PROJECT GOAL

To create a comprehensive physical framework vision of open spaces and buildings, which will bring greater specificity to the Campus Plan, better inform decisions on how to accommodate growth and change, and preserve the beauty and functionality of the campus.
PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- Develop campus-wide planning-design concepts and their application to a discrete number of design areas.
- Evaluate *Campus Plan* open space typologies, standards, requirements and needs.
- Examine existing *Campus Plan* design areas and recommend changes.
- Evaluate the application of the four scenarios from the SNP to determine if additional land is needed and/or determine if changes are needed to the Space Needs Plan. Includes building footprints, ‘build to’ lines and opportunities for additions to existing buildings.
PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- Consider areas of use (e.g., adjacencies and agglomerations of uses within discernable “districts” or “zones”)
- Evaluate the *Campus Plan* density standards and recommend changes to density standards relative to potential revisions to open space standards, design areas, and Space Needs Plan
- Identify if City zoning and/or land use changes are warranted or required to meet the above recommendations and objectives
- Recommend changes to the *Campus Plan* policies and standards to achieve these objectives
The University of Oregon’s campus in Eugene supports the University Mission Statement by:

- Being **accessible, safe, and welcoming** to foster social and academic collaboration—a shared responsibility between open space and buildings
- Enhancing **identity through memorable places** embodied by its high-quality open space system, distinctive cultural heritage, architecture, and unique location
- Being a **residential campus**—a second home for its students
- Integrating **ecological care** into all aspects of campus life, practices, and operations
- Being **distinctive** in character and yet, **connected and welcoming** to its neighbors
- Providing an **extension of the learning environment**—in mind, body, and spirit.

---

**CAMPUS THEMES**

**Applying Principles/Values to the Campus Physical Environment:**

- **Open space framework** comprised of:
  - Connected series of open spaces
  - Quads, courts, axes, and greens
- **Edges** that serve as:
  - Transitional space between uses
  - Blend the margins
  - Good neighbors to adjacent uses
- **Loose fit - long life** (growth and flexibility) to:
  - Meet space needs
  - Allow for flexible use
- **Engage and celebrate the Millrace and Willamette River** to:
  - Utilize as an educational resource
  - Restore
  - Accommodate campus uses
  - Storm water system
  - Living laboratory
- **Campus access** giving priority to:
  - Pedestrians first
  - Entire community
- **Campus linkages** to:
  - Millrace
  - Research Park
  - River
  - Autzen
  - EWEB, Downtown, Walnut Station, Glenwood

---

**PRINCIPLES/VALUES**
# Project Schedule and Public Outreach

## Schedule of Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Outreach and Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Due Diligence and Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Draft Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Final Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Illustrative Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Document Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Coordination Meetings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Work Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Session</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Duration in days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>26-27 Jan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>08 April</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>29 May</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>02 Oct</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Participation

### Campus Planning & Design

- Advisory Group
- Space Needs Group
- Campus Planning Committee

### Campus & Community

- University Foundation
- Community-at-large
- Community Groups
- City of Eugene CD Staff

### Board of Regents

## Legend

- ■ = Consultant mainly responsible
- □ = University mainly responsible

## Work Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Session</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26-27 Jan</td>
<td>Scope, Schedule, Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>08 April</td>
<td>Analysis, Planning Considerations, Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>29 May</td>
<td>Refined Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>02 Oct</td>
<td>Final Recommendations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Coordination Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordination Meetings</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12-14 Jan</td>
<td>A 25-27 Mar</td>
<td>11-13 May E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-19 Feb</td>
<td>B 20-22 Apr</td>
<td>8-10 Jun F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-15 July</td>
<td>G 14-16 Sec</td>
<td>10-12 Aug H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-16 Oct</td>
<td>J 14-16 Dec</td>
<td>14-16 Dec L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Academic Calendar

- Holidays

## University of Oregon Academic Calendar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Calendar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Session #2 – 08 April 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* ANALYSIS – EXISTING CONDITIONS

* PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

* PRELIMINARY LANDSCAPE FRAMEWORK
ANALYSIS DIAGRAMS — EXISTING CONDITIONS

Zoning
Campus Zones
Open Space Edges
Significant Views
Landscape Typologies

Large Land Areas Subject to Change
Potential Building Areas
Historic Status
Designated Open Space Status
Pedestrian Flows
ZONING – EXISTING ANALYSIS

Work Session #2 – 08 April 2015
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
EDGES AND GATEWAYS – EXISTING ANALYSIS
EDGES AND GATEWAYS – EXISTING ANALYSIS

