Demand for Public Health-Risk Reduction Policies: The Prevention Survey¹

by

Trudy Ann Cameron
Department of Economics
University of Oregon
cameron@uoregon.edu

J.R. DeShazo
Department of Public Policy
School of Public Affairs
University of California at Los Angeles
deshazo@ucla.edu

Abstract

This document contains a single example of the heavily randomized survey instrument designed to elicit individual preferences over public policies to reduce the risks of major illnesses and injuries. The survey begins by eliciting prior exposure to the different types of illnesses, the individual's subjective perceptions of their own risks of each illness, opportunities for averting or avoidance behaviors, and opinions about prevailing rates of each illness in the surrounding community of a specified size. A tutorial section then builds up to the first of five conjoint choice sets each involving two alternative risk reduction programs and a status quo alternative. The policy alternatives are described by the type of threat that is targeted and the illness or injury it causes, the duration of the proposed policy, the numbers of cases to be avoided, the number of deaths prevented, and the costs of the program. A final section establishes: the individual's attachment to the community in question; their confidence that the illnesses to be targeted are actually caused by the environmental or safety problems that the policies will seek to reduce; the survivability of each illness; subjective life expectancies; beneficiary age group preferences for public health resource allocations; preferences for prevention versus treatment; the proper role of government in regulating environmental, health and safety hazards, and a question about tradeoffs over time designed to illuminate individual-specific discount rates.

¹ Senior authorship is not assigned. This research has been supported by the US Environmental Protection Agency (R829485, Program Director Will Wheeler). It was was also encouraged by Paul De Civita and has been supported in part by Health Canada (Contract H5431-010041/001/SS). This work has not yet been formally reviewed by either agency. Any remaining errors are our own.

Welcome

We want to learn more about how you view threats to your health and the health of others.

Your answers may help public officials provide you and your community with better ways of managing health threats.

Please take your time.

{Form 1 - Public: prevention, framed}

Have you, or a family member or friend, suffered from any of the following?

Select all answers that apply in the grid Family or friends I have have Respiratory disease - (asthma, emphysema, bronchitis) Major car accident Diabetes Heart Disease - (heart attack, angina) Family or friends I have have Alzheimer's disease Stroke - (stroke, blood clot, aneurysm) Cancer - (colon, breast, prostate, etc.) Family or friends I have have

{Form 2 - Public: prevention, framed}

Think about your health, your family history, and hazards to which you are exposed.

Which illnesses or injuries do you feel most at risk of experiencing over your lifetime?

Select one answer from each row in the grid

	Low risk 1	2	3	4	High risk 5
Respiratory disease - (asthma, emphysema, bronchitis)	C	С		0	0
Major car accident		0		0	С
Diabetes		С	0	0	О
Heart Disease - (heart attack, angina)		С	0	0	0
	Low risk 1	2	3	4	High risk 5
Alzheimer's disease	C	0	0	0	0
Stroke - (stroke, blood clot, aneurysm)	C	0	0	0	С
Cancer - (colon, breast, prostate, etc.)					

{Form 3 - Public: prevention, framed}

Is there room for you to reduce your health risks by improving your lifestyle or habits in these ways?

Select one answer from each row in the grid No room Much to room to improve improve 1 2 3 5 4 Drink less alcohol Use a seat belt more Quit smoking See a doctor more regularly No room Much to room to improve improve 1 2 3 4 5 Eat a healthier diet Lose weight Exercise more No room Much to room to improve improve

{Form 4 - Public: prevention, framed}

2

1

3

4

Next Question

5

How much do you think that improving your lifestyle or habits would reduce your risk of each of these health problems?

Select one answer from each row in the grid

	Very little 1	2	3	4	A lot 5
Respiratory disease - (asthma, emphysema, bronchitis)	0		0	0	0
Major car accident		0	0		0
Diabetes		0	0	0	0
Heart Disease - (heart attack, angina)	0		0		0
	Very little 1	2	3	4	A lot 5
Alzheimer's disease		0		\circ	
Alzheimer's disease Stroke - (stroke, blood clot, aneurysm)	0	0	0	0	0
Stroke - (stroke, blood clot,	0	0		0	0

{Form 5 - Public: prevention, framed}

Think of the 50,000 people who live around you in your community. Putting aside your personal health concerns, how common are these illnesses or injuries in your community?

