

# Energy and Cutsets in Infinite Percolation Clusters

DAVID LEVIN<sup>1</sup> and YUVAL PERES<sup>2,3</sup>

## Abstract

Grimmett, Kesten and Zhang (1993) showed that for  $d \geq 3$ , simple random walk on the infinite cluster  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  of supercritical percolation on  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  is a.s. transient. Their result is equivalent to the existence of a nonzero flow  $f$  on the infinite cluster such that the 2-energy  $\sum_e f(e)^2$  is finite. Here we sharpen this result, and show that if  $d \geq 3$  and  $p > p_c(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  supports a nonzero flow  $f$  such that the  $q$ -energy  $\sum_e |f(e)|^q$  is finite for all  $q > d/(d-1)$ . As a corollary, we obtain that any sequence  $\{\Pi_n\}$  of disjoint cutsets in  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  that separate a fixed vertex from infinity, must satisfy  $\sum_n |\Pi_n|^{-\beta} < \infty$  for all  $\beta > 1/(d-1)$ . Our proofs are based on the method of “unpredictable paths”, developed by Benjamini, Pemantle and Peres (1998) and refined by Häggström and Mossel (1998).

*Keywords* : percolation, energy, electrical networks, nonlinear potential theory.

*Subject classification* : Primary: 60J45; Secondary: 60J10, 60J65, 60J15, 60K35.

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, CA

<sup>2</sup>Research partially supported by NSF grant # DMS-9404391

<sup>3</sup>Mathematics Institute, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel and Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, CA

# 1 Introduction

Bernoulli (bond) percolation with parameter  $p$  on an infinite graph  $G = (V_G, E_G)$  is the probability measure  $\mathbf{P}_p$  on  $\{0, 1\}^{E_G}$  where each edge in  $e \in E_G$  satisfies  $\mathbf{P}_p[\omega(e) = 1] = p$  and  $\mathbf{P}_p[\omega(e) = 0] = 1 - p$ , and the coordinate random variables  $\{\omega(e)\}_{e \in E_G}$  are independent. The edge  $e$  is called *open* in  $\omega$  if  $\omega(e) = 1$  and *closed* if  $\omega(e) = 0$ . The connected components of open edges are called *clusters*. The infimum over  $p$  such that Bernoulli percolation with parameter  $p$  has an infinite cluster a.s. is called the *critical probability*, and denoted by  $p_c(G)$ . For  $d \geq 2$ , the cubical lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  satisfies  $0 < p_c(\mathbf{Z}^d) < 1$ , and for all  $p > p_c(\mathbf{Z}^d)$  there is a.s. a unique infinite cluster, denoted  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$ . For background on percolation, see Grimmett [5].

Grimmett, Kesten and Zhang [6] proved that if  $d \geq 3$  and  $p > p_c(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ , then simple random walk on the infinite cluster  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  is a.s. transient. As shown, for instance, in Doyle and Snell [4], transience of (simple random walk on) a graph  $G$  is equivalent to the existence of a nonzero *flow*  $f$  of finite *2-energy*  $\sum_{e \in E_G} f(e)^2$ . (See Section 2 for formal definitions.) Thus the main result of [6] is equivalent to the existence of nonzero flows on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  with finite 2-energy a.s.

Benjamini, Pemantle and Peres [2] gave an alternative proof of this result, and extended it to high-density oriented percolation, using certain “unpredictable” random paths that have *exponential intersection tails* to construct random flows of finite 2-energy on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$ . Here we adapt this approach to show that these flows have finite  $q$ -energy a.s. for  $q > d/(d - 1)$ .

**Definition.** The  $q$ -*energy* of a flow  $f$  on a graph  $G = (V_G, E_G)$  is

$$\mathcal{E}_q(f) := \sum_{e \in E_G} |f(e)|^q.$$

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  be the infinite cluster of independent (bond) percolation with parameter  $p$  on  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ . Then for  $d \geq 3$  and  $p > p_c(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ , a.s.,*

$$\inf\{q : \exists \text{ a flow } f \neq 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p) \text{ with } \mathcal{E}_q(f) < \infty\} = \frac{d}{d - 1}.$$

**Remarks.**

1. Contained in Maeda [12] is the same result for  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  itself: for all  $d \geq 2$ , the infimum of  $q$  for which there is a nonzero flow of finite  $q$ -energy on  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ , is

$d/(d-1)$ . Our arguments do not determine whether Theorem 1.1 extends to  $d = 2$ . Firstly, the assumption (1) is not satisfied for any  $p < 1$  in dimension  $d = 2$ . Also, the assumption  $d \geq 3$  implies that it suffices to find flows of finite  $q$ -energy when  $d/(d-1) < q < 2$ , whence the function  $x \mapsto x^{q-1}$  is concave; this concavity is used to pass from (4) to (5) in the proof of theorem 2.3.

