Mr. G. H. Wannier moved to amend by adding the following sentence at the end of paragraph (1): "In particular, the University may establish a separate discipline code for freshmen below the age of 21, to provide a smooth transition from their home environment." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Mr. Paul Givin moved to amend Recommendation 1 by adding the following sentence: "For the purposes of this recommendation the basic purposes of the University shall be described as the acquisition, transmission, and application of knowledge and understanding, together with the development of various aptitudes and skills." Mr. Givin pointed out that this sentence was included in the recommendation as originally proposed in the notice of motion from the Discipline Committee. The motion was seconded, put to a vote by a show of hands, and defeated: 64 yes, 107 no.

Mr. K. L. Zankel moved to amend Recommendation 1 to read: "When student conduct directly and significantly interferes with the intellectual development of the members of the University and the advancement of knowledge, disciplinary sanctions may appropriately be imposed." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Mr. L. E. Ward moved to amend Recommendation 4 by striking the words "as far as practicable." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

Mr. S. B. Greenfield moved to amend Recommendation 5 by substituting the following language from the report of a minority of the Student Discipline Committee, dated April 15, 1963: A procedure should be adopted "wherein students charged with serious breaches of University disciplinary rules would have their cases presented before an independent disciplinary officer and further that such students would have available to them the services of a qualified person to act in the nature of a public defender. Appeal from the disciplinary officer's decision should then be limited to error in the proceeding." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Mr. Jack Wilkinson moved to amend Recommendation 5 by substituting the following: "The procedures governing the instituting of charges, imposition of sanctions, and rights of appeal should be determined with full recognition of the privileges of the students, the concern of the teaching faculty, and the responsibility of the administration." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

The principal motion, as amended, was then put to a vote and carried.

Mr. Hulteng then moved, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, that an editing committee be appointed by the President for the purpose of improving the style of the legislation concerning student discipline policy. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. (The edited version of the legislation is appended to these minutes.)

President Fleming stated that the action of the faculty would not be released to the press until the editing committee has reported to him its edited version of the legislation, and that he will present to the faculty for its consideration, at its June 1963 meeting, a statement of proposed objectives in the student discipline area and the administrative problems involved. He also stated that it was essential that the ad hoc faculty-student committee established to develop a proposed discipline code, called for in the legislation, undertake and complete a draft of such a code during the summer months, to provide a basis for the operations in the student discipline area at the opening of the fall term. The code would then be brought before the faculty, in order to obtain its reaction, at its October 1963 meeting.

At 6:30 p.m. Mr. A. P. Moursonud moved that, in view of the lateness of the hour and the small number of faculty members still in attendance, the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded. The President asked if a vote on the motion might be postponed for a few minutes to allow the presentation of several notices of motions. Mr. Moursonud agreed.
NOTICE OF MOTION ON HONORS COLLEGE. Mr. L. C. Marquis stated that, on June 3, 1959, the faculty of the University of Oregon voted to establish an Honors College. At the end of an experimental period of three years, the faculty and administration of the Honors College were to report in detail to the faculty, requesting continuation of the Honors College program. Mr. Marquis informed the faculty that a detailed written report, covering the activities of the Honors College, will be sent to all faculty members before the June 1963 meeting, and gave notice that he would move at the June meeting that the faculty approve the continuation of the Honors College program.

NOTICE OF MOTION ON THE SCHOLASTIC DEFICIENCY COMMITTEE. Mr. A. E. Cykler, chairman of the Committee on Committees, gave notice on behalf of his committee, that he would move at the June 1963 faculty meeting that the limitation of the Scholastic Deficiency Committee to five members be repealed.

NOTICE OF MOTION TO ABOLISH THE HONORS COUNCIL. Mr. Cykler gave notice, on behalf of his committee, that he would move at the June 1963 faculty meeting that the legislation of March 2, 1927 creating an Honors Council be repealed and that any professional school desiring to offer honors work consult with the Honors College in connection with the regulation of such programs.

NOTICE OF MOTION TO ABOLISH THE RELIGIOUS AND SPIRITUAL ACTIVITIES COMMITTEE. Mr. Cykler gave notice, on behalf of his committee, that he would move at the June 1963 faculty meeting that the legislation of March 2, 1916 establishing Religious and Spiritual Activities Committee be repealed.

Mr. Hourseand's motion to adjourn was then put to a vote and carried.