Select one answer from each row in the grid

	Not very common 1	2	3	4	Very common 5
Respiratory disease - (asthma, emphysema, bronchitis)	0	0	0	0	С
Major car accident		0		0	C
Diabetes		0	0	0	О
Heart Disease - (heart attack, angina)	\bigcirc	0		0	С
	Notwork				1/200
	Not very common 1	2	3	4	Very common 5
Alzheimer's disease	common	2	3	4	common
Alzheimer's disease Stroke - (stroke, blood clot, aneurysm)	common 1	2			common
Stroke - (stroke, blood clot,	common 1	0	0	О	common

{Form 6 - Public: prevention, framed}

New government policies could keep many environmental problems from getting worse.

New clean technologies could prevent air, water and food contamination from getting worse.

These technologies would be installed in different places in your community such as factories, water treatment plants, food processing plants, and on automobiles.

{Form 7 - Public: prevention, framed}

We want to describe two policies that would reduce pollution that causes health problems in your community. Later we will ask you which policies you think are most valuable to you, your family and your community.

Policy A reduces types of air pollutants that cause heart disease. Cleaner technologies would be installed on local sources of air pollution. The American Heart Association has linked air pollution to heart disease.

Policy B reduces types of pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia. New growing techniques and standards would reduce food contaminants that cause leukemia in adults.

{Form 8 - Public: prevention, framed}

To make the benefits of these policies clearer to you, think about the 50,000 people who live around you now, including any nearby family or friends.

Some of these 50,000 people will experience these illnesses. With these policies, some of those people could be prevented from suffering from each illness over the over the coming years.

	Policy A	Policy B
	reduces air pollutants that cause heart disease	reduces pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia
Policy in effect	over 20 years	over 25 years
Without policy With policy	1,100 get sick only 100 get sick	30 get sick only 5 get sick
Cases prevented	1,000 fewer cases	25 fewer cases

{Form 9 - Public: prevention, framed}

Reducing your exposure to these hazards also means that fewer of those who actually experience each illness or injury will die. Some will remain sick or disabled. More of them will recover. Look at the table below.

	Policy A	Policy B
	reduces air pollutants that cause heart disease	reduces pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia
Policy in effect	over 20 years	over 25 years
Without policy With policy	220 will die only 20 will die	6 will die only 1 will die
Deaths prevented	200 fewer deaths over 20 years	5 fewer deaths over 25 years

Which policy saves the most lives?

Select one answer only

- Policy A reduces air pollutants that cause heart disease
- Policy B reduces pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia
- Same

{Form 10 - Public: prevention, framed}

Notice that each policy benefits some people but not others.

	Policy A	Policy B
	reduces air pollutants that cause heart disease	reduces pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia
Policy in effect	over 20 years	over 25 years
Without policy With policy	1,100 get sick only 100 get sick	30 get sick only 5 get sick
Cases prevented	1,000 fewer cases	25 fewer cases
Without policy With policy	220 will die only 20 will die	6 will die only 1 will die
Deaths prevented	200 fewer deaths over 20 years	5 fewer deaths over 25 years

These policies prevent some people from getting sick. They also save some people from dying. However, there will still be some people who get sick and remain sick at the end of each policy.

{Form 11 - Public: prevention, framed}

For your community and nearby family to benefit from either policy, your local government would have to implement the policy. This would require to you to pay slightly higher taxes each year.

To make it easier to think about, we describe the average costs to you in each month and over each year. Because each program lasts for a different number of years, you would have to pay for each program a different length of time.

	Policy A	Policy B
	reduces air pollutants that cause heart disease	reduces pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia
Cost to you	\$90 per month (= \$1,080 per year for 20 years)	\$25 per month (= \$300 per year for 25 years)

{Form 12 - Public: prevention, framed}

In a moment, we are going to ask you whether you would be willing to pay for either policy.

In surveys like this one, people sometimes do not fully consider their future expenses and financial obligations. Please think carefully about what you would have to give up in order to pay for one of these policies.

We give you the option of choosing neither policy. People might sensibly choose neither policy because they:

- could not afford either policy,
- did not believe that they, or their community, face these health hazards,
- believe it is more important to spend money preventing or treating other illnesses,
- would rather spend the money on other things.

{Form 13 - Public: prevention, framed}

We realize that without proof, you may not accept the idea that these policies will work. However, what we'd like to learn from you in this survey is:

IF these policies were definitely effective, which policy you would most prefer?