2. Theorem 1.1 also holds for site percolation, with the appropriate (site)  $p_c$  and with an identical proof. The proof of Theorem 1.1 also yields the same result for oriented percolation provided  $p < 1$  is sufficiently large; the renormalization arguments of Hiemer [9] allow one to extend this to all  $p$  greater than the critical probability for oriented percolation.
3. The proof of transience in [6] actually yields a flow on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(p, \mathbf{Z}^3)$  with finite  $q$ -energy if  $q > 1 + \log_4 3$ . It might be possible to modify the construction in [6] to give an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1; however, the refinements described in Section 4 seem much harder to obtain in this manner.

A collection of edges  $\Pi$  is a **cutset separating  $v_0$  from  $\infty$** , if any infinite path emanating from  $v_0$  must intersect  $\Pi$ . Nash-Williams [13] proved that if  $\{\Pi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of disjoint cutsets separating  $v_0$  from infinity in a connected transient graph, then  $\sum_n |\Pi_n|^{-1} < \infty$ . Theorem 1.1 provides finer information about the permissible growth rates of cutsets on supercritical infinite percolation clusters.

**Corollary 1.2** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $p > p_c(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ . With probability one, if  $\{\Pi_n\}$  is a sequence of disjoint cutsets in the infinite cluster  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  that separate a fixed vertex  $v_0$  from  $\infty$ , then  $\sum_n |\Pi_n|^{-\beta} < \infty$  for all  $\beta > \frac{1}{d-1}$ .*

This follows from Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1.

This corollary captures in an interesting way the similarity of the infinite cluster to all of  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ ; a delicate issue, that we do not address, is how the “optimal” flows and cutsets in  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  behave as  $p \downarrow p_c$ .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, after recalling some terminology, we state and prove a general sufficient condition for percolation clusters to support flows of finite  $q$ -energy (Theorem 2.3). The condition involves the moment generation function for the number of common edges in a certain random

path and a fixed path, that share a given edge. The proof is based on a combination of ideas from [2] and [14]. The latter paper proves that certain self-similar measures have densities in  $L^q$  for almost all parameters; although the setting is quite different, the method of passing from  $L^2$  to  $L^q$  bounds is similar. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1, by verifying that the “unpredictable” random paths constructed in [2] satisfy the condition in Theorem 2.3. Finally, Section 4 contains refinements of Theorem 1.1 involving energy gauges more general than powers; these refinements are based on the paths with optimal predictability profiles, constructed by Häggström and Mossel [8]. At this level, a difference appears (in the power of the logarithm) between the energies that can presently be bounded on supercritical percolation clusters and on all of  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ ; it is an interesting open problem (stated precisely at the end of the paper), to determine whether this difference is an artifact of the proofs, or a real property of percolation clusters.

## 2 Paths With Exponential Intersection Tails

### Definitions.

1. Let  $G = (V_G, E_G)$  be an infinite graph with all vertices of finite degree and let  $v_0 \in V_G$ . Denote by  $\Upsilon = \Upsilon(G, v_0)$  the collection of infinite oriented paths in  $G$  which emanate from  $v_0$ . Let  $\Upsilon_1 = \Upsilon_1(G, v_0) \subset \Upsilon$  be the set of **paths with unit speed**, those paths for which the  $n^{\text{th}}$  vertex is at distance  $n$  from  $v_0$ . A  $\Upsilon$ -valued random element  $\Phi$  may be identified with a  $G$ -valued process  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , where  $\Phi_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  vertex in  $\Phi$ .
2. Let  $0 < \theta < 1$ . A Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Upsilon(G, v_0)$  has **Exponential intersection tails** with parameter  $\theta$  (in short, EIT( $\theta$ )) if there exists  $C$  such that
 
$$\mu \times \mu \left\{ (\varphi, \psi) : |\varphi \cap \psi| \geq n \right\} \leq C\theta^n$$
 for all  $n$ , where  $|\varphi \cap \psi|$  is the number of edges in the intersection of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ .
3. If such a measure  $\mu$  exists for some basepoint  $v_0$  and some  $\theta < 1$ , then we say that  $G$  *admits random paths with* EIT( $\theta$ ).
4. The percolation cluster containing a vertex  $v$  will be denoted  $\mathcal{C}(v)$ .

5. To define a flow on an undirected graph  $G$ , it is convenient to consider each undirected edge as two directed edges, one in each direction. Let  $vw$  be the directed edge from  $v$  to  $w$ . A **flow**  $f$  on  $G$  with source  $v_0$  is an antisymmetric edge function ( $f(vw) = -f(wv)$ ) such that the net flow out of any vertex  $v \neq v_0$  is zero:  $\sum_w f(vw) = 0$ . The **strength** of a flow  $f$  with source  $v_0$  is the amount flowing from  $v_0$ :  $\sum_{v_0w} f(v_0w)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $G$  be a graph, and  $f$  a unit flow on  $G$  with source  $v_0$ . Then for all sequences of disjoint cutsets  $\{\Pi_n\}$  separating  $v_0$  from infinity,*

$$\sum_n |\Pi_n|^{-\beta} \leq \mathcal{E}_{1+\beta}(f).$$

PROOF. Observe first that

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\beta}(f) = \sum_{e \in E_G} |f(e)|^{1+\beta} \geq \sum_n \sum_{e \in \Pi_n} |f(e)|^{1+\beta},$$

since the  $\{\Pi_n\}$  are disjoint. By Jensen's inequality,

$$\forall n \quad \frac{1}{|\Pi_n|} \sum_{e \in \Pi_n} |f(e)|^{1+\beta} \geq \left( \frac{1}{|\Pi_n|} \sum_{e \in \Pi_n} |f(e)| \right)^{1+\beta} \geq |\Pi_n|^{-1-\beta}.$$