George W. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

APPENDIX. The edited version of the legislation on student discipline policy, as reported by the editing committee, consisting of Mr. O.J. Hollis and Mr. J. L. Hulteng, is as follows:

Moved: (1) That the faculty approve recommendations numbered 1 through 5 (as set out below) of the report of the Student Discipline Committee as the basis for a code governing student conduct. In the formulation and administration of rules of conduct on the basis of these recommendations, the primary guiding principle should be the fulfillment of the most essential functions of a university, which are the intellectual development of its members and the advancement of knowledge. The faculty recognizes that the recommendations of the Student Discipline Committee represent desirable goals rather than absolute standards, and that to approach these goals will require repeated revision of rules and adaptation of practices in the light of changing conditions affecting the social responsibility and maturity of students. The faculty recognizes further that the student body of the University has come to include persons of widely differing ages and circumstances, for many of whom the University has special responsibilities in varying degrees, and that no one simple set of rules can apply to problems arising, for example, among freshmen and graduate students, or among students who live in housing owned by the University and those who live in privately owned quarters outside the University's jurisdiction.

(2) That a faculty-student committee be appointed to develop within the framework of the policy established by recommendation 1-5 a code governing student conduct and to specify procedures for administering such a code.

Recommendation 1: When student conduct directly and significantly interferes with the basic purposes of the University, the University appropriately may impose disciplinary sanctions.
Recommendation 2: The University should distinguish its proper disciplinary responsibilities from the control functions of the larger community. This means that the student should be directly answerable to the community for violations of its required code of conduct. The University, in turn, should exercise its disciplinary powers only when the student's conduct directly and significantly interferes with the accomplishment of the University's goals.

Recommendation 3: The approach to the regulation of student conduct should be based on the premise that the development of responsible behavior is most effectively realized by policies that encourage independence and maturity.

Recommendation 4: The rules applying to the conduct of female students should impose greater restraints than those that apply to the conduct of male students. In the imposition of sanctions affecting conduct of male and female students, general principles of equity should be recognized.

Recommendation 5: Actions taken by the Office of Student Affairs in its administration of the code governing student conduct should be subject to review by the Student Discipline Committee or a specially constituted student-faculty committee. When representatives of the Office of Student Affairs impose the sanctions of expulsion, suspension, blocked registration, or "Informal" requests that a student leave the University, an appeal to the Student Discipline Committee or to a specially constituted student-faculty committee should be available on the initiative of the student or of the committee. The student should have the right to the services of a member of the faculty as counsel.

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE FACULTY

May 22, 1963

The faculty convened for a special meeting at the call of President Fleming. The minutes of the regular meeting of May 8, 1963 were read and approved.

COMMITTEE ON WRITTEN AND ORAL DISCOURSE. The ad hoc Committee on Written and Oral Discourse distributed copies of a document providing the texts of five numbered motions under the heading "Written Discourse" and four numbered motions under the heading "Oral Discourse." A copy of this document is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes.

WRITTEN DISCOURSE. Mr. R. S. Summers, chairman of the ad hoc Committee on Written and Oral Discourse, moved on behalf of his committee: (1) That the improvement of student writing is not exclusively the responsibility of instructors in the Department of English. The motion having been seconded, Mr. J. L. Hulteng, secretary of the Faculty Senate, reported that the Senate recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

Mr. Summers moved: (2) That instructors throughout the University should hereafter assume more responsibility for the improvement of student writing. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng reported that the Senate recommended its approval. Mr. Paul Givin moved to amend by striking the words "hereafter" and "more." The motion to amend was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. The principal motion, as amended, was then put to a vote and carried.

Mr. Summers moved: (3) That, in order to provide assistance to course instructors who are seeking to improve the writing of their students, the various schools and departments should, as soon as budgetary provision is made, either independently retain composition specialists or arrange with the Department of English for assistance. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng reported that the Senate recommended its approval.
Mr. F. W. Anderson moved to amend by changing the words "the various schools and departments should" to "the various schools and departments may." The motion to amend was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

Mr. A. P. Moursund moved to amend by striking the words "as soon as budgetary provision is made, either independently retain composition specialists or." The motion to amend was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

After discussion, Mr. Harry Alpert moved, as a substitute for motion (3) and for motions (4) and (5) in the written-discourse section of the document distributed by the committee, that the faculty recommend that the President take appropriate steps to implement motions (1) and (2) as adopted. The President ruled that motions (4) and (5) were not now before the faculty, and that Mr. Alpert's motion could be considered only as a substitute for motion (3). Mr. Alpert's motion was seconded. Mr. Hans Linde requested a report of the recommendations of the Faculty Senate concerning motions (4) and (5). The President ruled that, since these motions were not now before the faculty, formal reports from the Senate would not be in order, but that Mr. Hulteng could, if he wished, provide this information informally. Mr. Hulteng stated that the Senate had voted to recommend the approval of motion (4) and a somewhat different version of motion (5). Mr. J. R. Shepherd inquired whether Mr. Alpert's motion might be amended to include also the implementation of the basic recommendations of the Committee on Written and Oral Discourse concerning oral discourse. The President ruled that such an amendment would be out of order. Mr. Bower Aly moved the previous question. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. Mr. Alpert's motion was then put to a vote and carried. The principal motion (3), as amended by substitution, was then put to a vote and carried.