So please make your choice as if you have been shown proof that each is effective.

{Form 14 - Public: prevention, framed}

Before we ask you to choose, recall how each of these policies would work:

Policy A reduces types of air pollutants that cause heart disease. Cleaner technologies would be installed on local sources of air pollution. The American Heart Association has linked air pollution to heart disease.

Policy B reduces types of pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia. New growing techniques and standards would reduce food contaminants that cause leukemia in adults.

{Form 15 - Public: prevention, framed}

Recall that these two policies will be implemented for the 50,000 people living around you.

Would you be most willing to pay for policy A, policy B, or neither of them?

	Policy A	Policy B
	reduces air pollutants that cause heart disease	reduces pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia
Policy in effect	over 20 years	over 25 years
Without policy With policy	1,100 get sick only 100 get sick	30 get sick only 5 get sick
Cases prevented	1,000 fewer cases	25 fewer cases
Without policy With policy	220 will die only 20 will die	6 will die only 1 will die
Deaths prevented	200 fewer deaths over 20 years	5 fewer deaths over 25 years
Cost to you	\$90 per month (= \$1,080 per year for 20 years)	\$25 per month (= \$300 per year for 25 years)
Your choice	Policy A reduces air pollutants that cause heart disease	Policy B reduces pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia
	C Nei	ther Policy

{Form 16 - Public: prevention, framed}

How difficu	It was it fo	r you to m	ake up your	mind on	the previo	us screen'
Select one ar	nswer only					
Easy 1	2	3	Somewhat Difficult 4	5	6	Very Difficult 7
To what ex	tent would	l each poli	cy directly b	enefit you	ı or your fa	amily?

Select one answer from each row in the grid

	Very little 1	2	3	4	Greatly 5
Policy A reduces air pollutants that cause heart disease	0			0	
Policy B reduces pesticides in foods that cause adult leukemia		0	0	0	\circ

{Form 17 - Public: prevention, framed}

Why did you not want to pay for either policy?

Select all answers that apply
I did not believe these policies would reduce the health risks
I would rather spend the money on other things
Environmental problem does not cause illness
I could not afford either policy
I did not believe my community faced these health threats
Other

{Form 18 - Public: prevention, framed}

Please evaluate each new pair of policies independently of the ones you saw earlier.

Policy C reduces types of pesticides in foods that cause stroke. New growing techniques and standards would reduce food contaminants that cause stroke.

Policy D reduces road and car hazards that cause serious car accidents. New road and traffic safety technologies would be installed on local roads to reduce bad-weather and nighttime accidents.

{Form 19 - Public: prevention, framed}

These two policies would be implemented for the 50,000 people living around you. Would you be most willing to pay for policy C, policy D, or neither of them?

	Policy C	Policy D
	reduces pesticides in foods that cause stroke	reduces road and car hazards that cause serious car accidents
Policy in effect	over 15 years	over 4 years
Without policy With policy	50 get sick only 45 get sick	7,500 are injured only 7,000 are injured
Cases prevented	5 fewer cases	500 fewer cases
Without policy With policy	30 will die only 5 will die	200 will die only 175 will die
Deaths prevented	25 fewer deaths over 15 years	25 fewer deaths over 4 years
Cost to you	\$25 per month (= \$300 per year for 15 years)	\$55 per month (= \$660 per year for 4 years)
Your choice	Policy C reduces pesticides in foods that cause stroke	Policy D reduces road and car hazards that cause serious car accidents
	0 1	Neither Policy

{Form 20 - Public: prevention, framed}

Select one an	swer only						
Easy 1	2	3	Somewhat Difficult 4	5		6	Very Difficult 7
To what ext Select one an				benefil	t you or	your fan	nily?
			Very little				Greatly
			1	2	3	4	5
Policy C reduction that cause street		es in foods	0		0	0	0
Policy D reduction hazards that calculated accidents			0		0	С	0
	{Forr	n 21 - Pu	blic: prev	ention,	framed		
						Next (Question

How difficult was it for you to make up your mind on the previous screen?

Why did you not want to pay for either policy?

Select all answers that apply
I did not believe these policies would reduce the health risks
I would rather spend the money on other things
Environmental problem does not cause illness
I could not afford either policy
I did not believe my community faced these health threats
Other

{Form 22 - Public: prevention, framed}

Here are two more policies that reduce environmental threats that can cause illnesses in your community.

