

Campus Planning Committee Annual Report 2007-2008

Members:

Carole Daly, Chair	cdaly@uoregon.edu	Development	2006-08
Dietrich Belitz	adsciences@cas	Arts and Sciences	ex-officio
Christian Cherry	cerise@uoregon.edu	Dance (Univ Senate)	2007-09
Samantha Chirillo	schirill@uoregon.edu	Student	2007-08
Will Cooksey	wcooksey@uoregon.edu	Student	2007-08
Darin Dehle	ddehle@uoregon.edu	Facilities Services	ex-officio
Tom Driscoll	driscoll@uoregon.edu	Housing	2007-09
Frances Dyke	vpfa@uoregon.edu	V.P.F.A.	ex-officio
Michael Fifield	mef@uoregon.edu	Architecture	2006-08
Ally Frueauf	afrueauf@uoregon.edu	Student	2007-08
Richard Linton	rlinton@uoregon.edu	V. P Res. & Grad	ex-officio
Gregg Lobisser	lobisser@uoregon.edu	Student Affairs	ex-officio
Chicora Martin	chicora@uoregon.edu	Student Life	2007-09
Randall McGowan	rmcgowen@uoregon.edu	History	2006-08
Garrett McSorley	gmsorle@uoregon.edu	Student	2007-08
Kevin Nute	knute@uoregon.edu	Architecture	2007-09
Briana Orr	borr@uoregon.edu	Student	2007-08
Andrzej Proskurowski	andrzej@uoregon.edu	Computer & Info Science	2007-09
Chris Ramey	cramey@oregon.edu	Campus Planning	ex-officio
Molly Sirois	sirois@uoregon.edu	Disability Services	ex-officio
Dale Smith	dsmith@uoregon.edu	Network Services	2006-08
Greg Stripp	stripp@uoregon.edu	Advancement.	ex-officio
Donald Swain	dswain@uoregon.edu	Mathematics Library	2007-2009
Rob Thallon	thallon@uoregon.edu	AAA	ex-officio

Meetings and Activities:

Since fall 2008, the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) and its two subcommittees have held fifteen meetings and forwarded eighteen recommendations to Administration. The committee reviewed numerous schematic designs for campus development project. It also reviewed the Campus Heritage Landscape Plan and related *Campus Plan* amendments. CPC members participated in the architect selection process for multiple development projects and served on numerous project user groups. Staff educated the committee about its role in the campus development process and provided relevant background materials to enable well-informed decisions about a wide range of complex campus planning and development issues.

Summary of Action Taken:

11/20/07	CPC	Agenda: Orientation Action: No formal action. Approved: NA Status: NA
12/05/07	DR	Agenda: Club Sports Scoreboard Action: The subcommittee disagreed , with three in favor, five opposed, and two abstentions, that the Club Sports Scoreboard is consistent

with the *Campus Plan*. They recommended to the president that it should **not** be approved.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The proposed project will be revised. See DR 01-23-08 meeting.

Agenda: **Hayward Field Video Scoreboard – Back side**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the schematic design for the back side of the Hayward Field Video Scoreboard is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:

Revisit the size of the “O” to ensure it looks more like a logo than a billboard.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The committee’s conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.

01/23/08 DR

Agenda: **Education East – HVAC Unit**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the Education East HVAC Unit is consistent with the *Campus Plan*. They recommended to the president that it should be approved.

Approved:

Status: The proposed project will move forward

Agenda: **Club Sports Scoreboard – Revised Proposal**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the revised Club Sports Scoreboard proposal is consistent with the *Campus Plan*. They recommended to the president that it should be approved.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The proposed project will move forward.

Agenda: **Alder Building (818 East 15th Avenue) – Courtyard Landscape Improvements**

Action: No formal action was required. Members provided comments.

Approved: NA

Status: The subcommittee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

01/25/08 DPIT

Agenda: **Campus Heritage Landscape Plan Final Report – Preliminary Review**

Action: No formal action was required.

Approved: NA

Status: The subcommittee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward. See 02-11-08 CPC meeting

Agenda: **Diversity Campus Plan Amendment – Initial Discussion**

Action: No formal action was required.

Approved: NA

Status: The subcommittee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward. See 01-28-08 CPC meeting.