Multiplying by  $|\Pi_n|$  and summing over  $n$  establishes the lemma.  $\square$

Cox and Durrett [3] obtained upper bounds for the critical probability of oriented percolation using the fact that, for  $d \geq 4$ , oriented paths chosen uniformly in  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  have EIT. In [2] the EIT property is exploited to prove transience of oriented supercritical clusters.

**Proposition 2.2** ([2]) *Consider percolation with parameter  $p$  on  $G$  and let  $v_0$  be a vertex in  $G$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $\Upsilon_1 = \Upsilon_1(G, v_0)$  that satisfies*

$$\int_{\Upsilon_1} \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-|\varphi \cap \psi|} d\mu(\varphi) d\mu(\psi) < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Denote by  $\varphi_N$  the first  $N$  edges of a path  $\varphi$ . Then the random variables

$$Z_N := \mu\{\varphi \in \Upsilon_1 : \varphi_N \text{ is open}\} p^{-N}$$

form a nonnegative Martingale bounded in  $L^2$ , and therefore

$$\mathbf{P}_p[\mathcal{C}(v_0) \text{ is infinite}] \geq \mathbf{P}_p[\lim_N Z_N > 0] > 0.$$

Moreover, if  $\mu$  satisfies EIT( $\theta$ ) for some  $\theta < p$ , then there is a.s. a vertex  $v$  in  $G$  such that the cluster  $\mathcal{C}(v)$  is transient.

The following general theorem is used to establish Theorem 1.1 in Section 3.

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on the set  $\Upsilon_1(G, v_0)$  of paths with unit speed from  $v_0$ . Suppose that there exists  $p \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\gamma > 1$  and  $C < \infty$ , so that for any fixed path  $\psi$  containing edge  $e_l$  at distance  $l$  from  $v_0$ ,*

$$\int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-|\varphi \cap \psi|} \mathbf{1}_{\{\varphi \ni e_l\}} d\mu(\varphi) \leq Cl^{-\gamma}. \quad (2)$$

*Then the event  $|\mathcal{C}(v_0)| = \infty$  has positive probability, and on this event  $\mathcal{C}(v_0)$  supports a nonzero flow  $f$  with  $\mathcal{E}_{1+\beta}(f) < \infty$  for all  $\beta > \gamma^{-1}$ .*

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3: It suffices to consider  $\beta \in (\gamma^{-1}, 1)$ . If  $\Gamma \subset E_G$ , let  $I(\Gamma)$  be the indicator of the event that all the edges in  $\Gamma$  are open in the percolation and let  $J_e(\Gamma)$  be the indicator of the event  $\{e \in \Gamma\}$ . For each  $N \geq 1$  we define an edge function  $f_N$  on the ball  $B(v_0, N)$  as follows. For every directed edge  $e = vw$  where  $w$  is farther from  $v_0$  than  $v$ , let

$$f_N(e) = \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\varphi_N) J_e(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi),$$

and define  $f(wv) = -f(vw)$ . If  $v$  and  $w$  are at the same distance from  $v_0$ , set  $f(vw) = f(wv) = 0$ . Then  $f_N$  is a flow on  $\mathcal{C}(v_0) \cap B(v_0, N)$  from  $v_0$  to the complement of  $B(v_0, N-1)$ , i.e., for any vertex  $v \in B(v_0, N-1)$  except  $v_0$ , the incoming flow to  $v$  equals the outgoing flow from  $v$ .

The expected  $(1 + \beta)$ -energy of  $f_N$  is

$$\mathbf{E}_p \sum_{e \in E_G} \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\psi_N) J_e(\psi_N) d\mu(\psi_N) \left\{ \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\varphi_N) J_e(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi) \right\}^\beta.$$

By Fubini's Theorem, this equals

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \int_{\Upsilon_1} J_e(\psi_N) p^{-N} \mathbf{E}_p \left[ I(\psi_N) \left\{ \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\varphi_N \setminus \psi_N) J_e(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi) \right\}^\beta \right] d\mu(\psi). \quad (3)$$

The two factors appearing in the expectation above depend on disjoint edges, hence they are independent and the expectation of the product can be replaced by a product of expectations. Consequently (3) is equal to

$$\sum_{e \in E_G} \int_{\Upsilon_1} J_e(\psi_N) p^{-N} p^N \mathbf{E}_p \left[ \left\{ \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\varphi_N \setminus \psi_N) J_e(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi) \right\}^\beta \right] d\mu(\psi). \quad (4)$$