Mr. Summers moved: (6) That the President of the University should try to provide ways and means (a) to enable the various schools and departments to retain composition specialists, (b) to reduce the responsibilities of those instructors who wish to devote substantial additional time to the improvement of the writing of their students, and (c) to enable the Department of English to maintain staff to whom instructors can refer students for further training in writing. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated. (Note: Mr. Hulteng reported informally, earlier in the meeting, that the Senate recommended the approval of this motion.)

Mr. Summers moved: (5) That the following statement or a similar statement appear at an appropriate place in the regular and summer session catalog: "The faculty of the University of Oregon recognizes that clear, correct, and responsible use of language is one of the hallmarks of an educated man. Accordingly, students should recognize that some instructors will reduce students' grades when their papers are poorly written or when their oral discourse is unsatisfactory." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

(Note: Mr. Hulteng reported, informally, earlier in the meeting, that the Senate had voted to recommend the approval of a somewhat different version of motion (5). In the version recommended by the Senate, the proposed Catalog statement had the following second sentence, instead of the second sentence in the motion as presented to the faculty: "The ability to organize and effectively present ideas both orally and in writing is a prerequisite to graduation from the University.")

In summary, the faculty took the following action in regard to written discourse: (1) That the improvement of student writing is not exclusively the responsibility of instructors in the Department of English; (2) that instructors throughout the University should assume responsibility for the improvement of student writing; (3) that the faculty recommends that the President take appropriate steps to implement (1) and (2).

Mr. Linde moved that heads of the various schools and departments be requested to report to the Faculty Senate (or reference to a reconstituted or other committee) during spring term, 1963-64, on departmental practices with respect to student writing in the light of these
recommendations. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

ORAL DISCOURSE. Mr. Summers moved, on behalf of the Committee on Written and Oral Discourse: (1) That instructors throughout the University should (a) take advantage of available opportunities to help students develop the ability to organize and present ideas orally, and (b) invite speech teachers, when practicable, to comment on the quality of oral discourse in their classes. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng reported that the Senate recommended its approval.

Mr. Herman Cohen moved to amend by striking the designation "(a)" and the words "and (b) invite speech teachers, when practicable, to comment on the quality of oral discourse in their classes." The motion to amend was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. The principal motion as amended was then put to a vote and carried.

Mr. Summers moved: (2) That the President of the University should try to provide ways and means to enable the Department of Speech to maintain staff to whom instructors can refer students for training in oral discourse," The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng reported that the Senate recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and defeated.

Mr. Summers moved: (3) That the Academic Requirements Committee and the Committee on the Curriculum study and report their views to the faculty on the following recommendation which was unanimously adopted by the committee: That, effective with the academic year 1964-65, the faculty include a basic three-term course in rhetoric and public address in the list of courses satisfying the arts and letters group of the lower-division group requirements of the College of Liberal Arts. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng reported that the Senate recommended its approval.

Mr. Aly moved to amend motion (3) to provide that the recommendation of the Committee on Written and Oral Discourse be referred to an ad hoc Committee on Academic Policy, to be appointed by the President with instructions to report to the faculty at its meeting in February 1964: and that the ad hoc Committee on Academic Policy be empowered and instructed to review, and to make recommendations concerning, the policy of the University of Oregon with reference to required courses.

The motion was seconded. Mr. L. E. Ward rose to a point of order, questioning whether Mr. Aly's amendment was germane to the principal motion. The President ruled that that part of Mr. Aly's motion which would refer the recommendation of the Committee on Written and Oral Discourse to an ad hoc committee, rather than to the Academic Requirements Committee and the Committee on the Curriculum, was germane, but that he was in doubt concerning that part of the motion which would instruct the ad hoc committee to review, and to make recommendations concerning, the policy of the University of Oregon with reference to required courses. Mr. Aly stated that he would separate the two parts of his motion.

Mr. Aly then moved to amend motion (3) to provide that the recommendation of the Committee on Written and Oral Discourse stated in the motion be referred to an ad hoc Committee on Academic Policy, to be appointed by the President, with instructions to report to the faculty at its meeting in February 1964. The motion to amend was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated. The principal motion was then put to a vote by a show of hands and carried: 31 yes, 27 no.