01/28/08 CPC Agenda: **Museum of Natural and Cultural History Curation Facility Expansion Project - Schematic Design**
 Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the schematic design for the Museum of Natural and Cultural History Curation Expansion Project is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Consider revising pedestrian circulation to more effectively reroute pedestrians to the Glen Starlin Courtyard path from the south. Develop a series of paths from the south that accommodate primary paths of travel and discourage cut-through paths.
2. Consider building and landscape design modifications to de-emphasize the new staff-only 15th Avenue entrance.
3. Consider moving the primary entrance to 15th Avenue and adding a second story. If this is not possible, ensure that the design does not preclude the opportunity to do so in the future.
4. Replace all existing basketball court amenities that were removed as a result of this project.
5. Clarify the landscape plan (including tree replacement requirements) especially along 15th Avenue.
6. Ensure that the new block walls east of the building match the existing walls.
7. Ensure that the basketball court is replaced as part of this project by forming a task force (under the direction of Frances Dyke and Robin Holmes) of key parties (e.g., PE and Recreation, Housing, DPS, CPC) to identify alternatives for replacing the basketball court within the context of the future of the larger basketball court area. The task force's recommendation(s) would be presented to the CPC.
8. Strongly consider alternates to cor-ten steel siding. Ensure that the selected material is long lasting and compatible with the building's design and overall campus character.

Approved: France Dyke
 Status: The committee's conditions will be addressed as the project moves forward.

Agenda: **Diversity Campus Plan Amendment – Initial Discussion**
 Action: No formal action was required.
 Approved: NA
 Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

02/11/08 CPC Agenda: **Baseball Stadium Project: Phase 1 Field Package – Meeting One**
 Action: The committee identified key *Campus Plan* policies, patterns, and other appropriate campus design issues for the Baseball Stadium Project: Phase 1 Field Package. In addition, the committee commented on the proposed list of users to work with the selected design consultant.

Approved: Frances Dyke
 Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project's policies and patterns are defined.

Agenda: **Campus Heritage Landscape Plan Final Report and *Campus Plan* Amendments – Review**
Action: No formal action was requested.
Approved: NA
Status: The committee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward. See CPC 02-027-08 CPC meeting

02/27/08 CPC Agenda: **Alumni Center Project**
Action: No formal action requested
Approved: NA
Status: The committee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

Agenda: **Campus Heritage Landscape Plan Final Report and *Campus Plan* Amendments – Public Hearing**
Action: The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the *Campus Plan* amendments related to the Heritage Landscape Plan be approved subject to the following conditions:
1. Confirm that “Woman’s Memorial Quadrangle” is the correct historic name for the proposed new open space.
2. Add cross-references to the Campus Heritage Landscape Plan, the *Campus Plan*, and Sustainable Development Plan that point out the connection between historic preservation and sustainable development.
Approved: Frances Dyke
Status: The committee’s conditions will be addressed when the amendments are implemented.

04/11/08 CPC Agenda: **Baseball Stadium Project: Phase 1 Field Package – Schematic Design**
Action: The committee agreed, with sixteen in favor and one opposed, that the schematic design for the Baseball Stadium Project: Phase 1 Field Package is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:
1. Consider reusing existing trees scheduled for removal. If not possible, look into ways to allow others to remove and relocate them off site while keeping within the project’s timeline.
2. Pay particular attention to the design of the proposed public plaza as Phase 2 moves forward. Also carefully consider the design and use of the space between Autzen Stadium and the proposed baseball stadium so that it serves as a north/south pedestrian and bike link between the proposed plaza and the existing Autzen entry plaza.
3. Ensure that convenient bike parking and access are provided. This likely will result in the need to add bike racks closer to the stadium.
4. Refine the player development area design using the Moshofsky Center as an example of how to integrate design details (e.g., at building corners) and natural light (yet avoid glare). Consider using panelized fencing. Bring the revised design back to the Design Review Subcommittee.