Policy E reduces types of pesticides in foods that cause colon and bladder cancer. New growing techniques and standards would reduce food contaminants that cause colon and bladder cancer.

Policy F reduces types of air pollutants that cause heart attacks. Cleaner technologies would be installed on local sources of air pollution. The American Heart Association has linked air pollution to heart attacks.

{Form 23 - Public: prevention, framed}

These two policies would be implemented for the 50,000 people living around you. Would you be most willing to pay for policy E, policy F, or neither of them?

	Policy E	Policy F
	reduces pesticides in foods that cause colon and bladder cancer	reduces air pollutants that cause heart attacks
Policy in effect	over 20 years	over 25 years
Without policy With policy	10 get sick only 5 get sick	55 get sick only 5 get sick
Cases prevented	5 fewer cases	50 fewer cases
Without policy With policy	6 will die only 1 will die	6 will die only 1 will die
Deaths prevented	5 fewer deaths over 20 years	5 fewer deaths over 25 years
Cost to you	\$25 per month (= \$300 per year for 20 years)	\$6 per month (= \$72 per year for 25 years)
Your choice	Policy E reduces pesticides in foods that cause colon and bladder cancer	Policy F reduces air pollutants that cause heart attacks
	O Neither Po	blicy

{Form 24 - Public: prevention, framed}

How difficu	It was it fo	r you to m	ake up you	r mind on	the previo	us screen?
Select one ar	nswer only					
Easy 1	2	3	Somewhat Difficult 4	5	6	Very Difficult 7
O						
To what ex	tent would	l each poli	cy directly t	penefit you	or your fa	amily?
Select one ar	nswer from e	each row in the	he grid			

Very little
1 2 3 4 5

Policy E reduces pesticides in foods that cause colon and bladder cancer

Policy F reduces air pollutants that cause heart attacks

{Form 25 - Public: prevention, framed}

Next Question

Why did you not want to pay for either policy?

Select all answers that apply
I did not believe these policies would reduce the health risks
I would rather spend the money on other things
Environmental problem does not cause illness
I could not afford either policy
I did not believe my community faced these health threats
Other

{Form 26 - Public: prevention, framed}

Here are two more prevention policies.

Policy G reduces types of air pollutants that cause lung cancer. Cleaner technologies would be installed on local sources of air pollution that cause lung cancer.

Policy H reduces types of drinking water contaminants that cause leukemia in children. Purification technologies would be installed to remove these contaminants from your drinking water supplies.

{Form 27 - Public: prevention, framed}

These two policies would be implemented for the 50,000 people living around you. Would you be most willing to pay for policy G, policy H, or neither of them?

	Policy G	Policy H
	reduces air pollutants that cause lung cancer	reduces drinking water contaminants that cause leukemia in children
Policy in effect	over 15 years	over 25 years
Without policy With policy	7,500 get sick only 2,500 get sick	400 get sick only 200 get sick
Cases prevented	5,000 fewer cases	200 fewer cases
Without policy With policy	200 will die only 100 will die	110 will die only 100 will die
Deaths prevented	100 fewer deaths over 15 years	10 fewer deaths over 25 years
Cost to you	\$90 per month (= \$1,080 per year for 15 years)	\$5 per month (= \$60 per year for 25 years)
Your choice	Policy G reduces air pollutants that cause lung cancer	Policy H reduces drinking water contaminants that cause leukemia in children
		Neither Policy

{Form 28 - Public: prevention, framed}

Select one an	swer only						
Easy	_	_	Somewhat Difficult				Very Difficult
1	2	3	4	5		6	7
\cup					,		
To what ext	ent would	each poli	cy directly	benefi	t you or	your fan	nily?
Select one an	swer from e	ach row in t	he grid				
			Very little				Greatly
			1	2	3	4	5
Policy G reduces air pollutants that							
cause lung ca	ncer						
Policy H reduc							
contaminants children	that cause	eukemia in					
	4-			4.5			
	{Fori	n 29 - Pu	blic: prev	ention,	tramed		Question
						INEXT (ZUESTIOH

How difficult was it for you to make up your mind on the previous screen?

Why did you not want to pay for either policy?

