Summary on Lecture 5, April 4th, 2016

Equivalence and partial order relations

Let A, B be sets (which live, as usual, in some "universal set"). Recall that a subset $\mathcal{R} \subset A \times B$ is called a *binary relation*.

Example 1. Let $A = B = \mathbf{Z}$, and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $k - \ell \equiv 0 \mod n$.

Example 2. Let $A = B = \mathbf{Z}$. Then $(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $k \leq \ell$.

Now we let $\mathcal{R} \subset A \times A$ be a binary relation on A, i.e., when A = B.

Definition. We say that a binary relation \mathcal{R} on A is an *equivalence relation* if it satisfies the following properties:

- (R) $(x, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ for each $a \in A$ (Reflexivity);
- (S) if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, then $(y, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ (Symmetry);
- (T) if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$, then $(y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$ (Transitivity).

Exercise. Check that the relation \mathcal{R} from Example 1 is an equivalence relation, and that is not true for the relation from Example 2.

Definition. We say that a binary relation \mathcal{R} on A is an *partial order on* A if it satisfies the following properties:

- (R) $(x, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ for each $a \in A$ (Reflexivity);
- (A) if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, and $(y, x) \in \mathcal{R}$, then x = y (Antisymmetry);
- (T) if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$, then $(y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$. (Transitivity).

Remark. The usual relation partial order " \leq " on real numbers satisfies all the properties (R), (A), (T).

In order to understand well the above realtions, we will do some counting. Let A be a finite set, |A| = n.

- (R) Let \mathcal{R} be a reflexive relation on A. Then $(a, a) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $a \in A$. Thus \mathcal{R} contains at least the diagonal $\{(a_1, a_1), \ldots, (a_n, a_n)\}$, and \mathcal{R} may contain any subset from $A \times A \setminus \{(a_1, a_1), \ldots, (a_n, a_n)\}$. Thus we have 2^{n^2-n} reflexive relations on A.
- (S) Let \mathcal{R} be a symmetric relation on A. To count how many such relation we have, we notice that the difference $A \times A \setminus \{(a_1, a_1), \ldots, (a_n, a_n)\}$ consists of pairs (a_i, a_j) with $i \neq j$. Then if $(a_i, a_j) \in \mathcal{R}$, then $(a_j, a_i) \in \mathcal{R}$, so it is enough to count pairs (a_i, a_j) with $i \leq j$. We obtain $2^n \cdot 2^{\frac{n^2 n}{2}} = 2^{\frac{n^2 + n}{2}}$ symmetric relations.

Example 3. Here is an interesting example of partial order. Let $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ be a decomposition of n through primes. We assume that $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$. Then every divisor d of n has a form $d = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$,

where $0 \le a_i \le e_i$ for each i = 1, 2, ..., k. Thus n has $\prod_{i=1}^{n} (e_i + 1)$ divisors. Then for two divisors d, d' we write $d \le d'$ (or $(d, d') \in \mathcal{P}$) iff d divides d'. Let

 $d \leq d' \text{ (or } (d, d') \in \mathcal{R}) \text{ iff } d \text{ divides } d'.$ Let

$$d = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}, \quad d' = p_1^{a'_1} p_2^{a'_2} \cdots p_k^{a'_k}$$

be two divisors of n. Then d divides d' iff $0 \le a_i \le a'_i \le e_i$ for each i = 1, 2, ..., k. Consider just one index i: we can use the problem of counting number of ways to place 2 objects to $e_i + 1$ boxes. We obtain $\binom{e_i + 1 + 2 - 1}{2} = \binom{e_i + 2}{2}$ pairs (a_i, a'_i) satisfying $0 \le a_i \le a'_i \le e_i$. We obtain:

$$|\mathcal{R}| = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \binom{e_i + 2}{2}$$

Definition. Let A, B, C be sets, and $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset A \times B$, $\mathcal{R}_2 \subset B \times C$ be two binary relations. A composite relation $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$ is defined as the set

 $\mathcal{R} := \{ (a, c) \mid \text{there exists } b \in B \text{ such that } (a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_1 \text{ and } (b, c) \in \mathcal{R}_2 \}.$