Mr. Aly then gave notice of motion that the President he requested to appoint an ad hoc Committee on Academic Policy; and that the Committee on Academic Policy be empowered and instructed to review, and to make recommendations concerning, the policy of the University of Oregon with reference to required courses. The President stated that the motion would be referred to the Faculty Senate and he brought before the faculty at its regular June 1963 meeting. A point of order was raised, whether notice at this special meeting was sufficient to allow consideration at the June meeting. Mr. O. J. Hollis stated that, while the notice rule was perhaps not quite explicit, he believed it is the intent
of the rule that notice of a motion be given at a regular faculty meeting, normally one month before the motion comes before the faculty for consideration. He pointed out that faculty legislation provides for an alternative way of giving notice, through publication in the Faculty Bulletin at least three weeks before consideration, and that the June 1963 meeting will be held two weeks from today.

The President suggested that the faculty might at this meeting, by a two-thirds vote, suspend the rule and allow Mr. Aly's motion to be placed on the agenda for the June meeting. Mr. Hollis stated that, since the purpose of the rule was the protection of the rights of members of the faculty not in attendance, he doubted whether such action at this meeting would be in order. Mr. Aly stated that he would accept this interpretation.

Mr. Summers withdrew motion (4): That the statement set forth above or a similar statement appear at an appropriate place in the regular and summer catalogs (see motion 5 in the group of motions concerning written discourse, which the faculty voted to disapprove).

ADMISSIONS POLICY COMMITTEE. Mr. E. R. Bingham moved that the faculty of the University of Oregon authorize the appointment of a standing committee to be known as the Admissions Policy Committee. It shall be the duty of this committee to advise the faculty and the President on all matters relating to the admissions policies and procedures of the University. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

ANIMAL CARE LEGISLATION. Mr. Alpert announced that he would move the adoption of the following resolution at the June 1963 meeting of the faculty: Be it resolved that the faculty of the University of Oregon supports in principle the statement approved by the University of Oregon Medical School executive faculty regarding animal care legislation. Be it further resolved that copies of this motion be transmitted to all members of the Oregon delegation in Congress. Mr. Alpert requested that the secretary transmit to the faculty copies of the statement to which reference is made in the resolution.

STATE OF THE UNIVERSITY. President Fleming reported briefly on the present state of higher education salary appropriations and measures for capital outlay in the Oregon Legislature.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY

June 5, 1963

The meeting was called to order by President Fleming. The minutes of the special meeting of May 22, 1963 were read and approved.

HONORS COLLEGE. Mr. Lucian Marquis moved that the faculty approve the continuation of the Honors College program. The motion having been seconded, Mr. J. L. Hulteng, secretary of the Faculty Senate, reported that the Senate recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

SCHOLASTIC DEFICIENCY COMMITTEE. Mr. E. A. Cykler, chairman of the Committee on Committees, moved on behalf of his committee that the limitation of the Scholastic Deficiency Committee to five members be repealed. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng reported that the Faculty Senate
recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

HONORS COUNCIL. Mr. Cykler moved on behalf of his committee: (1) That the following faculty legislation be repealed: (a) The legislation of March 2, 1927, establishing the Honors Council and providing detailed rules and regulations governing upper-division honors programs; (b) the legislation of January 7, 1948, providing additional rules and regulations concerning upper-division honors programs. (2) That upper-division honors programs in specialized major fields may be established by the several professional schools, subject to review and approval by the faculty of the Honors College. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

RELIGIOUS AND SPIRITUAL ACTIVITIES COMMITTEE. Mr. Cykler moved, on behalf of his committee, that the legislation of March 2, 1916 establishing a Religious and Spiritual Activities Committee be repealed. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

CONFERRING OF DEGREES. The secretary read the following statement from Mr. C. L. Constanse, University Registrar: "The 1963 official degree lists of the University will be compiled shortly after the June 9 and August 10 commencements. I certify that these lists will include all and only those degree candidates who have met all degree requirements for their respective degrees before the commencement exercises concerned." Mr. F. R. Washko moved that the faculty of the University of Oregon recommend that the Oregon State Board of Higher Education confer upon the students whose names are included in the official 1963 degree lists--compiled by the University Registrar for the June 9 and August 10 commencements--the degrees for which they have satisfied all requirements. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

ANIMAL CARE LEGISLATION. Mr. Harry Alpert moved the adoption of the following resolution: "Be it resolved that the faculty of the University of Oregon supports in principle the statement approved by the University of Oregon Medical School executive faculty regarding animal care legislation. Be it further resolved that copies of this resolution be transmitted to all members of the Oregon delegation in Congress." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried unanimously. A copy of the Medical School statement is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes.

COMPULSORY ATHLETIC FEES. Mr. Hulteng moved on behalf of the Faculty Senate the adoption of the following motion: The faculty recommends that none of the compulsory fees collected from students be used to support intercollegiate athletics. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Hulteng requested a ruling on the question whether the motion required notice. The President ruled that, since it involves a change in policy, the motion required notice and would be placed on the agenda for the October 1963 meeting of the faculty. In response to an inquiry from the floor, Mr. O. J. Hollis, chairman of the Faculty Senate, stated that the new Senate could, if it wished, give further consideration to this proposal at its September 1963 meeting.