An application of Jensen's inequality to the (concave) function  $x \mapsto x^\beta$ ,  $\beta < 1$  then yields that (4) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{e \in E_G} \int_{\Upsilon_1} J_e(\psi_N) \left\{ \mathbf{E}_p \left[ \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\varphi_N \setminus \psi_N) J_e(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi) \right] \right\}^\beta \quad (5) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Upsilon_1} \sum_{|e|=l} J_e(\psi_N) \left\{ \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-|\varphi_N \cap \psi_N|} J_e(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi) \right\}^\beta d\mu(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

where, for directed  $e = vw$ ,  $|e|$  is the distance from  $v$  to  $v_0$ . The above is not larger than

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Upsilon_1} \left\{ \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-|\varphi \cap \psi|} J_{e(l)}(\varphi) d\mu(\varphi) \right\}^\beta d\mu(\psi) \leq C \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{-\beta\gamma}, \quad (6)$$

where  $e(l) = e(l, \psi)$  is the unique edge in  $\psi$  at distance  $l$  from  $v_0$ , and we have used the hypothesis (2).

For each directed edge  $e$ , the sequence  $\{f_N(e)\}_{N>|e|}$  is a nonnegative martingale, so it converges a.s. to a limit denoted  $f(e)$ . Clearly,  $f$  is a flow from  $v_0$  to infinity. The strength of  $f_N$  is precisely the random variable  $Z_N$  that appears in Proposition 2.2. The assumption (2) implies the condition (1) of Proposition 2.2, and hence  $\mathcal{C}(v_0)$  is infinite and the strength of  $f$ ,  $\lim_N Z_N$ , is positive with positive probability. Finally,  $\mathbf{E}_p[\mathcal{E}_{1+\beta}(f)] \leq \sup_N \mathbf{E}_p[\mathcal{E}_{1+\beta}(f_N)] < \infty$ , since the right-hand side of (6) is finite for any  $\beta > 1/\gamma$ .

□

### 3 Unpredictable paths and percolation in $\mathbf{Z}^d$

**Definition.** For a sequence of random variables  $S = \{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  taking values in a countable set  $V$ , we define its **predictability profile**  $\{\text{PRE}_S(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$  by

$$\text{PRE}_S(k) = \sup \mathbf{P}[S_{n+k} = x \mid S_0, \dots, S_n], \quad (7)$$

where the supremum is over all  $x \in V$ , all  $n \geq 0$  and all histories  $S_0, \dots, S_n$ .

The following was used in [2] along with Proposition 2.2 to prove the theorem of Grimmett, Kesten and Zhang. We use it in the proof of Theorem 1.1 below.

**Lemma 3.1 ([2])** *Let  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  be a sequence of random variables taking values in a countable set  $V$ . If the predictability profile of  $\Gamma$  satisfies  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{PRE}_\Gamma(k) < \infty$ , then*

there exist  $C < \infty$  and  $0 < \theta < 1$ , such that for any sequence  $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $V$  and all  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}[\#\{n \geq 0 : \Gamma_n = v_n\} \geq m] \leq C\theta^m.$$

We now specialize to the case where  $G = \mathbf{Z}^d$  for  $d \geq 3$ . We shall need paths whose predictability profiles are controlled. The basic building block for such paths in  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  is an integer-valued nearest neighbor process:

**Theorem 3.2 (Benjamini, Pemantle, Peres [2])** *For any  $\alpha < 1$  there exists an integer-valued stochastic process  $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that  $|S_n - S_{n-1}| = 1$  a.s. for all  $n \geq 1$  and*

$$\text{PRE}_S(k) \leq C_\alpha k^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for some } C_\alpha < \infty, \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

REMARK: Let  $\mathbf{T}_b(M)$  be the tree of depth  $M$  where each vertex not at the deepest level has  $b$  children. The construction of  $S$  in Theorem 3.2 uses a random element  $\sigma$  with values in  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbf{T}_b(M)}$ , which can be obtained from a variant of the Ising model at low temperature. Order the vertices on the boundary from left to right as  $w_1, \dots, w_{b^M}$ . Processes  $S^M$ ,  $M > 1$ , are defined for  $n \leq b^M$  by  $S_n^M = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma(w_k)$ , and  $S$  is then defined for all  $n \geq 0$  using the consistency of the laws of the  $S^M$ .

Given a  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ -valued process  $Y$  up to time  $T$ , we define the *time-reversal*  $\overleftarrow{Y}$  of  $Y$  up to time  $T$ , started at  $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , by

$$\overleftarrow{Y}_k := z + Y_{T-k} - Y_T \text{ for } k \in [0, T].$$

Since the processes  $S^M$  used in Theorem 3.2 to construct  $S$  are defined by summing the spins  $\sigma(v)$  over  $v$  in the deepest level of  $\mathbf{T}_b$ , the process  $S$  has the property that

$$\text{PRE}_{\overleftarrow{S}}(k) \leq C_\alpha k^{-\alpha}. \tag{8}$$

**Corollary 3.3** *For each  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ , there is a  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ -valued process  $\Phi = \Phi^{\alpha, d}$  so that*

$$\text{PRE}_\Phi(k) \leq C(\alpha, d)k^{-(d-1)\alpha}, \tag{9}$$

and so the random edge sequence  $\{\Phi_{n-1}\Phi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is supported on  $\Upsilon_1$ . Moreover, its time-reversal  $\overleftarrow{\Phi}$ , started at  $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$  and defined for times  $k \leq M$ , also satisfies for  $k \leq M$

$$\text{PRE}_{\overleftarrow{\Phi}}(k) \leq C(\alpha, d)k^{-(d-1)\alpha}. \tag{10}$$