Example. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $B = \{w, x, y, z\}$, $C = \{a, b, c\}$. The relations $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset A \times B$, $\mathcal{R}_2 \subset B \times C$ are given as follows:

| | | w | x | y | z | | | | 1 | |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| $\mathcal{R}_1 =$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\mathcal{R}_2 =$ | | a | b | C |
| | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 0 | | w | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2 | 0 | 0 | T | 0 | | x | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | y | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | z | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | 0 | 1 | U | T | U | | | | | |

Here we put 1's for all pairs (a, b) and (b, c) such that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_1$ and $(b, c) \in \mathcal{R}_2$; otherwise we put zeros. We obtain the matrices

$$M(\mathcal{R}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad M(\mathcal{R}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which are called zero-one matrices corresponding to the realtions \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 . We notice that the relation $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$ has the following matrix:

$$M(\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here we use a standard multiplication of matrices, plus we used the following rules: $0 \cdot 0 = 0$, $0 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot 0 = 0$, $1 \cdot 1 = 1$, and 1 + 1 = 1. The last rule is designed specifically for zero-one matrices.

Theorem 1. Let Let A, B, C, D be sets, and $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset A \times B$, $\mathcal{R}_2 \subset B \times C$, $\mathcal{R}_3 \subset C \times D$ be binary relations. Then $(\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_1) \circ \mathcal{R}_3 = \mathcal{R}_1 \circ (\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_3).$

Exercise. Prove Theorem 1.

Let $\mathcal{R} \subset A \times A$ be a binary relation. Then a power \mathcal{R}^{ℓ} is defined recursively: $\mathcal{R}^1 := \mathcal{R}, \ \mathcal{R}^{\ell+1} := \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R}^{\ell}$. Examples. (1) Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, and

We can notice that $M(\mathcal{R}^{2k+1}) = M(\mathcal{R})$ and $M(\mathcal{R}^{2k}) = M(\mathcal{R}^2)$. Thus $\mathcal{R}^{2k+1} = \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{2k} = \mathcal{R}^2$.

(2) Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and

We obtain that $\mathcal{R}^{\ell} = \emptyset$ for $\ell \geq 4$.

Exercise. Let A be a finite set with |A| = n, and $\mathcal{R} \subset A \times A$ be a relation. Prove the following properties of the zero-one matrix $M(\mathcal{R})$:

- (a) $M(\mathcal{R}) = 0$ (the matrix of all 0's) if and only if $\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$.
- (b) $M(\mathcal{R}) = 1$ (the matrix of all 1's) if and only if $\mathcal{R} = A \times A$.
- (c) $M(\mathcal{R}^{\ell}) = M^{\ell}(\mathcal{R})$ for all $\ell \ge 1$.

Let $M = \{m_{ij}\}, M' = \{m'_{ij}\}$ be two zero-one matrices of the same size. We say that $M \leq M'$ if and only if $m_{ij} \leq m'_{ij}$ for all indices i, j. We denote by I_n the identity matrix of the size n. Also if M is a matrix, we denote by M^T its transpose. Finally, if $M = \{m_{ij}\}, M' = \{m'_{ij}\}$ are two zero-one matrices of the same size, we define the matrix $M \cap M' = \{m''_{ij}\}$ as

$$m_{ij}'' = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad m_{ij} = m_{ij}' = 1\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2. Let A be a finite set with |A| = n, and $\mathcal{R} \subset A \times A$ be a relation. Then

- (a) \mathcal{R} is reflexive if and only if $I_n \leq M(\mathcal{R})$;
- (b) \mathcal{R} is symmetric if and only if $M(\mathcal{R})^T = M(\mathcal{R})$;
- (c) \mathcal{R} is transitive if and only if $M(\mathcal{R})^2 \leq M(\mathcal{R})$;
- (d) \mathcal{R} is symmetric if and only if $M(\mathcal{R}) \cap M(\mathcal{R})^T \leq I_n$.

Exercise. Prove any three of the statements (a), (b), (c) or (d).