

48 ITEM QB6 SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

HONESTY/PROPRIETY			
1	Add values circled for statements 5, 11, 29 & 47	+ + +	=
2	Add values circled for statements 17, 35, 38 & 41	+ + +	=
3	Subtract (-) total of line 2 from '20'		=
4	Combine the two totals from lines 1 and 3	+	=
5	<i>mean response (for comparison to norms on this inventory)</i>	Divide (÷) line 4 by '8'	=
6	<i>score on a 0-100 scale (for comparison across inventories)</i>	Multiply line 5 by 20	=

AGREEABLENESS			
1	Add the values circled for statements 2, 8 & 44	+ +	=
2	Add the values circled for statements 14, 20, 23, 26 & 32	+ + + +	=
3	Subtract (-) total of line 2 from '25'		=
4	Combine the two totals from lines 1 and 3	+	=
5	<i>mean response</i>	Divide (÷) line 4 by '8'	=
6	<i>score on a 0-100 scale</i>	Multiply line 5 by 20	=

RESILIENCY VS. INTERNALIZING NEGATIVE EMOTIONALITY			
1	Add the values circled for statements 12, 42 & 48	+ +	=
2	Add the value circled for statements 6, 18, 24, 30 & 36	+ + + +	=
3	Subtract (-) total of line 2 from '35'		=
4	Combine the two totals from lines 1 and 3	+	=
5	<i>mean response</i>	Divide (÷) line 4 by '8'	=
6	<i>score on a 0-100 scale</i>	Multiply line 5 by 20	=

EXTRAVERSION			
1	Add the values circled for statements 3, 9, 15 & 45	+ + +	=
2	Add the value circled for statements 21, 27, 33 & 39	+ + +	=
3	Subtract (-) total of line 2 from '20'		=
4	Combine the two totals from lines 1 and 3	+	=
5	<i>mean response</i>	Divide (÷) line 4 by '8'	=
6	<i>score on a 0-100 scale</i>	Multiply line 5 by 20	=

ORIGINALITY/INTELLECT			
1	Add the values circled for statements 4, 10, 34 & 46	+ + +	=
2	Add the value circled for statements 16, 22, 25 & 40	+ + +	=
3	Subtract (-) total of line 2 from '20'		=
4	Combine the two totals from lines 1 and 3	+	=
5	<i>mean response</i>	Divide (÷) line 4 by '8'	=
6	<i>score on a 0-100 scale</i>	Multiply line 5 by 20	=

CONSCIENTIOUSNESS			
1	Add the values circled for statements 1, 7, 13 & 43	+ + +	=
2	Add the value circled for statements 19, 28, 31 & 37	+ + +	=
3	Subtract (-) total of line 2 from '20'		=
4	Combine the two totals from lines 1 and 3	+	=
5	<i>mean response</i>	Divide (÷) line 4 by '8'	=
6	<i>score on a 0-100 scale</i>	Multiply line 5 by 20	=

48QB6 SCALE RELIABILITIES:

For comparison, mean scores and scale characteristics in a sample of 582 college students, collected at the University of Oregon, Fall 2011, is provided. The sample was aged 18-40 (mean = 19.5, SD = 2.2), and was 65% female. Seventy-five percent of the sample identified as non-Hispanic white, 9% as Asian, 4% as African-American, and 2% as American Indian, Alaska Native, or Pacific Islander (10% reported “other” for ethnicity).

Scale	Mean	SD	α	Mean interitem r	variance interitem r
Conscientiousness	3.15	.79	.74	.273	.009
Honesty/Propriety	3.12	.81	.73	.259	.011
Agreeableness	2.84	.73	.70	.219	.008
Resiliency	2.85	.78	.72	.237	.020
Extraversion	3.51	.74	.74	.270	.017
Originality/Talent	3.30	.66	.65	.192	.008

Thalmayer, A.G. (2012). 48QB6 scale reliabilities. Report posted online: <http://pages.uoregon.edu/gsaucier/gsau4a.html>

VALIDITY INDICATIONS:

In a comparative validity study (student sample, N = 227), the 48QB6 predicted important life outcomes six months after participation (grade point average [GPA] and number of student conduct violations charged and found responsible for), better than all Big Five questionnaires administered (including the NEO-FFI [60 items], IPIP-50 [50 items], BFI [44 items]). See:

Thalmayer, A.G., Saucier, G., & Eigenhuis, A. (2011). Comparative validity of brief to medium-length Big Five and big six personality questionnaires. *Psychological Assessment*, 23, 995-1009. doi: 10.1037/a0024165*

* Note that a 1-5 scale was used in this study, rather than the 0-5 scale.