

## 393 HOMEWORK 1 SOLUTIONS

Exercises 5.1: 3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11(a)(b)(c)(d).

- 3 Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Prove that if  $v, w \in V$  are linearly independent, so are  $v + w$  and  $2v - w$ .

*Proof.* Say  $a(v + w) + b(2v - w) = 0$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We need to show that  $a = b = 0$ .

Well, we have that  $(a + 2b)v + (a - b)w = 0$ . Since  $v$  and  $w$  are linearly independent that shows that  $a + 2b = a - b = 0$ . Now solving this system of linear equations for  $a$  and  $b$  gives that  $a = b = 0$ .

- 4 Prove that the real numbers 1 and  $\sqrt{3}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Do the same for 1,  $\sqrt{3}$  and  $\sqrt{5}$ .

Since  $\sqrt{3}$  is irrational, it is not a rational multiple of 1. Hence,  $\sqrt{3} \notin \text{span}(1)$ . Hence, 1 and  $\sqrt{3}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

To show 1,  $\sqrt{3}$  and  $\sqrt{5}$  are too, it suffices to show that  $\sqrt{5} \notin \text{span}(1, \sqrt{3})$ . Well, suppose

$$\sqrt{5} = a + b\sqrt{3}$$

for rationals  $a, b$ . Note we cannot have  $b = 0$  since  $\sqrt{5}$  is irrational, and we can't have  $a = 0$  since that would give  $b = \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}$  and  $\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}$  is also irrational. Hence,  $ab \neq 0$ . Then,

$$5 = a^2 + 3b^2 + 2ab\sqrt{3}.$$

Rearranging, which we can do since  $ab \neq 0$ , gives that

$$\sqrt{3} = \frac{5 - a^2 - 3b^2}{2ab}.$$

But that is a contradiction since  $\sqrt{3}$  is irrational.

- 5 Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are linearly independent and  $v \in V$ . Prove that  $v_1, \dots, v_k, v$  are linearly independent if and only if  $v \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ .

*Proof.* If  $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  then  $v_1, \dots, v_k, v$  are linearly dependent, since one of them is a linear combination of the rest.

Conversely, suppose that  $v \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ . To show that  $v_1, \dots, v_k, v$  are linearly independent, take a linear combination

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i + \mu v = 0.$$

We need to show that  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = \mu = 0$ . Well, if  $\mu = 0$ , then since the  $\lambda_i$ 's are linearly independent, we certainly get that  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = 0$  already. Otherwise, if  $\mu \neq 0$ , we can rearrange to write

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(-\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu}\right) v_i.$$

But that is a contradiction since  $v$  is not a linear combination of the  $v_i$ 's. So this case doesn't happen.

- 6 Prove that  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are linearly dependent if and only if for some  $j$ ,  $v_j$  is a linear combination of  $v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_k$ .

*Proof.* We need to be careful with this one with what we are taking to be the definition of linear independent: remember  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are linearly independent if  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i = 0$  implies  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = 0$ .

Hence, if  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are linearly dependent, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i = 0$$

for scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  where at least one  $\lambda_j \neq 0$ . But then we can rearrange it as

$$v_j = -\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_j} v_1 - \dots - \frac{\lambda_{j-1}}{\lambda_j} v_{j-1} - \frac{\lambda_{j+1}}{\lambda_j} v_{j+1} - \dots - \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_j} v_k$$

and we have rewritten  $v_j$  as a linear combination of the rest for some  $j$ .

Conversely, if  $v_j$  is a linear combination of the rest, say

$$v_j = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{j-1} v_{j-1} + \lambda_{j+1} v_{j+1} + \dots + \lambda_k v_k,$$

then bringing everything over to the same side gives that

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{j-1} v_{j-1} - v_j + \lambda_{j+1} v_{j+1} + \dots + \lambda_k v_k = 0$$

But this is a linear combination equal to zero with not all the coefficients zero (because the  $v_j$  coefficient is  $-1$ ). Hence they are linearly dependent.

7 Suppose  $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ . Prove that  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k, v) = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ .

*Proof.* Obviously,  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k) \subseteq \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k, v)$ . Conversely, take any  $x \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k, v)$ . We need to show that  $x \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  already.

Write

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i + \mu v.$$

Also write

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i v_i.$$

Then, we get that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_i + \mu \mu_i) v_i.$$

Hence  $x \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  as required.

8 Prove that  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are a basis for  $V$  if and only if every vector of  $V$  can be written *uniquely* as a linear combination of  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  is a basis for  $V$ . Then, any vector of  $V$  is a linear combination of them since they span. For uniqueness, suppose we have written a vector as a linear combination of them in two different ways:

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i v_i.$$

We need to show that  $\lambda_i = \mu_i$  for each  $i$ . Well,

$$0 = x - x = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_i - \mu_i) v_i.$$

Since the  $v_i$  are linearly independent we get that all the coefficients  $\lambda_i - \mu_i$  are zero. *QED.*

- 9 Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be linearly independent vectors in a finite dimensional vector space. Show that there are vectors  $v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$  such that

$$v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$$

form a basis for  $V$ .

*Proof.* If  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  already span  $V$  its a basis and we're done. Else, we can find a vector  $v_{k+1} \in V$  with  $v_{k+1} \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ . By 5,  $v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}$  are linearly independent.

If  $v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}$  span  $V$  its a basis and we're done. Else we can find a vector  $v_{k+2} \in V$  with  $v_{k+2} \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k+1})$ . By 5,  $v_1, \dots, v_{k+2}$  are linearly independent.

*Keep going.*

The process stops, proving the theorem, in finitely many steps, since in a vector space of dimension  $n$  and set of  $> n$  vectors is not linearly independent.

- 10 Let  $V$  be  $n$  dimensional over  $F$ . (a) Prove that if  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  are linearly independent then they span. (b) Prove that if  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  span then they are linearly independent.

*Proof.* (a) If they do not span, we can pick  $v \in V$  with  $v \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . Then by 5,  $v_1, \dots, v_n, v$  are again linearly independent. But in an  $n$  dimensional vector space,  $n + 1$  vectors can never be independent!

(b) If they are linearly dependent, by 6 some  $v_j$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the rest. Hence by 7,  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_n)$ . So we've got a spanning set with just  $n - 1$  vectors in them. But in an  $n$  dimensional vector space,  $n - 1$  vectors can never span!

- 11(a) Give a basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Answer:  $1, \sqrt{2}$   
 11(b) Give a basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[i\sqrt{3}]$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Answer:  $1, i\sqrt{3}$   
 11(c) Give a basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}, i]$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Answer:  $1, \sqrt{3}, i, i\sqrt{3}$  (its 4 dimensional)  
 11(d) Give a basis for  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}, i]$  over  $\mathbb{Q}[i\sqrt{3}]$ . Answer: Notice  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}, i] = \mathbb{Q}[i\sqrt{3}][i]$ . Hence a basis is  $1, i$  and it is 2 dimensional.