

From now \mathfrak{g} will be a f.d. semisimple Lie algebra.

Any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be written as $x = x_s + x_n$, $[x_s, x_n] = 0$

$\begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{semisimple} & \text{nilpotent} \end{matrix}$

(act semisimply or nilpotently on every f.d. representation of \mathfrak{g})

Definition A toral subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} is a subalgebra consisting of semisimple e.l.s.

Lemma If \mathcal{Z} is a toral subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , then \mathcal{Z} is Abelian.

Proof Take $x \in \mathcal{Z}$. As $\text{ad } x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple and leaves \mathcal{Z} invariant, its restriction $\text{ad } x|_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is semisimple too.

So we can take $y \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $[x, y] = \lambda y$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) and

ETS that $\lambda = 0$.

$$[x, y] = \lambda y$$

$\text{ad}_y|_{\mathfrak{z}}: \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}$ also diagonalizable, so can write $x = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} x_{\mu}$

where $[y, x_{\mu}] = \mu x_{\mu}$.

$$\text{Then } [y, [y, x]] = -[y, \lambda y] = 0$$

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} [y, [y, x_{\mu}]] = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \mu [y, x_{\mu}] = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \mu^2 x_{\mu}$$

$$\therefore \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} \mu^2 x_{\mu} = 0 \quad \therefore \mu^2 x_{\mu} = 0 \quad \forall \mu$$

Shows $x = x_0$ and $[y, x] = 0$

Hence $\lambda = 0$

Now take some $Z \leq \mathfrak{g}$ ideal, here, Abelian.

As $\text{ad } x: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is diagonalizable $\forall x \in Z$, and these endomorphisms all commute, we can simultaneously diagonalize \mathfrak{g} wrt Z :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in Z^*} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \left\{ y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, y] = \lambda(x)y \right. \\ \left. \forall x \in Z \right\}$$

\uparrow
 "weight"

Let $R = \{ 0 \neq \alpha \in Z^* \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0 \}$ so...

$$\mathfrak{g} = \underbrace{\mathfrak{g}_0}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Z) \text{ centralizer}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

$\#$
 0

Lemma $[\mathfrak{g}_\lambda, \mathfrak{g}_\mu] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda+\mu}$

Proof Jacobi identity. $[x, [y, z]] = \dots //$

Corollary 1 Any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) is nilpotent.

Corollary 2 If $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ with $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \perp_K \mathfrak{g}_\mu$.

Proof $\text{tr}_\mathfrak{g}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\mu$

maps $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha + \lambda + \mu} \neq 0$ here $\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y$ is nilpotent, so it has trace zero //

Corollary 3 $K|_{\mathfrak{g}_\alpha} = 0$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$), $K|_{\mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}}$ is non-degenerate

and $K|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is non-degenerate.

Proof Use Corollary 2 and non-degeneracy of K . //

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \right) \quad \text{---} \quad (*)$$

Theorem Let $\mathfrak{z} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ be a toral subalgebra as above

Then \mathfrak{z} is a maximal toral subalgebra if and only if $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{z})$

Proof (\Leftarrow) If \mathfrak{z} was not maximal, you could find $\hat{\mathfrak{z}} \supsetneq \mathfrak{z}$, a bigger toral subalgebra. But $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}$ Abelian by lemma, hence $\hat{\mathfrak{z}} \leq \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{z})$ ~~#~~

(\Rightarrow) Assume \mathfrak{z} is a maximal toral subalgebra.

$$\text{Let } \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{z}).$$

$$\text{Show } \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{z}.$$

① \mathfrak{h} contains the semisimple and nilpotent parts of all of its elements

$$x \in \mathfrak{h} \iff \text{ad } x (z) = 0$$

As $\text{ad } x_s$ and $\text{ad } x_n$ are polynomials in $\text{ad } x$ with constant term zero

$$\uparrow$$
$$(\text{ad } x)_s$$

$$\uparrow$$
$$(\text{ad } x)_n$$

It follows that $(\text{ad } x_s)(z) = (\text{ad } x_n)(z) = 0$, so $x_s, x_n \in \mathfrak{h}$.