STATE OF THE UNIVERSITY. President Fleming read to the faculty a memorandum identifying some of the objectives which, he believed, should guide the University in the development of a student affairs program on the campus and also serve as guidelines for the ad hoc faculty-student committee which will be appointed to draft and recommend a new code of student conduct. A copy of this memorandum is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes.

The President also read to the faculty a preliminary draft of a statement of principles to be followed in the determination of academic salary increases for the coming year.

In conclusion, the President outlined the major features of the appropriations for higher education approved by the 1963 Oregon Legislature, with particular reference to the appropriation for faculty salary increases.
Mr. L. S. Cressman, who retires this year as professor of anthropology and head of the Department of Anthropology, stated that he considered it a great privilege to have played a part, as a member of the faculty of the University of Oregon, through many crucial years in the development of the institution. He mentioned, in particular, the battles in the 1930s when the faculty established the firm foundation for the University's tradition of academic freedom. Mr. Cressman's remarks were greeted with applause. President Fleming stated that he has come to appreciate the great importance, for the future of the University, of what was done by a courageous faculty in the 1930s, and that the University owes a deep debt of gratitude to Mr. Cressman and his colleagues in those years.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY

October 9, 1963

The meeting was called to order by President Fleming. The minutes of the meeting of June 5, 1963 were read and approved.

INTRODUCTIONS. One hundred and three new members of the faculty and 22 members returning from leaves of absence were introduced by deans and division heads.

COMPULSORY ATHLETIC FEE. Mr. D. A. Baerncroft, secretary of the Faculty Senate, moved on behalf of the Senate: that the faculty recommends that none of the compulsory fees collected from students be used to support intercollegiate athletics. The motion was seconded.

After discussion, Mr. E. W. Reed moved that consideration of the motion be postponed until the November 1963 meeting of the faculty. Mr. Reed's motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

In further discussion, questions were raised concerning the propriety of certain other uses of compulsory student fees. Mr. R. D. Clark moved: that, since the faculty is concerned with the question whether certain uses of compulsory student fees, in addition to their use for the support of intercollegiate athletics, may be improper, the Senate motion be referred to a special committee, appointed by the President, with instructions to study the broader question and report to the faculty not later than its December 1963 meeting. Mr. Clark's motion was seconded.

Mr. V. C. Boekelheide moved to amend Mr. Clark's motion to read: Because the faculty believes that the collection of a compulsory fee for intercollegiate athletics is improper, and is also concerned with the question whether certain uses of compulsory student fees, in addition to their use for the support of intercollegiate athletics, may be improper, that the Senate motion be referred to a special committee, appointed by the President, with instructions to study the broader question and report to the faculty not later than its December 1963 meeting. Mr. Boekelheide's motion was seconded.

Mr. K. S. Wood moved the previous question. The motion was seconded.

Mr. Wood stated that it was his understanding that the effect of his motion, if adopted, would be to close debate and require an immediate vote on the principal motion presented by the Senate. Mr. Clark stated that it was his understanding that the effect of Mr. Wood's motion, if adopted, would be the closing of debate and immediate votes on the motions before the faculty in normal order of precedence. The chair ruled that Mr. Clark's understanding was correct. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

By a standing vote, Mr. Boekelheide's amendment to Mr. Clark's motion to refer carried: 152 yes, 110 no.
By a standing vote, Mr. Clark's motion, as amended, carried: 190 yes, 88 no.

President Flemming commented that he has confidence in the present University program of intercollegiate athletics, and does not agree with criticisms of the program stated or implied in the debate, but that he believes that the question of the propriety of the use of compulsory student fees for the support of athletic and other extracurricular programs is a major issue that should be explored. He stated that he would be happy to appoint the special committee called for by vote of the faculty, and suggested that, if there were no objection, the committee include student representation.

Mr. J. L. Powell moved that the committee include only members of the faculty. The motion was seconded. Mr. Hans Linde inquired whether Mr. Powell would accept a modification of his motion to allow nonvoting student members on the committee. Mr. Powell stated that, while he would favor consultation with all interested groups, he could not acceptance the suggested modification of his motion. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO STUDY REQUIRED COURSES. President Flemming called attention to the fact that, at the special May 22, 1963 meeting of the faculty, notice of motion to establish a special committee to study required courses was given by Mr. Bower Aly, and stated that, since Mr. Aly is absent from the campus through the fall term, the motion will remain pending faculty business until his return.