5. Give utmost care to ensure that all field lights are properly sited and adjusted to eliminate off-site glare.

In addition, the committee unanimously recommended that the president waive the *Campus Plan* Policy 5: Replacement of Displaced Uses requirement to replace the approximately 500 parking spaces associated with the Baseball Stadium Phase 1 Project (p. 39, policy refinements (a) and (b)). This recommendation is made with the understanding that the parking spaces are dedicated solely for use by Athletics who has determined that their replacement is not warranted. Therefore, the proposed new use (Baseball Stadium) is not benefiting at the expense of an existing use (Autzen Stadium), which is the intent of the policy.

Approved: France Dyke
 Status: The committee's conditions will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

04/22/08 CPC

Agenda: **Baseball Stadium Project: Phase 2 – Meeting One**
 Action: The committee unanimously agreed to make the following recommendation to the Vice President for Finance and Administration when she establishes the planning process for the Type C Baseball Stadium: Phase 2 Project:
 Follow the architect selection process used for standard Type B projects including an invitation to CPC members (DPIT Subcommittee members) to participate in the architect selection process.

Furthermore the committee unanimously agreed to make the following recommendations to the Vice President for Finance and Administration when she establishes the *Campus Plan* policies, patterns, and other appropriate campus design issues for the Type C Baseball Stadium: Phase 2 Project:

1. Apply all patterns and policies included in the draft project list.
2. Respond to prior comments/ recommendations made by the committee during Phase 1: Field Package review process.
3. Respond to member's comments submitted during "Baseball Stadium Project: Phase 2 – Meeting One."

Approved: France Dyke
 Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project's policies and patterns are defined.

04/22/08 DR

Agenda: **Solar Awning Test Site at Onyx Bridge – Schematic Design**
 Action: The Design Review Subcommittee unanimously agreed that the schematic design for the Solar Awning Test Site at Onyx Bridge is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Use a bracket design similar to the one shown in the model versus the circle cutout design shown in the drawing because it better suits the building design.

2. Carefully address maintenance and structural issues such as access for window cleaning, electrical conduit connections, and anchoring systems (especially when the building exterior is penetrated). Ensure that the exterior conduit system is discrete and does not clutter the facade.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The committee's conditions will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

05/22/08 CPC

Agenda: **Chair Election**

Action: The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that Gregg Lobisser be appointed as chair of the 2008-2009 CPC

Approved: NA

Status: The chair will assume his role when the 2008-2009 committee is formed.

Agenda: **Integrative Science Complex, Phase 2 (ISC2) – Meeting One**

Action: The committee, with thirteen in favor and one opposed, recommended to the president that the proposed site for the Integrative Science Complex be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. As the building's location and scale is established, it shall recognize need to balance the value of retaining open space, protecting the two significant maple trees, developing interdisciplinary facilities, enhancing the campus edge/public image, and meeting campus height restrictions.
2. The project will come back to the committee for initial review once preliminary siting and massing options have been developed.

In addition, the committee unanimously supported the identified key *Campus Plan* patterns and policies for the project with the understanding that the committee's comments also will be considered as the project moves forward.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project's policies and patterns are defined.

Agenda: **2009-2015 Capital Construction Budget Request**

Action: The committee agreed, with 4 in favor, two against, and two abstentions, to forward the following comments to the president:

The CPC reviewed the proposed 2009-2015 Capital Construction Budget Request as required by the *Campus Plan* to determine if it is consistent with relevant *Campus Plan* policies and patterns.

This was an initial review focusing primarily on *Campus Plan* Policy 3: Densities. It is important that the CPC have an opportunity review the proposed projects at a later date to ensure that all *Campus Plan* patterns and policies are met.

Based upon this initial review, the CPC determined that:

- (1) sufficient land exists, in aggregate, to accommodate the prioritized first-biennium capital construction projects,

- (2) each capital building project proposed for funding in the first biennium has siting opportunities that are consistent with the *Campus Plan*, and
- (3) any of the prioritized capital construction projects would require plan amendments, and if so, to provide comments.

Approved: France Dyke
 Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration.

06/12/08 DR

Agenda: **Esslinger Hall New Windows – Schematic Design**
 Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed schematic design for the new Esslinger Hall Windows is consistent with the *Campus Plan*. They recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:

Consult with Facilities Services to determine the appropriate material, either painted wood or a clad product that looks like painted wood.