Select all answers that apply
I did not believe these policies would reduce the health risks
I would rather spend the money on other things
Environmental problem does not cause illness
I could not afford either policy
I did not believe my community faced these health threats
Other

{Form 30 - Public: prevention, framed}

Next we would like you to consider two final policies that reduce environmental threats that can cause illnesses in your community.

Policy I reduces types of air pollutants that cause asthma in adults. Cleaner technologies would be installed on local sources of air pollution that cause asthma in adults.

Policy J reduces types of air pollutants that cause asthma in children. Cleaner technologies would be installed on local sources of air pollution that cause asthma in children.

{Form 31 - Public: prevention, framed}

These two policies would be implemented for the 50,000 people living around you. Would you be most willing to pay for policy I, policy J, or neither of them?

	Policy I	Policy J		
	reduces air pollutants that cause asthma in adults	reduces air pollutants that cause asthma in children		
Policy in effect	over 2 years	over 10 years		
Without policy With policy	8 get sick only 3 get sick	2,000 get sick only 1,000 get sick		
Cases prevented	5 fewer cases	1,000 fewer cases		
Without policy With policy	6 will die only 1 will die	100 will die only 50 will die		
Deaths prevented	5 fewer deaths over 2 years	50 fewer deaths over 10 years		
Cost to you	\$15 per month (= \$180 per year for 2 years)	\$20 per month (= \$240 per year for 10 years)		
Your choice	Policy I reduces air pollutants that cause asthma in adults	Policy J reduces air pollutants that cause asthma in children		
	Neither Policy			

{Form 32 - Public: prevention, framed}

Select one a	nswer only					
Easy 1	2	3	Somewhat Difficult 4	5	6	Very Difficult 7
0						
To what ex	rtent would	l each poli	cy directly be	enefit you	ı or your fa	amily?

Select one answer from each row in the grid

	Very little 1	2	3	4	Greatly 5
Policy I reduces air pollutants that cause asthma in adults	0		0	0	
Policy J reduces air pollutants that cause asthma in children		0	0	0	0

{Form 33 - Public: prevention, framed}

Next Question

Why did you not want to pay for either policy?

Select all answers that apply
I did not believe these policies would reduce the health risks
I would rather spend the money on other things
Environmental problem does not cause illness
I could not afford either policy
I did not believe my community faced these health threats
Other

{Form 34 - Public: prevention, framed}

 years	
{Form 35 - Public: prevention, framed}	
	Next Question

About how many years have you lived in your community?

Seled	ct one answer only
	1-2 years
	3-5 years
	6-10 years
	More than 10 years
	Rest of my life
	{Form 36 - Public: prevention, framed}
	Next Question

Looking forward, how many years do you expect to continue to live here?

Do you have family members living on their own within your community?

Select one answer only

Yes

No

{Form 37 - Public: prevention, framed}

Next Question

If the following problems got worse, how easy would it be for you to avoid these problems by doing things differently?

Select one answer from each row in the grid

	Very easy to avoid 1	2	3	4	Impossible to avoid 5
Your home tap water quality got worse	0		0		
Pesticides on store-purchased food got worse	0		0		0
Local air quality got worse	\bigcirc				
Local road conditions and safety go worse	t O		0		
	Very easy to avoid 1	2	3	4	Impossible to avoid 5

{Form 38 - Public: prevention, framed}

How certain do you feel that the following hazards cause these illnesses or injuries. We know that you may not be an expert, but we want your opinion.

Select one answer from each row in the grid

	Not certain at all 1	2	3	4	Very certain 5
Air pollutants can cause heart disease	C	С	0	С	0
Pesticides in foods can cause adult leukemia	0	0		0	0
Pesticides in foods can cause stroke	0	0		0	
Road and car hazards can cause serious car accidents	0	О	С	0	C
	Not certain at all 1	2	3	4	Very certain 5
Pesticides in foods can cause colon and bladder cancer	0	С	С	0	С
Air pollutants can cause heart attacks	C	С	0	С	0
Air pollutants can cause lung cancer	С	О	О	С	
Drinking water contaminants can cause leukemia in children		0	0	0	
	Not certain at all 1	2	3	4	Very certain 5
Air pollutants can cause asthma in adults	0	0	0	0	
Air pollutants can cause asthma in	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\circ	

{Form 39 - Public: prevention, framed}

Whether you recover from an illness will depend upon the particular illness and the quality of your current health care plan. How likely do you feel it is that you could recover from each illness if you experienced it?