CODE OF STUDENT CONDUCT. Mr. J. M. Foskett, chairman of the ad hoc Committee on the Student Code, called attention to the report of the committee dated October 1, 1963, which has been mailed to all members of the faculty, informed the faculty of several minor changes in this document, and gave notice on behalf of the committee that he will present the following four motions at the November 1963 meeting of the faculty:

(1) That the University of Oregon Code of Student Conduct, as set forth on pages 1 to 5 of the report of the ad hoc Committee on Student Conduct be adopted by this faculty.

(2) That the currently existing designation "Facility-Student Discipline Committee" be changed to "Faculty-Student Conduct Committee."

(3) That the change in the size of the Faculty-Student Conduct Committee to four faculty and three student members be made effective July 1, 1964.

(4) That the faculty delegate to the Faculty-Student Conduct Committee authority to promulgate and to amend regulations and procedures for the implementation of the Code of Student Conduct.

A corrected copy of the report of the ad hoc committee is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes.

NOTICE UNDER OREGON ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT. Mr. Foskett stated that there is a provision in the Oregon Administrative Procedure Act requiring state agencies to report and publish changes in rules and regulations, which appears relevant in connection with changes in the discipline code. He then moved that the faculty ask the President of the University to give notice, now, on its behalf, in accordance with the provisions of the Oregon Administrative Procedure Act, of the faculty's intention to adopt a new student discipline code of the University of Oregon, pursuant to the authority of Sec. 332.010 of the Oregon Revised Statutes. The motion was seconded.

Mr. R. S. Kelley stated that it was his understanding that the new Code of Student Conduct was in fact put into effect at the opening of the fall term, though it has not yet been approved by the faculty, and inquired on what authority this was done. President Flemming explained that policies and procedures in regard to student discipline could not be allowed to remain in a vacuum pending final action by the faculty, and that he had informed the faculty last spring that he would move to put the code drafted during the summer by the ad hoc committee into effect for the fall term, after which it would be subject to such modifications as the faculty might legislate. In taking this action he had acted under provisions of the Administrative Code of the State System of Higher Education; on his recommendation, the standing Student Discipline Committee had taken parallel action under powers presently delegated to it by the faculty.
Mr. Foskett's motion was then put to a vote and carried.

GUIDELINES FOR THE UNIVERSITY. President Flemming stated that, with the assistance and counsel of his staff and the faculty Advisory Council, a statement of guidelines for the University has been drafted, and that he planned to refer this document to the Faculty Senate for further study, after which it would be presented for consideration by the faculty.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY
November 6, 1963

The meeting was called to order by President Flemming. The minutes of the meeting of October 9, 1963 were read and approved.

ELECTION OF SENATE MEMBER. The secretary reported that Mr. Theodore Stern has been elected a member of the Faculty Senate by the minor faculty of the College of Liberal Arts, to fill the unexpired portion of the term of Mr. Val Lorwin.

CODE OF STUDENT CONDUCT. Mr. C. D. Clark moved, on behalf of the ad hoc Committee on the Student Code, that the University of Oregon Code of Student Conduct, as set forth on pages 1 to 5 of the committee's report, dated November 1, 1963, be adopted by this faculty. A copy of the report is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as part of these minutes. The motion having been seconded, Mr. D. A. Baerncopf, secretary of the Faculty Senate, reported that the Senate recommended its approval, subject to one amendment, which he would present at the proper time.

After discussion, Mr. Baerncopf moved, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, that Sec. A, General Policies, 4 (c) be amended by changing the words "Student Conduct Committee" to "faculty committee responsible for student conduct." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Mr. Carlisle Moore moved that the second sentence in Sec. A, 1 be amended by changing the words "It is believed that these goals can best be achieved through a sound education program . . . " to "It seeks to achieve these goals through a sound educational program . . . " The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

Mr. Hans Linde moved that the second sentence in Sec. A, 1 be amended by changing the word "of" to "governing." The motion was seconded. Mr. O. M. Willard moved as a substitute that the word "of" be changed to "for." Mr. Willard's motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated. Mr. Linde's motion was then put to a vote and carried.

Mr. Linde moved that Sec. A, 6 be amended by changing the word "equity" to "equal treatment." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

After discussion of the precise intent of phrases in Sec. B, Violations, 3 (a), reading "Possession, consumption, or furnishing of alcoholic beverages on University owned or controlled property, in University related housing for single students, or at University sponsored or supervised functions," and the proposal of several changes in wording, President Flemming suggested that this and any other questions of clarity of expression in the code be referred to an editing committee, made up of the chairmen of the ad hoc committee, the Student Conduct Committee, and the Faculty Senate, with power to act on verbal revisions. No objections being heard, the President declared the suggestion approved by general consent.
Mr. R. A. Littman moved that the second sentence of Sec. B, 4 be amended by adding the words "on the part of the University" following "Failure to comply with this requirement." The motion was seconded. The President suggested that the question of clarity involved be referred to the editing committee. Mr. Littman agreed to this suggestion and withdrew his motion.