② All semisimple elements of \mathfrak{h} lie in \mathfrak{z}

Take $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ semisimple, $[x, z] = 0$

Then $\mathfrak{z} + \mathbb{C}x$ is a toral subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , hence, it equals

\mathfrak{z} by the assumed maximality.

Show $x \in \mathfrak{z}$

③ $\text{ad } x|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is nilpotent $\forall x \in \mathfrak{h}$

Take $x \in \mathfrak{h}$, $x = x_s + x_n$ with $x_s, x_n \in \mathfrak{h}$ by ①.

As $x_s \in \mathfrak{z}$ by ②, $\text{ad } x_s|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$.

Also $\text{ad } x_n$ is nilpotent, so $\text{ad } x_n|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is nilpotent.

Now $\text{ad } x_s, \text{ad } x_n$ commuting nilpotent endomorphisms of \mathfrak{h} .

So $\text{ad } x|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \text{ad } (x_s + x_n)|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \text{ad } x_s|_{\mathfrak{h}} + \text{ad } x_n|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is nilpotent.

④ $K|_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is non-degenerate

We know $K|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is non-degenerate by Cor. 3.

Take $x \in \mathfrak{z}$ with $K(x, \mathfrak{z}) = 0$. RTP $x = 0$.

It suffices to show $K(x, y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$K(x, y_s + y_n) = K(x, y_s) + K(x, y_n)$$

$\therefore 0$

It remains to show $K(x, y_n) = 0$

As $\text{ad } x$, $\text{ad } y_n$ commute, hence their composition is nilpotent,
 \uparrow \uparrow
semisimple nilpotent

its trace is zero, as required.

⑤ \mathfrak{h} is Abelian

Suppose not, then $\mathfrak{h}' \neq 0$. Consider adjoint action of \mathfrak{h} on \mathfrak{h}' .

For $x \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\text{ad } x : \mathfrak{h}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}'$ is nilpotent by ③, so by

Engel's theorem we can find some $0 \neq y \in \mathfrak{h}'$ so $[\mathfrak{h}, y] = 0$.

Consider $y = y_s + y_n$ $y_s, y_n \in \mathfrak{h}$ by ①, and $[\mathfrak{h}, y_s] = [\mathfrak{h}, y_n] = 0$

as $\text{ad } y_s, \text{ad } y_n$ are polys in $\text{ad } y$ with constant term zero.

$K(y_n, \mathfrak{h}) = 0$ as $\text{ad } y_n \circ \text{ad } x$ is nilpotent $\forall x \in \mathfrak{h}$

As $K|_h$ is non-deg. by Cor. 3 this gives that $y_n = 0$.

Shown y is semisimple. Hence, $y \in Z$ by (2).

But then $K(Z, \underbrace{\lambda^1}_y) = K(Z, [Z, Z]) = K(\underbrace{[Z, Z]}_0, Z) = 0$

As $K|_Z$ is non-degenerate by (4), this shows $y = 0$. ~~///~~

(6) $h = Z$ By (1) & (2), it suffices to show $x \in h$, x nilpotent has $x = 0$.

But $[x, h] = 0$ by (5), and x is nilpotent

So $K(x, h) = 0$, which implies $x = 0$ by Cor. 3 ///

\uparrow
already nilpotent

When you choose \mathfrak{z} to be a maximal toral subalgebra, get decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 2}$$

$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{z}$ by Theorem

- CARTAN DECOMPOSITION of \mathfrak{g} w.r.t \mathfrak{z}
- R ROOT SYSTEM
- $\alpha \in R$ ROOT

$K|_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is non-deg.

$K|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}}$ is non-deg. $\forall \alpha \in R$, $-\alpha$ also lies R