PROOF. Let  $W_k^r = (S_k^{(r)} + k)/2$  for  $r = 1, \dots, d-1$ , where  $S^{(r)}$  are independent copies of the process described in Theorem 3.2. For  $r = 1, \dots, d-1$ , define clocks

$$t_r(n) := \lfloor \frac{n + d - 1 - r}{d - 1} \rfloor,$$

and let  $D(n) := n - \sum_{r=1}^{d-1} W_{t_r(n)}^r$ .

Write  $\Phi_n = (W_{t_1(n)}^1, \dots, W_{t_{d-1}(n)}^{d-1}, D(n))$ . It is then easy to see that

$$\text{PRE}_\Phi(k) \leq \left[ \text{PRE}_S(\lfloor \frac{k}{d-1} \rfloor) \right]^{d-1} \leq \left( \frac{C_\alpha k}{d-1} \right)^{-\alpha(d-1)} \leq C(\alpha, d) k^{-\alpha(d-1)}.$$

The same bound for  $\text{PRE}_{\overleftarrow{\Phi}}(k)$  is obtained similarly, using (8).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Since a flow on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  is also a flow on  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ , there can be no flows of finite  $q$ -energy on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  for  $q \leq d/(d-1)$ .

For the remainder of the proof let  $q > d/(d-1)$  and denote  $\beta = q - 1$ . We want to show that for  $p > p_c$ , a.s. a flow  $f$  on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  with finite  $(1 + \beta)$ -energy exists. Since  $\beta > 1/(d-1)$ , we may choose  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$  so that  $\beta\alpha > 1/(d-1)$ .

We first verify the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 for  $\gamma = \alpha(d-1)$ . Fix a path  $\psi \in \Upsilon_1$ , and let  $(e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots)$  be its constituent edges. If  $e = vw$ , write  $\underline{e}$  for  $v$  and  $\bar{e}$  for  $w$ .

For any path  $\varphi$ , thought of as a sequence of edges, denote by  $\varphi_l$  the first  $l$  edges of  $\varphi$  and write  $U(\varphi, \psi, l) := |\varphi \cap \psi| - |\varphi_l \cap \psi|$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the process constructed in Corollary 3.3 and let  $\mu$  denote the distribution of the random edge sequence  $\{\Phi_{n-1}\Phi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . By Lemma 3.1, the process  $\Phi$  constructed in Corollary 3.3 has the property that, given the history of the first  $l$  steps, the number of subsequent intersections with a fixed trajectory has an exponential tail:

$$\mu[\varphi : U(\varphi, \psi, l) > n \mid \mathcal{F}_l] \leq C_1 \theta^n, \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_l$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variables  $\{\mathbf{1}_{\{e \in \varphi\}} : |e| \leq l\}$ .

Our next goal is to verify

$$\int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-|\varphi \cap \psi|} \mathbf{1}_{\{\varphi \in e_l\}} d\mu(\varphi) \leq Cl^{-\gamma}, \quad (12)$$

for  $p$  sufficiently close to 1. The left hand side of (12) equals

$$E^\mu \left[ p^{-|\varphi_l \cap \psi|} \mathbf{1}_{\{\varphi \ni e_l\}} E^\mu [p^{-U(\varphi, \psi, l)} \mid \mathcal{F}_l] \right]. \quad (13)$$

By (11), this is bounded by

$$\frac{C_1}{1-p^{-1}\theta} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p^{-m} \mu[\varphi \ni e_l \text{ and } |\varphi_l \cap \psi| = m]. \quad (14)$$

Let  $A := \{|\varphi_{l/2} \cap \psi| \geq m/2\}$  and  $B := \{(|\varphi_l \setminus \varphi_{l/2}) \cap \psi| \geq m/2\}$ . We have

$$\mu[|\varphi_l \cap \psi| = m \text{ and } \varphi \ni e_l] \leq \mu[A \cap \{\varphi \ni e_l\}] + \mu[B \cap \{\varphi \ni e_l\}]. \quad (15)$$

By (9),  $\mu[\varphi \ni e_l | A] \leq C_2 l^{-\gamma}$ , and by Lemma 3.1,  $\mu[A] \leq C_1 \theta^{m/2}$ . Thus

$$\mu[A \cap \{\varphi \ni e_l\}] = \mu[\varphi \ni e_l | A] \cdot \mu[A] \leq C_3 \theta^{m/2} l^{-\gamma}. \quad (16)$$