Mr. Littman moved that Sec. C, Sanctions, 1 (d), 2, reading "Denial of use of an automobile for a designated time" be amended to read "Withdrawal of parking stickers." The motion was lost through lack of a second. Mr. L. E. Ward moved that Sec. C, 1 (d), 2 be amended to read "Denial of automobile parking privileges." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Mr. Littman moved that the third sentence of Sec. E, Student Tribunals, 2, reading "No minor tribunal shall have jurisdiction to impose the sanction of expulsion, suspension, or probation." The motion was seconded. It was pointed out by several members of the faculty that the code does not define the meaning and consequences of disciplinary probation. At the suggestion of the President, it was agreed, by general consent, that the Student Conduct Committee be instructed to study this question and bring recommendations to the faculty at a later meeting. Mr. Littman then withdrew his motion.

The principal motion, as amended, was then put to a vote and carried. The final draft of the Code of Student Conduct, as revised by the editing committee, is appended to these minutes.

Mr. Clark moved, on behalf of his committee, that the currently existing designation "Student Discipline Committee" be changed to "Student Conduct Committee." The motion having been seconded, Mr. Baerncof reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

Mr. Clark moved, on behalf of his committee, that the change in the size of the Student Conduct Committee to four faculty members and three student members be made effective July 1, 1964. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Baerncof reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

Mr. Clark moved, on behalf of his committee, that the faculty delegate to the Student Conduct Committee authority to promulgate and to amend regulations and procedures for the implementation of the Code of Student Conduct. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Baerncof reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its approval. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

The President stated he believed he could speak for the faculty in expressing appreciation for the outstanding accomplishment of the ad hoc Committee on the Student Code. His remarks were followed by applause.

STUDY OF COURSE OFFERINGS AND SCHEDULING. Mr. E. F. Beal gave notice of the following motion: That the University establish an interdisciplinary operations research team to study current course offerings and scheduling and investigate possible economies from improved scheduling and reduction in course offerings.

INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS PROGRAM. Mr. Robert Campbell, chairman of the Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics, moved, on behalf of his committee, the adoption of the following resolution: "In conformity with the President's belief that 'any institution of higher education should review from time to time its program of intercollegiate athletics in terms of the relationship of that activity to the total educational program of the institution,' the faculty recommends that the President, in consultation with the faculty Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics, conduct a survey of faculty opinion concerning intercollegiate athletic policies at the University, including: (a) the level at which the program should be conducted; (b) the alternative solutions of the stadium issue." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

STATE OF THE UNIVERSITY. President Flemming reported briefly on the present tentative plans of the State Board of Higher Education for a revised
fiscal program required by the defeat of the Legislature's tax measure at the recent election.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

APPENDIX

CODE OF STUDENT CONDUCT

A. GENERAL POLICIES

1. The University is dedicated not only to learning and the advance-
ment of knowledge but also to the development of ethically sensitive and
responsible persons. It seeks to achieve these goals through a sound
educational program and policies governing student conduct that encourage
independence and maturity.

2. The University distinguishes its responsibility for student conduct
from the control functions of the wider community. When a student has been
apprehended for the violation of a law of the community, the state, or the
nation, the University will not request or agree to special consideration
for the student because of his status as a student. The University will
cooperate fully, however, with law enforcement agencies, and with other
agencies in any program for further sanctions after law enforcement agencies
or the courts have disposed of a case.

3. The University may apply sanctions or take other appropriate action
only when student conduct directly and significantly interferes with the
University's (a) primary educational responsibility of ensuring the opportunity
of all members of the University community to attain their educational objec-
tives, or (b) subsidiary responsibilities of protecting the health and safety
of persons in the University community, maintaining and protecting property,
keeping records, providing living accommodations and other services, and
sponsoring non-classroom activities such as lectures, concerts, athletic
events, and social functions.

4. Procedural fairness is basic to the proper enforcement of all
University rules. In particular, no disciplinary sanction as serious as
expulsion, suspension, disciplinary probation, or entry of an adverse
notation on any permanent record available to persons outside the University
shall be imposed unless the student has been notified in writing of the
charges against him and has had an opportunity (a) to appear alone or with
any other person to advise and assist him before an appropriate committee,
court, or official; (b) to know the nature and source of the evidence
against him and to present evidence in his own behalf, and (c) to have his
case reviewed by the Student Conduct Committee.

5. Students shall have an opportunity to participate fully in the
formulation of all policies and rules pertaining to student conduct and in
the enforcement of all such rules.

6. Rules and sanctions affecting the conduct of men and women shall
be based on general principles of equal treatment, including like penalties
for like violations.

B. VIOLATIONS

1. No sanction or other disciplinary action shall be imposed on a
student by or in the name of the University except in accordance with
this code.