Approved: France Dyke
 Status: The committee's condition will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

Agenda: **Jordan Schnitzer Museum of Art (JSMA) Banners – Schematic Design**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the schematic design for the proposed JSMA entry banners (as presented and clarified at the meeting) is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Ensure that the two large banners are installed on a temporary basis to announce major shows only (about 4 times a year, three to four weeks each time) and then removed for the remainder of the year. Prepare a Memorandum of Understanding to describe this agreement.
2. Refine the height of the proposed large banners to correspond with one of the horizontal planes evident in the museum's brick façade.
3. Confirm the banner support poles' finish color with Campus Planning and Real Estate.
4. Develop a design for a significantly smaller sign that would be installed in one of the banner locations whenever the large banners are not in place to convey museum information currently provided in the niche signs (hours of operation and limited general information). This smaller sign is subject to Design Review Subcommittee review and would replace the niche signs (no later than fall 2008).

Approved: France Dyke
 Status: The committee's conditions will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

Agenda: **Moss Street Student Housing – Meeting One**

Action: The committee, with thirteen in favor and one opposed, recommended to the president that the proposed site for the Integrative Science Complex be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. As the building’s location and scale is established, it shall recognize need to balance the value of retaining open space, protecting the two significant maple trees, developing interdisciplinary facilities, enhancing the campus edge/public image, and meeting campus height restrictions.
2. The project will come back to the committee for initial review once preliminary siting and massing options have been developed.

In addition, the committee unanimously supported the identified key *Campus Plan* patterns and policies for the project with the understanding that the committee’s comments also will be considered as the project moves forward.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The committee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the project’s policies and patterns are defined.

Agenda: **Howe Field Lighting and Covered Batting Cages – Schematic Design**

Action: The committee agreed unanimously that the proposed schematic design for the Howe Field Lighting and Covered Batting Cages is consistent with the *Campus Plan*. They recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Take a holistic view and consider the proposed project within the context of the larger site. Consider incorporating visual improvements that would benefit the 18th Avenue and University Street campus entrance, in particular landscape buffering, and recognize proposed future Outdoor Program Trip Facility expansion plans.
2. Strongly consider removing the existing sheds and integrating storage needs into the new batting-cage structure. Bring back a proposed alternative to the Design Review Subcommittee.
3. Ensure that existing trees to remain are protected properly during construction.
4. Develop a refined landscape plan for Design Review Subcommittee review. Consider enhancing the proposed landscaping for the project site and perhaps the adjacent area to help buffer views from 18th Avenue and the intersection of University Street and 18th Avenue. Clarify which trees will be removed and/or replaced.
5. Ensure that the lights are properly aimed so that glare does not spill over into adjacent properties (e.g., Pioneer Cemetery and properties on the south side of 18th Avenue) or into the greater surrounding neighborhood.
6. If lighting needs result in modifications to the monitor lighting system design, bring the revised design to the Design Review Subcommittee for review.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the project's policies and patterns are defined.

Agenda: **Alumni Center Project – Revised Site – Meeting One**

Action: The committee, with sixteen in favor and one abstention, supported the identified key *Campus Plan* patterns and policies and user involvement for the project with the understanding that the committee's comments also will be considered as the project moves forward.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration.

07/08/08 DR

Agenda: **Bean Hall Improvements – Schematic Design**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed schematic design for the Bean Hall Improvements is consistent with the *Campus Plan*. They recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Enhance the existing service and recycling area at the proposed location of the new Bean West conference room entrance to the greatest degree possible.
2. Consult with an arborist to ensure that the existing tree at the proposed location of the new Bean East conference room entrance is not damaged and is protected during construction. Make modifications to the proposed sidewalk location as necessary.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The committee's conditions will be taken into consideration as the project moves forward.

08/21/08 DR

Agenda: **Jordan Schnitzer Museum of Art Sign – Schematic Design**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the design for the proposed JSMA entry sign is consistent with the Campus Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The project will move forward.

10/14/08 CPC

Agenda: **Alumni Center Project – Schematic Design**

Action: The committee agreed unanimously that the proposed schematic design for the Alumni Center is consistent with the identified list of *Campus Plan* patterns and recommended to the president that it be approved.

Approved: France Dyke

Status: The project will move forward.