Let  $\overleftarrow{\Phi}$  be the time-reversal of  $\Phi$  started at  $\overleftarrow{e}_l$ , and let  $\overleftarrow{B}$  be the event that  $\{\overleftarrow{\Phi}_n\}_{n \leq l/2}$  intersects the vertices determined by  $\psi$  at least  $m/2$  times. Then

$$\mu[B \cap \{\varphi \ni e_l\}] \leq \mathbf{P}[\overleftarrow{B} \cap \{\overleftarrow{\Phi} \ni 0\}],$$

because the number of edge intersections of two paths is bounded by the number of vertex intersections. By Lemma 3.1,  $\mathbf{P}[\overleftarrow{B}] \leq C_1 \theta^{m/2}$ , and (9) implies that  $\mathbf{P}[\overleftarrow{\Phi} \ni 0 | \overleftarrow{B}] < C_2 l^{-\gamma}$ . Thus

$$\mu[B \cap \{\varphi \ni e_l\}] \leq \mathbf{P}[\overleftarrow{B} \cap \{\overleftarrow{\Phi} \ni 0\}] = \mathbf{P}[\overleftarrow{B}] \cdot \mathbf{P}[\overleftarrow{\Phi} \ni 0 | \overleftarrow{B}] \leq C_3 \theta^{m/2} l^{-\gamma}.$$

We conclude that the right-hand side of (15) is bounded by  $2C_3 \theta^{m/2} l^{-\gamma}$ . Thus for  $p > \sqrt{\theta}$ , the sum (14) is bounded by  $Cl^{-\gamma}$ , and (12) follows. Since  $\beta > [\alpha(d-1)]^{-1}$ , by Theorem 2.3,  $\mathbf{P}[I(0)] > 0$ , where  $I(0)$  is the event that  $\mathcal{C}(0)$  is infinite and supports a flow of finite  $(1+\beta)$ -energy. The event  $\bigcup_{v \in \mathbf{Z}^d} I(v)$  does not depend on the status of any finite collection of edges, and hence by Kolmogorov's zero-one law, has probability one.

This concludes the proof for  $p$  near 1; The general case  $p > p_c$  is reduced to this by the renormalization argument used in Corollary 2.1 of [2], which relies on techniques of [7],[1] and [15]; a result of Soardi and Yamasaki [17], that the existence of a flow of finite  $q$ -energy is invariant under rough isometries, is also needed.  $\square$

## 4 A Refinement

The concept of energy can be further generalized by defining the  $H$ -energy of a flow  $f$  as  $\mathcal{E}_H(f) := \sum_e H(|f(e)|)$ , where  $H : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is nondecreasing. For

the rest of this section, we fix  $d \geq 3$  and compare  $H$ -energy of flows on  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  and on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$ . As we shall see, in both cases the “critical” gauges are obtained by logarithmic corrections to the power law  $u \mapsto u^{d/(d-1)}$ .

**Notation.** For any positive decreasing function  $h$ , let  $H_h(u) := u^{d/(d-1)}/h(u)$  for  $u > 0$  and  $H_h(0) = 0$ . If  $h(u) = [\log(1 + u^{-1})]^\alpha$ , then we abbreviate  $H_h$  by  $H_\alpha$ . We let  $C, C_i$  denote positive finite constants whose value is unimportant.

First we consider the case of  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  itself. Let  $D(l)$  be the collection of edges at distance  $l$  from the origin. T. Lyons [11] constructed a nonzero flow  $f_*$  on  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  that satisfies  $|f_*(e)| \leq Cl^{1-d}$  for any edge  $e \in D(l)$ . Thus

$$\sum_e H_h(|f_*(e)|) \leq C_1 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{d-1} \frac{(Cl^{1-d})^{d/(d-1)}}{h(Cl^{1-d})} \leq C_2 \sum_l \frac{1}{lh(l^{1-d})} \asymp \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dx}{xh(x^{-1})},$$

where  $y \asymp z$  means that the ratio  $y/z$  is bounded above and below by positive constants.

Let  $f$  be a unit flow from 0. If  $H_h$  is convex, then

$$|D(l)|^{-1} \sum_{e \in D(l)} H_h(|f(e)|) \geq H_h \left( |D(l)|^{-1} \sum_{e \in D(l)} |f(e)| \right),$$

by Jensen’s inequality. Since  $f$  is a unit flow,  $\sum_{e \in D(l)} |f(e)| \geq 1$ , so

$$\sum_{e \in D(l)} H_h(|f(e)|) \geq |D(l)| H_h(|D(l)|^{-1}) \geq \frac{C_3}{lh(C_4 l^{1-d})}.$$

Thus the  $H_h$ -energy of any unit flow  $f$  is at least

$$\sum_l \sum_{e \in D(l)} H_h(|f(e)|) \geq \sum_l \frac{C_3}{lh(C_4 l^{1-d})} \asymp \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dx}{xh(x^{-1})}.$$

In particular,  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  supports a flow of finite  $H_\alpha$ -energy iff  $\alpha > 1$ .