Select one answer from each row in the grid

	Not very likely 1	2	3	4	Very likely 5
Respiratory disease - (asthma, emphysema, bronchitis)	0	0	0		0
Major car accident		0			
Diabetes		0			
Heart Disease - (heart attack, angina)		0			
	Not very likely 1	2	3	4	Very likely 5
Alzheimer's disease			О		С
Stroke - (stroke, blood clot, aneurysm)	0	0		0	0
Cancer - (colon, breast, prostate, etc.)	C	О	С	0	С
	Not very likely 1	2	3	4	Very likely 5

{Form 40 - Public: prevention, framed}

We cannot perfectly predict how long we will live. But based on our health and family history, most of us have some idea about how long we might live.

Until what age do you expect to live?

Select one answer only

<u></u>	<u>62</u>	<u></u>	O 85	O 96
<u></u>	<u>63</u>	<u></u>	O 86	97
<u></u>	<u>64</u>	<u></u>	O 87	98
<u></u>	<u>65</u>	O 77	O 88	99
<u></u>	<u>66</u>	<u></u>	89	<u> </u>
<u></u>	<u>67</u>	7 9	O 90	<u> </u>
<u></u>	<u>68</u>	○ 80	<u>91</u>	<u> </u>
<u></u>	<u>69</u>	<u>81</u>	92	<u> </u>
<u></u>	<u></u>	O 82	93	<u> </u>
<u></u>	<u></u>	83	94	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	72	<u>84</u>	95	More than 105
<u>61</u>	7 3			

{Form 41 - Public: prevention, framed}

Our government has to make hard choices when it allocates money to prevent and treat illnesses. Imagine for a moment that you are the governor of your state. On behalf of your community, you have \$100 million to spend to improve the health of children, adults or seniors.

How would you divide that \$100 million over these groups of people? (You can spend it all on one group or spread it out any way you think is right. Remember it should add up to 100.)

Please enter a number between 0 and 100 in each of the three boxes.

	Dollar amount	
Adults \$		million
Senior citizens \$		million
Children \$		million
Total \$		million

(Form 42 - Public: prevention, framed)

Again, imagine you are a governor. Each of the following three types of policies improve people's health in different ways.

How would you divide that \$100 million over these three types of policies? (You can spend it all on one policy or spread it out any way you think is right. Remember it should add up to 100.)

Please enter a number between 0 and 100 in each of the three boxes.

	Dollar amount	
Prevent some "at risk" people from becoming ill by developing new diagnostic tests that identify and protect people at higher risk for illnesses		million
Increase the chance of recovery for those already ill or injured by providing new and more effective treatments		million
Prevent people from becoming "at risk" for an illness by improving environmental, health and safety regulations to reduce exposure to hazards		million
Total \$		million
{Form 43 - Public: prevention, fram	_	
	Ne	ext Question

People have different ideas about what their government should be doing. How involved do you feel the government should be in regulating environmental, health and safety hazards?

Select one answer only



{Form 44 - Public: prevention, framed}

We want to change the topic to something that may seem unrelated, but it will help us understand your earlier choices.

Imagine that you have just won a lottery, and you have to choose how to take your winnings.

{Form 45 - Public: prevention, framed}

Continue

You could take your lottery winnings in two different ways.

1. \$1,500 each year for 20 years, for a total of \$30,000,

OR

2. a smaller lump sum payment right now.

Instead of \$30,000 spread over 20 years, would you be willing to take the smaller lump sum payments shown below?

Select one answer from each row in the grid

	Definitely Not	Probably Not	Probably Yes	Definitely Yes
\$27,000	0	0	С	С
\$20,000	0	С	С	С
\$14,000	0	С	С	С
\$8,800	0	0	С	С
\$5,200	0	0	С	С
	Definitely Not	Probably Not	Probably Yes	Definitely Yes

{Form 46 - Public: prevention, framed}_

About how many lottery tickets do you buy per year?

Select one answer only

No lottery available to me

0

1

2-6

7-12

13-24

25-52

More than 52

{Form 47 - Public: prevention, framed}

Any comments welcome!

{Form 48 - Public: prevention, framed}

Continue

Thinking about this survey, do you have any comments you would like to

share?

Thank you for your time!

{Form 49 - Public: prevention, framed}

Finish