## Summary on Lecture 12, April 28th, 2015

## Generalization of the Fermat's Little Theorem.

According to the Fermat's Little Theorem, for given prime p and any integer a,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1$  unless a is divisible by p. We would like to investigate what happens with the powers mod  $n = p_1 \cdot p_2$  (product of two primes).

**Example.** Let  $n = 15 = 3 \cdot 5$ . Then we have

$$a^4 \equiv 1 \mod 15$$
 if  $a = 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, a^4 \not\equiv 1 \mod 15$  if  $a = 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12.$ 

Check it. Why do we have  $a^4 \neq 1 \mod 15$  for particular values a = 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12? We can notice that all these numbers have common factors with 15. This suggest that some version of the the Fermat's Little Theorem should hold for a product of two primes. Here is the result which plays a fundamental role for the RSA public key cryptosystem. This theorem is also known as the Euler formula for the product of two primes.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be distinct primes, and let  $d = \gcd(p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1)$ . Assume an interger a is such that  $\gcd(a, p_1 p_2) = 1$ . Then  $a^{\frac{(p_1-1)(p_2-1)}{d}} \equiv 1 \mod p_1 p_2$ .

**Proof.** By assumption, d has to divide  $p_2 - 1$ , and  $gcd(a, p_1) = 1$ . In particular, we have that  $a^{(p_1-1)} \equiv 1 \mod p_1$  by the Fermat's Little Theorem. Then we have:

$$a^{\frac{(p_1-1)(p_2-1)}{d}} = (a^{(p_1-1)})^{\frac{(p_2-1)}{d}} \\ \equiv 1^{\frac{(p_2-1)}{d}} \mod p_1 \\ \equiv 1 \mod p_1.$$

Similarly we prove that  $a^{\frac{(p_1-1)(p_2-1)}{d}} \equiv 1 \mod p_2$ . It means that the difference

$$a^{\frac{(p_1-1)(p_2-1)}{d}}$$
 –

is divisible by both  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Hence it divisible by  $p_1p_2$ , or  $a^{\frac{(p_1-1)(p_2-1)}{d}} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p_1p_2$ .

Now we are almost ready to describe the RSA public key cryptosystem. Two more theoretical exercises to go.

First, let us try to solve an equation of the form  $x^e \equiv c \mod p$ , where x is an unknown, e, c are known integers, and p is a prime. We recall that if e is such number that gcd(e, p - 1) = 1, then there exists d such that

$$de \equiv 1 \mod p-1.$$

**Lemma 1.** Let p be a prime, and e be such that gcd(e, p-1) = 1, giving us d be such that  $de \equiv 1 \mod (p-1)$ . Then the congruence  $x^e \equiv c \mod p$  has a unique solution  $x = c^d \mod p$ .

**Proof.** First, assume that  $c \equiv 0 \mod p$ . Then  $x \equiv 0 \mod p$  is the unique solution. Assume that  $c \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ . The congruence  $de \equiv 1 \mod (p-1)$  means that there exists k such that de = 1 + k(p-1). Then we have

$$(c^{d})^{e} = c^{de}$$

$$= c^{1+k(p-1)}$$

$$= c \cdot (c^{(p-1)})^{k}$$

$$\equiv c \cdot 1^{k} \mod p$$

$$\equiv c \mod p$$

We see that  $x = c^d$  solves the conguence  $x^e \equiv c$ .

**Exercise.** Prove that the solution  $x = c^d \mod p$  is unique.

**Example.** We solve  $x^{1583} \equiv 4714 \mod 7919$ , where 7919 is prime. For this, we solve the congruence  $d \cdot 1583 \equiv 1 \mod 7918$ . We find  $d \equiv 5277 \mod 7918$ . Then we use Lemma 1 to find  $x \equiv 4714^{5277} \mod 7919$ . We find  $x \equiv 6059 \mod 7919$ .