**Proposition 4.1** *Let  $h$  be a decreasing function satisfying*

$$\sum_j \frac{1}{jh(j^{-1})} < \infty \tag{17}$$

and  $h(x^2) \leq \kappa h(x)$  for all  $x > 0$ . Define  $G_h(u) := H_{h^2}(u)/u$ , and assume that  $G_h$  is concave. Then for  $p > p_c$ , there is a.s. a flow  $f \neq 0$  on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  with finite  $H_{h^2}$ -energy, i.e.,

$$\sum_{e \in E(\mathbf{Z}^d)} \frac{|f(e)|^{d/(d-1)}}{h(|f(e)|)^2} < \infty.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  supports a flow of finite  $H_\alpha$ -energy for  $\alpha > 2$ .

We proceed as in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 2.3, which may be consulted for notation. Let  $g(y) = h(y^{1-d})$ . Convergence of the sum in (17) implies that  $\sum_j (jg(j))^{-1} < \infty$ , and hence by Theorem 1.4 in Häggström and Mossel [8], there is an integer-valued process  $S$  with  $\text{PRE}_S(k) \leq Cg(k)/k$ ; their constructions also yield the same bound for the time-reversal of  $S$ . As in Corollary 3.3, we can define a process  $\Phi$  supported on  $\Upsilon_1(0, \mathbf{Z}^d)$  such that  $\text{PRE}_\Phi(k) \leq C(g(k)/k)^{d-1}$  and  $\text{PRE}_{\overleftarrow{\Phi}}(k) \leq C(g(k)/k)^{d-1}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the distribution of the edge sequence  $\varphi$  determined by  $\Phi$ , and write

$$f_N(e) = \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\varphi_N) J_e(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi)$$

for edges directed away from 0. As before it is enough to show that

$$\mathbf{E}_p \sum_{e \in E(\mathbf{Z}^d)} H_{h^2}(|f_N(e)|) = \mathbf{E}_p \sum_{e \in E(\mathbf{Z}^d)} G_h(|f_N(e)|) |f_N(e)| \quad (18)$$

is bounded uniformly in  $N$ . By Fubini's Theorem, (18) equals

$$\sum_e \int_{\Upsilon_1} \mathbf{E}_p \left[ p^{-N} I(\psi_N) J_e(\psi_N) G_h \left( \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\varphi_N \setminus \psi_N) J_e(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi) \right) \right] d\mu(\psi).$$

By independence of the status of different edges, this can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\Upsilon_1} \sum_e \mathbf{E}_p \left[ p^{-N} I(\psi_N) J_e(\psi_N) \right] \mathbf{E}_p \left[ G_h \left( \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\varphi_N \setminus \psi_N) J_e(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi) \right) \right] d\mu(\psi).$$

Applying Jensen's inequality to the second expectation bounds the preceding formula by

$$\int_{\Upsilon_1} \sum_e J_e(\psi) G_h \left( \mathbf{E}_p \left[ \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-N} I(\varphi_N \setminus \psi_N) J_e(\varphi_N) \right] \right) d\mu(\psi). \quad (19)$$

Since  $\psi$  contains one edge  $e(l)$  in  $D(l)$ , (19) equals

$$\int_{\Upsilon_1} \sum_l G_h \left( \int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-|\varphi_N \cap \psi_N|} J_{e(l)}(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi) \right) d\mu(\psi). \quad (20)$$

Arguing as in Theorem 1.1, we obtain that

$$\int_{\Upsilon_1} p^{-|\varphi_N \cap \psi_N|} J_{e(l)}(\varphi_N) d\mu(\varphi) \leq \left( C_1 \frac{g(l)}{l} \right)^{d-1}.$$

Thus (20) is bounded by

$$\sum_l G_h \left( C_1 \left\{ \frac{g(l)}{l} \right\}^{d-1} \right) = \sum_l \frac{(C_2 g(l)/l)}{h((C_2 g(l)/l)^{d-1})^2}. \quad (21)$$

Since  $h((l/C_2g(l))^{1-d}) = g(C_3l/g(l))$ , (21) is bounded by

$$\sum_l C_2 \frac{g(l)}{l} \frac{1}{g(C_3l/g(l))^2}. \quad (22)$$

The assumption that  $h(x^2) \leq \kappa h(x)$  implies that

$$\forall y > 0, \quad g(y^2) \leq \kappa g(y). \quad (23)$$

Therefore  $g(y)^2 \leq C_4y$  for all  $y$ . Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{g(C_3l/g(l))} \leq \frac{\kappa}{g(C_5l^2/g(l)^2)} \leq \frac{\kappa}{g(C_6l)},$$

where the last inequality follows since  $g$  is increasing. Thus (22) is bounded by

$$C_7 \sum_l \frac{g(l)}{lg(C_6l)^2} \asymp \sum_l \frac{1}{lg(l)},$$

because (23) implies that  $g(C_6l) \asymp g(l)$ . Hence convergence of (22) follows from convergence of  $\sum_l (g(l)l)^{-1}$ .  $\square$

