We may thus assume that all involutions are axial and $v = k^3$. Thus, $r = k^2 + k + 1$.

Suppose $1 \neq g \in G$, Δ is the set of fixed points of g , and $|\Delta| > k$. By (2.2), $|\Delta| = |C_R(g)|$. The argument at the end of (3.0), together with (3.3ii), provides us with a Klein group $\langle t_1, u_1 \rangle \leq C_R(g)$ with t_1^d and u_1^d axial. The argument of the preceding paragraph shows that $|\Delta| = k^2$ and Δ is an affine plane.

Fix x . If $t \in G_x$ let t^θ be the set of lines on x fixed by t other than its axis. Then $|t^\theta| = (r - 1)/k = k + 1$ as in (3.3v), since $C_R(t)$ is transitive on A_t .

Suppose t and u are distinct involutions in G_x . We claim that either $|t^\theta \cap u^\theta| \leq 1$ or $t^\theta = u^\theta$. For, suppose $|t^\theta \cap u^\theta| \geq 2$. As in (3.2iv), tu fixes more than k points. Let Δ be the set of fixed points of tu , so $|\Delta| = k^2$. Assume first that $A_t \neq A_u$. Since $t^d = u^d$, it follows that t and u each fix only $x \in \Delta$, and hence fix all $k + 1$ lines of Δ through x . Thus, $t^\theta = u^\theta$ in this case. Now consider the possibility $A_t = A_u \subset \Delta$. Then $t^\theta \cap u^\theta$ has a unique line contained in Δ . Consequently, $t^\theta \cap u^\theta$ has a line $L_0 \not\subset \Delta$. Set $R_0 = |C_R(L_0)|$, so $|R_0| = k$ (by (2.2)). Also, $C_R(tu) \cap R_0 = 1$ since $L_0 \cap \Delta = \{x\}$. Thus, $R = C_R(tu) \times R_0$. Now t and u invert R_0 , so tu centralizes R_0 , which is absurd. This proves our claim.

There are $r = k^2 + k + 1$ lines on x , and $k + 1$ lines in each t^θ . As in (2.5), we obtain a projective plane \mathcal{P} . Moreover, G_x is transitive on the lines of \mathcal{P} , and t fixes the "line" t^θ pointwise. Hence, \mathcal{P} is desarguesian and G_x induces at least $PSL(3, k)$ on \mathcal{P} (see Dembowski [7], p. 196). In particular, G_x is 2-transitive on the lines of \mathcal{P} through x .

Moreover, it is now easy to see that some nontrivial element g of G fixes more than k points. This provides us with an affine subplane of \mathcal{P} . Now the 2-transitivity of G_x and Buekenhout's theorem (6.2) imply that \mathcal{P} is $AG(3, k)$ except when $k = 3$, in which case \mathcal{P} is $AG(3, 3)$ by Hall [11].

REFERENCES

1. J. L. ALPERIN, D. GORENSTEIN, AND R. BRAUER, Finite simple groups of 2-rank two, *Scripta Math.* **29** (1974), 191-214.
2. R. BAER, Polarities in finite projective planes, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1946), 77-93.

3. H. BENDER, Endliche zweifach transitive Permutationsgruppen, deren Involutionen keine Fixpunkte haben, *Math. Z.* **104** (1968), 175–204.
4. H. BENDER, Transitive Gruppen gerader Ordnung, in denen jede Involution genau einen Punkt festlässt, *J. Algebra* **17** (1971), 527–554.
5. R. BRAUER, Some applications of the theory of blocks of characters of finite groups II, *J. Algebra* **1** (1964), 307–334.
6. F. BUEKENHOUT, Une caractérisation des espaces affins basée sur la notion de droite, *Math. Z.* **111** (1969), 367–371.
7. P. DEMBOWSKI, “Finite geometries,” Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1968.
8. W. FEIT AND J. G. THOMPSON, Solvability of groups of odd order, *Pacific J. Math.* **13** (1963), 771–1029.
9. G. GLAUBERMAN, Central elements in core-free groups, *J. Algebra* **4** (1966), 403–420.
10. D. GORENSTEIN, “Finite groups,” Harper, New York 1968.
11. M. HALL, JR., Automorphisms of Steiner triple systems. *IBM J. Res. Dev.* **4** (1960), 460–472.
12. K. HARADA, On some doubly transitive groups. *J. Algebra* **17** (1971), 437–450.
13. C. HERING (to appear).
14. D. G. HIGMAN AND J. E. McLAUGHLIN, Geometric ABA-groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **5** (1961), 382–397.
15. B. HUPPERT, Zweifach transitive, auflösbare Permutationsgruppen, *Math. Z.* **68** (1957), 126–150.
16. W. M. KANTOR, On 2-transitive groups in which the stabilizer of two points fixes additional points, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **5** (1972), 114–122.
17. W. M. KANTOR, On 2-transitive collineation groups of finite projective spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **48** (1973), 119–131.
18. H. NAGAO, On multiply transitive groups IV, *Osaka J. Math.* **2** (1965), 327–341.
19. T. G. OSTROM AND A. WAGNER, On projective and affine planes with transitive collineation groups, *Math. Z.* **71** (1959), 186–199.
20. H. WIELANDT, Beziehungen zwischen den Fixpunktzahlen von Automorphismengruppen einer endlichen Gruppe, *Math. Z.* **73** (1960), 146–158.
21. H. WIELANDT, “Finite Permutation Groups,” Academic Press, New York, 1964.