[Map of existing edges and gateways on the university campus, highlighting major campus edges, formal gateway, informal gateway, pedestrian gateway, and vehicular gateway.]
FIGURE GROUND – EXISTING ANALYSIS
LANDSCAPE TYPOLOGIES – EXISTING ANALYSIS
CAMPUS ZONES – EXISTING ANALYSIS

Current Design Areas

Dominant Use

Perceived

Ease of Access
LARGE LAND AREAS SUBJECT TO CHANGE – EXISTING

ANALYSIS
POTENTIAL BUILDING AREAS – EXISTING ANALYSIS

Potential Building Areas - Existing

- Potential Building Area Owned by UO and the UO Foundation
- Not Owned by UO
- Campus Boundary

University of Oregon Campus Physical Framework Vision
University of Oregon Campus Planning Design and Construction
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
March 11, 2015

Work Session #2 – 08 April 2015
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS
**PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS**

- **IDENTITY**
  - Defining character, Oregon Landscape, Heritage Trees, Iconic spaces-architecture
  - Campus Community

- **CONNECTIVITY**
  - Unify campus, physical linkages, community access, move people, services-infrastructure, views in/out

- **ACTIVITY**
  - Campus Life, Programmable-functional space, Gathering areas, Day to Day
  - Outdoor classrooms, Research lands
  - Social-athletics areas
PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS – EXISTING ANALYSIS

Value the riverfront

Franklin unifier

Strengthen core

Connect to the East and South East

Engage Education and Music

Refresh the Cemetery

Build upon the existing desire lines and open space structure.

Connect the Eugene Buttes to the Willamette River
PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS – EXISTING ANALYSIS

University of Oregon Campus Physical Framework Vision
University of Oregon Campus Planning Design and Construction
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA, PLACE, Perkins + Will
April 01, 2015

Work Session #2 – 08 April 2015

Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS – EXISTING ANALYSIS

University of Oregon Campus Physical Framework Vision
University of Oregon Campus Planning Design and Construction
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
April 01, 2015

Work Session #2 – 08 April 2015
PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS – EXISTING ANALYSIS
PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS – EXISTING ANALYSIS

University of Oregon Campus Physical Framework Vision
University of Oregon Campus Planning Design and Construction
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
April 01, 2015

Work Session #2 – 08 April 2015
PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS – EXISTING ANALYSIS
PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS – EXISTING ANALYSIS

University of Oregon Campus Physical Framework Vision
University of Oregon Campus Planning Design and Construction
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
April 01, 2015

Work Session #2 – 08 April 2015

Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS – EXISTING

ANALYSIS

University of Oregon Campus Physical Framework Vision
University of Oregon Campus Planning Design and Construction
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
April 01, 2015

Work Session #2 – 08 April 2015

Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
PRELIMINARY FRAMEWORK

Connections  Vehicular Circulation
Iconic Nodes and Portals  Open Space
Pedestrian Grid
Organic Walk
ICONIC NODES AND PORTALS
PRELIMINARY FRAMEWORK
PEDESTRIAN GRID
PRELIMINARY FRAMEWORK
VEHICULAR CIRCULATION
PRELIMINARY FRAMEWORK

University of Oregon Campus Physical Framework Vision
University of Oregon Campus Planning Design and Construction
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
April 107, 2015
OPEN SPACE
PRELIMINARY FRAMEWORK
OPEN SPACE
PRELIMINARY FRAMEWORK

University of Oregon Campus Physical Framework Vision
Campus Planning, Design & Construction
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA, PLACE, Perkins + Will
February 25, 2015

Work Session #2 – 08 April 2015
Robert Sabbatini AICP FASLA | PLACE | Perkins + Will
“BIG PICTURE” QUESTIONS

- Pedestrians first and limiting vehicular circulation and parking
  - Strategically enough? Too much?
  - Parking strategy for near and long term needed

- Land use moves – Reasonable?

- Do all areas of the campus require an equal means and level of connectivity?

- Attitudes on density – Maintain or increase? Where?

- Does the Preliminary Framework address the larger issues?
  - Connections
  - Open space
  - Building areas

- Do the ideas express the Principles/Values and Campus Themes?
UPCOMING WORK FOR WORK SESSION 3
29 MAY 2015

- Refined Framework Plan with focused options
- Framework Elements
  - Open space
  - Areas allowed for future buildings
  - Regulating lines (build-to, setback, etc.)
  - Areas for increased civic gestures (buildings and landscape)
- Campus systems evaluated – Any red flags?
- Density and Space Needs Plan analysis (existing and build out)
- Design areas evaluated
- Potential changes to the Campus Plan
- Criteria for high priority projects
- Ecosystems matrix