The preceding proposition has implications for the permissible growth rate of cutsets on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$ . Let  $\{\Pi_n\}$  be a sequence of disjoint cutsets in the percolation cluster. Assume that  $h$  satisfies the hypothesis of that proposition, and also that  $H_{h^2}$  is convex. Let  $f$  be a unit flow of finite  $H_{h^2}$ -energy on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$ . Then

$$\infty > \sum_e H_{h^2}(|f(e)|) \geq \sum_n \sum_{e \in \Pi_n} H_{h^2}(|f(e)|) \geq \sum_n \frac{|\Pi_n|^{-1/(d-1)}}{h^2(|\Pi_n|^{-1})}.$$

In particular, taking  $h(u) = (\log(1 + u^{-1}))^{2+\epsilon}$  shows that

$$\sum_n |\Pi_n|^{-1/(d-1)} (\log(|\Pi_n|))^{-2-\epsilon} < \infty.$$

While we know that  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  itself will support flows of finite  $H_h$  energy iff  $h$  satisfies the summability condition (17), Proposition 4.1 only gives a *sufficient* condition for finiteness of energy on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$ . The proof above used Theorem 1.4 of Häggström and Mossel [8], which states that for any increasing function  $g$  satisfying  $\sum_j (jg(j))^{-1} < \infty$ , there is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued nearest-neighbor process with predictability profile at  $k$  bounded by  $Cg(k)/k$ . Hoffman [10] proved that if  $g$  does not satisfy this summability condition, then such a predictability profile cannot be attained for any nearest-neighbor process on  $\mathbf{Z}$ . In a previous version of this paper, the following **conjecture** was made:

For  $d \geq 3$  and  $p > p_c(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ , any nonzero flow  $f$  on the infinite cluster  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  must satisfy

$$\mathcal{E}_{H_2}(f) = \sum_{e: f(e) \neq 0} \frac{|f(e)|^{d/(d-1)}}{\log^2(1 + |f(e)|^{-1})} = \infty. \quad (24)$$

This conjecture motivated E. Mossel and C. Hoffman to find a different construction of low energy flows on percolation clusters. By combining their new ideas with the methods of the present paper, they showed in a recent preprint (entitled “Energy of flows on percolation clusters”) that  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  can support flows that do not satisfy (24). Moreover, under a mild regularity hypothesis on  $h$ , they proved the remarkable result that for all  $d \geq 3$  and  $p > p_c(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ , the infinite cluster  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbf{Z}^d, p)$  a.s. supports a nonzero flow of finite  $H_h$  energy iff  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  supports such a flow.

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to the organizers of the 1997 workshop on random walks and discrete potential theory at Cortona (V. Kaimanovich, M. Picardello, L. Saloff-Coste and W. Woess) for making it a fruitful and memorable meeting. We thank C. Hoffman, E. Mossel and O. Schramm for helpful comments on a draft of this paper.

## References

- [1] P. Antal and A. Pisztora (1996). On the chemical distance in supercritical Bernoulli percolation. *Ann. Probab.* **24** 1036–1048.
- [2] I. Benjamini, R. Pemantle and Y. Peres (1998). Unpredictable paths and percolation. *Ann. Probab.*, to appear.
- [3] T. Cox and R. Durrett (1983). Oriented percolation in dimensions  $d \geq 4$ : bounds and asymptotic formulas. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **93**, 151–162.
- [4] P. G. Doyle and E. J. Snell (1984). *Random walks and electrical networks*. Carus Math. Monographs **22**, Math. Assoc. Amer., Washington, D. C.
- [5] G.R. Grimmett (1989). *Percolation*. Springer, New York.
- [6] G. R. Grimmett, H. Kesten and Y. Zhang (1993). Random walk on the infinite cluster of the percolation model. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **96**, 33–44.

- [7] G. R. Grimmett and J. M. Marstrand (1990). The supercritical phase of percolation is well behaved. *Proc. Royal Soc. London Ser. A* **430**, 439–457.
- [8] O. Häggström and E. Mossel (1998). Nearest-neighbor walks with low predictability profile and percolation in  $2 + \epsilon$  dimensions. *Ann. Probab.*, to appear.
- [9] P. Hiemer (1998). Dynamical renormalisation in oriented percolation. *Preprint*.
- [10] C. Hoffman (1998). Unpredictable nearest neighbor processes. *Preprint*.
- [11] Lyons, T. (1983) A simple criterion for transience of a reversible Markov chain, *Ann. Probab.* **11**, 393–402.
- [12] F. Y. Maeda (1977). A remark on the parabolic index of infinite networks. *Hiroshima J. Math.* **7**, 147–152.
- [13] C. St. J.A. Nash-Williams (1959). Random walks and electric currents in networks. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **55**, 181–194.
- [14] Y. Peres and B. Solomyak (1998). Self-similar measures and intersections of Cantor sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [15] A. Pisztora (1996). Surface order large deviations for Ising, Potts and percolation models. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **104**, 427–466.
- [16] P. M. Soardi (1994). *Potential Theory on Infinite Networks*. Springer LNM, Berlin.
- [17] P.M. Soardi and M. Yamasaki (1993). Parabolic indices and rough isometries. *Hiroshima J. Math* **23**, 333–342.