2. Expulsion or suspension from the University or any lesser sanction
may result from the commission of any of the following offenses:
(a) Academic cheating and plagiarism
(b) Furnishing false information to the University with intent to deceive
(c) Forgery, alteration, or misuse of University documents, records, or identification cards
(d) Physical abuse of another person in the University community
(e) Malicious destruction, damage, or misuse of University property, including library materials, or of private property on the campus
(f) Theft occurring under the conditions of paragraph A-3
(g) Vandalism or kidnapping committed on other campuses
(h) Participation in hazing
(i) Lewd or indecent conduct occurring under the conditions of paragraph A-3
(j) Two or more (or the repetition of) offenses listed in paragraph 3 below.

3. Disciplinary probation or any lesser sanction may result from the commission of any of the following offenses:

(a) Possession, consumption, or furnishing of alcoholic beverages on University owned or controlled property (except in living quarters of married students), in University-related housing for single students, or at University sponsored or supervised functions
(b) Disorderly conduct occurring under the conditions of paragraph A-3
(c) Raiding of University-related living units
(d) Violation of closing hour restrictions
(e) Violation of visiting hour rules
(f) Violation of any University rule approved by the Student Conduct Committee for the infraction of which sanctions may be imposed under this Code.

4. All rules approved by the Student Conduct Committee pursuant to paragraph 3 (f) shall be in writing and shall be published, distributed, or posted in such a manner as to furnish adequate notice of their contents to students affected by such rules. The University's failure to comply with this requirement shall be a complete defense to any charge of violation of a rule of which the student has no actual knowledge.

C. SANCTIONS

1. Sanctions which may be imposed for the commission of University offenses shall include the following:

(a) Expulsion from the University
(b) Suspension from the University for a definite or indefinite period of time
(c) Disciplinary probation with or without loss of designated privileges for a definite period of time. The violation of the terms of disciplinary probation or the infraction of any University rule during the period of disciplinary probation may be ground for suspension or expulsion from the University. The parents of any student under 21 years of age who is placed on disciplinary probation, suspended, or expelled shall be so notified.
(d) Loss of privileges:
(1) Restriction to campus living quarters
(2) Denial of use of an automobile for a designated time
(3) Removal from dormitory or other University housing
(4) Loss of such other privileges as may be consistent with the
    offense committed and the rehabilitation of the student.

(e) Admonition and warning

(f) Such other sanctions as may be approved by the Student Conduct
    Committee.

2. The sanctions of expulsion or suspension shall not be imposed
   except upon proper determination by the Student Court or the Student
   Conduct Committee.

3. The General Policies, Violations, and Sanctions shall be printed
   and made readily available to all students. The campus newspaper shall be
   requested by the Student Conduct Committee to publish the same at the
   beginning of each school year.

D. THE STUDENT CONDUCT COMMITTEE

1. The Student Conduct Committee, by faculty legislation and by
   delegation of the President of the University, is designated as the agency
   within the University which has primary responsibility for the student-
   conduct program. The committee shall be responsible to the faculty and the
   President of the University for recommending policies relating to student
   conduct, for formulating or approving rules and enforcement procedures
   within the framework of existing policies, for disposing of such individual
   cases as may properly come before it, and for recommending to the President
   of the university changes in the administration of any aspect of the
   student-conduct program.

2. The committee shall consist of four faculty members and three
   student members, each appointed by the President of the University. Each
   student member shall serve for a period of one year with one member retiring
   at the end of each academic term (fall, winter, spring). Members of the
   committee may be reappointed. The President may appoint temporary members
   of the committee to serve during a summer session or such other times as is
   necessary to assure full membership of the committee.

3. The President of the University shall designate an associate dean
   of students who shall serve as secretary of the committee and assist the
   committee in the discharge of its responsibilities. He shall coordinate
   the activities of all officials, committees, student groups, and tribunals
   responsible for student conduct.

4. All regulations or rules relating to student conduct that are
   established by any University official, committee or student group and for
   which sanctions may be imposed in the name of the University must be sub-
   mitted to the committee for approval. Such written regulations or rules
   shall be effective fifteen days after filing with the secretary of the
   committee unless disapproved, within this period, by the committee. Frat-
   erinity, sorority, or co-op "housekeeping" rules adopted by members for the
   internal management of the living unit are not considered University rules
   for the purpose of this code.

5. The committee may delegate jurisdiction to handle infractions of
   University rules to the Student Court and such other tribunals as may be
   established. With the consent of the President of the University, the
   committee also may delegate such jurisdiction to appropriate University
   officials. In all instances such jurisdiction shall be defined by the
   committee, ordinarily in terms of specified offenses, maximum sanctions,
   or designated living units. The committee may at its discretion withdraw
   delegation of jurisdiction in any case and dispose of such case itself.